

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

<p style="text-align: center;">UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN</p> <hr/> <p>ALVIN BALDUS, CINDY BARBERA, CARLENE BECHEN, RONALD BIENDSEIL, RON BOONE, VERA BOONE, ELVIRA BUMPUS, EVANJELINA CLEEREMAN, SHEILA COCHRAN, LESLIE W. DAVIS III, BRETT ECKSTEIN, MAXINE HOUGH, CLARENCE JOHNSON, RICHARD KRESBACH, RICHARD LANGE, GLADYS MANZANET, ROCHELLE MOORE, AMY RISSEUW, JUDY ROBSON, GLORIA ROGERS, JEANNE SANCHEZ-BELL, CECELIA SCHLIEPP, and TRAVIS THYSSEN,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p>TAMMY BALDWIN, GWENDOLYNNE MOORE, and RONALD KIND,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Intervenor-Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v. File No. 11-CV-562</p> <p>Members of the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE,</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">[Caption Continued]</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION</p> <p style="text-align: center;">JOSEPH W. HANDRICK</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Madison, Wisconsin December 20, 2011</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Carmen Harder, RPR Registered Professional Reporter</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1 <u>I N D E X</u></p> <p>2 <u>Witness</u> <u>Pages</u></p> <p>3 JOSEPH W. HANDRICK</p> <p>4 Examination by Mr. Poland 8/233</p> <p>5 Examination by Mr. Earle 224</p> <p>6 Examination by Mr. Hassett 252</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10 <u>E X H I B I T S</u></p> <p>11 <u>No.</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Identified</u></p> <p>12 1 12/13/2011 letter to Joseph Handrick 12</p> <p>13 from Douglas M. Poland with an attached subpoena</p> <p>14 2 Packet of documents produced by 17</p> <p>15 Joseph Handrick via Eric M. McLeod pursuant to the subpoena</p> <p>16 2A Population Totals 19</p> <p>17 3 CD labeled <i>Joe Handrick Draft Maps -</i> 17</p> <p>18 <i>Block Assignments</i></p> <p>19 4 2/15/2011 letter to Don M. Millis and 34</p> <p>20 Joseph W. Handrick from Eric M. McLeod</p> <p>21 5 2/17/2011 letter to Eric M. McLeod 34</p> <p>22 from Don M. Millis</p> <p>23 6 2/18/2011 letter to Eric M. McLeod 34</p> <p>24 from Don M. Millis</p> <p>25 7 Bio of Joseph M. Handrick from the 53</p> <p>website of Reinhart</p> <p>8 Joe Handrick's lobbyist license 54</p> <p>dated 1/25/2011</p>
<p>and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants,</p> <p>F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR., THOMAS E. PETRI, PAUL D. RYAN, JR., REID J. RIBBLE, and SEAN P. DUFFY,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Intervenor-Defendants.</p> <hr/> <p>VOCES DE LA FRONTERA, INC., RAMIRO VARA, OLGA VARA, JOSE PEREZ, and ERICA RAMIREZ,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v. Case No. 11-CV-1011 JPS-DPW-RMD</p> <p>Members of the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board, each only in his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p> <hr/>	<p style="text-align: center;">3 <u>E X H I B I T S</u> (Continued)</p> <p>2 <u>No.</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Identified</u></p> <p>3 9 Excerpts from the book <i>Born to Run</i> 66</p> <p>4 by Ronald Keith Gaddie</p> <p>5 10 Defendants' Amended Initial Rule 26(a) 91</p> <p>6 Disclosures</p> <p>7 11 Second Amended Complaint for Declaratory 104</p> <p>8 and Injunctive Relief</p> <p>9 12 Defendants' Answer and Affirmative 106</p> <p>10 Defenses to Second Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief</p> <p>11 13 Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories 108</p> <p>12 and First Request for Production of Documents</p> <p>13 14 Chapter 801.17, Commencement of Action 188</p> <p>14 and Venue</p> <p>15 15 Chapter 751, Supreme Court 188</p> <p>16 16 Petition for Appointment of Three Judge 190</p> <p>17 Panel Pursuant to Wis. Stat. 751.035 and 801.50(4m) or, in the Alternative, for Leave to Commence an Original Action Seeking Declaratory Judgement and Other Relief</p> <p>18 17 Summons and Complaint for Declaratory 194</p> <p>19 and Other Relief and Appointment of Three Judge Panel Pursuant to Wis. Stat. 751.035 and 801.50(4m)</p> <p>20 18 12/2/2011 letter to Kathleen Madden 195</p> <p>21 from Joseph Louis Olson with attached Amended Summons and Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Other Relief</p> <p>22 19 Transcript of Joint Public Hearing 197</p> <p>23 on Redistricting on 7/13/2011</p> <p>24 20 Map entitled <i>State of Wisconsin Act 43</i> 232</p> <p>25 <i>Assembly Districts</i></p>

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 E X H I B I T S (Continued)	1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued)
2 No. Description Identified	2
3 21 Map entitled 2011 Act 44 232	3 P. SCOTT HASSETT and JAMES A. OLSON, Attorneys, for LAWTON & CATES, S.C., Attorneys at Law, 4 Ten East Doty Street, Suite 400, Madison, 5 Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of the 6 Intervenor-Plaintiffs.
4 22 Map entitled 2011 Act 43 232	6 MARIA S. LAZAR, Assistant Attorney General, 7 for STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, 8 17 West Main Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, 9 appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
5	10 DANIEL KELLY, Attorney, 11 for REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C., 12 Attorneys at Law, 1000 North Water Street, 13 Suite 2100, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, 14 appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
6 (The original Exhibits 1-22 were attached to the 7 original transcript, and copies of Exhibits 1-19 8 were provided to counsel)	13 KELLEN C. KASPER, Attorney, 14 for FOLEY & LARDNER, LLP, Attorneys at Law, 15 777 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, 16 Wisconsin 53202, appearing on behalf of the 17 Intervenor-Defendants.
9	18 ERIC M. MCLEOD, Attorney, 19 for MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP, Attorneys at Law, 20 One South Pinckney Street, Suite 700, Madison, 21 Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of the 22 Wisconsin State Senate by its Majority Leader 23 Scott Fitzgerald, the Wisconsin Assembly by its 24 Speaker Jeff Fitzgerald, and 25 Joseph W. Handrick.
10	22 Also present: Todd S. Campbell, CLVS 23 Campbell Legal Video Company 24 417 Heather Lane, Suite B 25 Fredonia, WI 53021 (262) 447-2199
11	22
12	25
13	7
14	1
15	2 called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
16	3 testified on oath as follows:
17	4
18	5 EXAMINATION
19	6 By Mr. Poland:
20	7 Q Good morning, Mr. Handrick.
21	8 MR. KELLY: I'm sorry. Before we
22	9 start, could we put the --
23	10 MR. POLAND: Oh, that's right.
24	11 MR. KELLY: -- agreement on the
25	12 record?
1	13 MR. POLAND: Yep. Go ahead.
2	14 MR. KELLY: Thank you. This is
3	15 Daniel Kelly on behalf of the defendants, as
4	16 well as Maria Lazar. Prior to going on the
5	17 record we had a discussion amongst counsel
6	18 with respect to interposing objections. We
7	19 agreed that if one person made an objection
8	20 to a question it would stand as an objection
9	21 for each of the attorneys on behalf of their
10	22 clients without the need to have each
11	23 attorney repeating the objection.
12	24 Counsel, is that your understanding?
13	25 MR. HASSETT: Yes.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1	MR. MCLEOD: Yes.	1	as necessary during the course of the
2	MR. KASPER: Yes.	2	deposition today.
3	MR. POLAND: Yes.	3	MR. POLAND: And I understand that.
4	MR. MCLEOD: And, Doug, if I may	4	This is Doug Poland for the plaintiffs. I
5	before we begin the deposition, I just wanted	5	understand Mr. McLeod's position. And we
6	to state for the record the continuing	6	likewise will incorporate the arguments that
7	objection that we have to this deposition as	7	we raised in our papers that we filed in
8	well as the information that has been	8	opposition to the motion for clarification
9	produced in response to the subpoena as	9	that Mr. McLeod filed. So we'll understand
10	stated in our motion to quash and our	10	that the short -- the objections are
11	subsequent motion for clarification	11	shorthand for what's already pending in front
12	concerning the motion to quash.	12	of the Court, incorporating those arguments.
13	It is our position that any information	13	MR. MCLEOD: Very well.
14	sought from Mr. Handrick is privileged	14	MR. POLAND: Anything else anyone
15	pursuant to one of three privileges, the	15	needs to state on the record before we begin?
16	legislative privilege, attorney-client	16	Okay.
17	privilege, and attorney work product	17	(By Mr. Poland)
18	privilege. Mr. Handrick was retained by	18	Q Good morning, Mr. Handrick. You're here -- I
19	counsel for the purpose of assisting counsel	19	should -- I should ask you before we start. Have
20	in the provision of legal services to our	20	you given a deposition before?
21	clients, the legislature, senate assembly in	21	A No.
22	anticipation of litigation. Again, the	22	Q Okay. The reason that I ask is that you nodded
23	specific grounds and the support for that	23	your head in response to the first statement that
24	objection are set forth in the motion that we	24	I made. And since we're at a deposition, the
25	filed, so I won't burden everybody with	25	court reporter is taking down everything that you
	9		11
1	restating those grounds here.	1	say, so you need to answer audibly. Shakes of the
2	I also understand from speaking to the	2	head, nods of the head yes or no, the court
3	plaintiffs' counsel here prior to the	3	reporter can't get those down in the transcript.
4	deposition that a standing objection will not	4	We obviously have a videographer as well.
5	be sufficient throughout the course of this	5	We'll see that, but many people will look at a
6	deposition, so to the extent necessary, I	6	transcript, and they won't be able to tell if
7	will be asserting individual objections on	7	you're responding in one way affirmatively or not.
8	these privileged grounds. I will be	8	So we do need to have you answer each of the
9	abbreviating the objection to privilege,	9	questions audibly. Do you understand that?
10	attorney work product, attorney-client,	10	A Yes.
11	et cetera, so as not to spend time	11	Q Okay. Great. Thank you. You're here today
12	unnecessarily on objections.	12	pursuant to a subpoena; is that correct?
13	The goal here is not to obstruct or	13	A Yes.
14	delay in any way the deposition. It's simply	14	Q Okay. I'm going to ask the court reporter to mark
15	to make sure that we have preserved those	15	as Exhibit 1 the subpoena.
16	objections for purposes of any subsequent	16	(Exhibit No. 1 marked for
17	appeal. And recognizing the Court's order is	17	identification)
18	what it is, we're obviously appearing, and	18	Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing to you a document that's
19	we're going to be providing that information	19	been marked as deposition Exhibit No. 1. Do you
20	which we understand to be required according	20	see that in front of you?
21	to that order.	21	A Yes.
22	So I appreciate your patience in	22	Q Okay. And that is a subpoena for your deposition
23	allowing me to make that objection	23	here today. Have you seen this document before?
24	preliminarily. And, again, I will be	24	A Yes.
25	restating that in a very abbreviated fashion	25	Q Do you recall when you saw a copy of Exhibit 1 for
	10		12

1 the first time?
2 A No, I don't.
3 Q Do you know when it -- if it was within the last
4 week or so?
5 A I don't recall exactly.
6 Q Okay. The cover letter, as you'll see on
7 Exhibit 1, is dated December 13. Do you see that?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Would you assume that you saw this document on or
10 after December 13?
11 A Yes.
12 Q I'm going to ask you to turn to the very last page
13 of Exhibit No. 1. And do you see there at the top
14 of the last page of Exhibit No. 1 there's a header
15 that says Exhibit A?
16 A Yes.
17 Q All right. And you see that there are
18 five numbered paragraphs on that page?
19 A Yes.
20 Q All right. Do you understand that this was a
21 request that you bring with you documents to the
22 deposition this morning?
23 A Yes.
24 Q All right. Who gave you a copy of Exhibit 1, the
25 deposition subpoena?

13

1 A I don't recall.
2 Q You're represented by counsel here today,
3 Mr. McLeod?
4 A Yes.
5 Q All right. And you're employed by
6 Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren law firm; is that
7 correct?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And Mr. Kelly also is employed by the Reinhart law
10 firm; is that correct?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And he is representing the
13 Government Accountability Board; is that your
14 understanding?
15 A That's my understanding.
16 Q In this lawsuit, correct?
17 Is he representing you here personally today
18 as a witness?
19 A Not that I'm aware of.
20 Q Okay.
21 MR. KELLY: Just so the record is
22 clear, to the extent that any questions
23 should touch on matters subsequent to
24 November 26 -- or November 22, in which our
25 law firm was retained by the defendants in

14

1 this case, we will be representing
2 Mr. Handrick.
3 MR. POLAND: Just to make sure I
4 understand that, Dan, for anything that comes
5 up that postdates November 22?
6 MR. KELLY: November 22 and
7 forward, correct.
8 MR. POLAND: Okay. For the purpose
9 of responding to the subpoena, which was
10 served after November 22 --
11 MR. KELLY: Not.
12 MR. POLAND: -- you're not
13 representing. Okay.
14 MR. KELLY: Correct.
15 MR. POLAND: All right. I'll see
16 if I can keep that straight.
17 Q Do you recall whether you were given a copy of the
18 subpoena by somebody with Mr. McLeod's law firm,
19 Michael Best & Friedrich, or by your own law firm?
20 A No, I don't recall.
21 Q Okay. Turning your attention back to this last
22 page of Exhibit 1, and these are the categories of
23 documents. Did you have an opportunity to read
24 through each of the categories of documents that
25 are enumerated on Exhibit 1?

15

1 A Yes.
2 Q All right. And you understood that you were to
3 look through materials in your, in your
4 possession, custody, or control that fell into
5 these categories of documents?
6 A Yes.
7 Q And did you do that, sir?
8 A Yes.
9 Q All right. Did you bring documents with you this
10 morning?
11 A I did not bring documents with me.
12 Q You did not bring any documents personally with
13 you this morning?
14 A No.
15 Q Okay. Did you have -- when you looked through
16 these categories of documents and you looked for
17 documents in your possession, custody, or control
18 that were requested in Exhibit No. 1 here, did you
19 find any documents that were responsive to these
20 categories?
21 MR. MCLEOD: Let me assert an
22 objection. Documents were brought today by
23 Mr. Handrick through his counsel here. So to
24 the extent there's some misunderstanding
25 about the question, he certainly did. I'm

16

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 not trying to make a speaking objection here,
 2 but documents were produced by Mr. Handrick
 3 through his representative as directed by
 4 the, by the subpoena.
 5 Q Okay. Did you look through materials in your
 6 possession, custody, or control for documents that
 7 were responsive to Exhibit No. 1?
 8 A Yes.
 9 Q All right. And did you find any documents that
 10 were responsive to Exhibit No. 1?
 11 A Yes.
 12 Q All right. What did you do with those documents?
 13 A I provided those to counsel.
 14 Q Okay. You provided those to Mr. McLeod?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q All right. And are those the documents that you
 17 brought with you this morning?
 18 Why don't we mark them as an exhibit, and
 19 then we can see. Let's mark -- let's mark the
 20 paper copies here as a group exhibit,
 21 Exhibit No. 2. And let's mark this -- it's either
 22 a CD or DVD -- as Exhibit No. 3.
 23 (Exhibit Nos. 2 and 3 marked for
 24 identification)
 25 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm going to hand to you

17

1 two exhibits. One has been marked Exhibit No. 2,
 2 and that's a collection of papers. And another
 3 has been marked Exhibit No. 3, and it's a disk.
 4 It's either a CD or a DVD. I can't tell. Okay.
 5 So you have these documents in front of you, sir?
 6 A Yes.
 7 Q All right. Are these documents -- these were
 8 documents I'll represent that were handed to me
 9 this morning when you arrived here by your
 10 counsel, Mr. McLeod. And the question is whether
 11 Exhibit No. 2 and Exhibit No. 3 are documents that
 12 you gave to Mr. McLeod. And you can take -- you
 13 can look through them.
 14 (Witness reviews document)
 15 A Could you please restate your question?
 16 MR. POLAND: Sure. Could you read
 17 the question back?
 18 (Question read)
 19 A Only a portion.
 20 Q Okay. Of the documents that have been marked
 21 Exhibit No. 2 and Exhibit No. 3, you only gave a
 22 portion of those documents to Mr. McLeod --
 23 A Yes.
 24 Q -- is that correct? All right.
 25 And you've separated out -- you separated out

18

1 two pages; is that correct?
 2 A Yes.
 3 Q All right. And those are -- could you describe
 4 what it is that you've separated out.
 5 A This is a population total of the old state
 6 legislative map.
 7 Q Okay. And let's mark that separately as
 8 Exhibit 2A. Can you do that? So we keep the
 9 record clear.
 10 (Exhibit No. 2A marked for
 11 identification)
 12 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing you what we've now
 13 marked as Exhibit 2A. And is Exhibit 2A -- that's
 14 the only document that's contained within
 15 Exhibits 2 and 3 that you gave to Mr. McLeod?
 16 A Yes.
 17 Q All right. So in response to Exhibit No. 1, which
 18 is the subpoena and the document requests, of all
 19 the files, all the records that you searched,
 20 Exhibit 2A was the only document that you found
 21 that was responsive to these requests?
 22 A Yes.
 23 Q Okay. Do you know what the, what the other
 24 materials are in Exhibit 2 that were produced here
 25 this morning?

19

1 A Yes.
 2 Q Have you seen those documents before?
 3 A Partially.
 4 Q Okay. Which of those documents have you seen
 5 before?
 6 A This document (indicating), this document
 7 (indicating), this document (indicating), this
 8 document (indicating), and these pages of this
 9 document (indicating).
 10 Q Okay. So we can just take these apart here. So
 11 these ones right here (indicating) are the ones
 12 that you had seen?
 13 A These (indicating) --
 14 Q Okay.
 15 A -- no. The answer to your question, I've seen
 16 this portion (indicating).
 17 Q That portion. Okay. Got it. All right. So
 18 let's go through these here just quickly so I
 19 understand what we are dealing with. Documents
 20 that you've seen before within Exhibit No. 2 -- or
 21 actually, strike that question.
 22 The only document within Exhibit 2 that you
 23 haven't seen before is which one?
 24 A This document (indicating).
 25 Q Okay.

20

1 A This portion of this document (indicating).
 2 Q Okay. And so you're referring there to -- there's
 3 a stapled collection of invoices; is that correct?
 4 My copy is stapled. Yours is paper clipped.
 5 A Yes.
 6 Q All right. And that begins with an invoice dated
 7 March 23; that's the first page?
 8 A Yes.
 9 Q And if you flip to the very back page of that, it
 10 says at the top invoice -- that's an invoice dated
 11 August 31, 2011, last page of it?
 12 A Yes.
 13 Q All right. And so that of Exhibit 2, that
 14 collection of invoices, that's the only part of
 15 Exhibit 2 that you haven't seen before; is that
 16 correct?
 17 A That is correct.
 18 Q All right. Great. Of the other -- of the other
 19 materials contained within Exhibit 2, there is a
 20 letter dated February 18, and that has attached to
 21 it a copy of a letter dated February 17 and a
 22 letter dated February 15 and then a memorandum at
 23 the very back?
 24 A Yes.
 25 Q Okay. And that's a document you have seen before,

21

1 correct?
 2 A Yes.
 3 Q All right. Then of the other documents that are
 4 contained within Exhibit No. 2, there is two pages
 5 of handwritten notes. You've seen that document
 6 before?
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q Whose notes are those?
 9 A Those are my notes.
 10 Q Okay. Did you retain a copy of these notes in
 11 your own files?
 12 A No.
 13 Q Do you know when you made these notes?
 14 A No.
 15 Q Do you know where this copy of the notes came
 16 from?
 17 A No.
 18 Q You can set those to the side for just a moment.
 19 There's another document then that has some
 20 numbers on it, some red printing, and it says
 21 "Districts that have been cleaned up through
 22 Thursday are." Do you see that document?
 23 A Yes.
 24 Q And that's two pages, correct, or are those two
 25 separate pages?

22

1 A They are two separate pages.
 2 Q All right. Does it appear to be the same?
 3 A No.
 4 Q It does not appear to be the same. Okay. What
 5 are the differences?
 6 A In one of the two documents the number 91 is in
 7 red.
 8 Q Okay. Did you create this, these two pages?
 9 A Yes.
 10 Q When did you create them?
 11 A I don't recall.
 12 Q Do you recall what you used to create these with?
 13 Was it in terms of, like, a software package or a
 14 specific program or application?
 15 A I don't recall specifically.
 16 Q Were they created within the 2011 calendar year?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q All right. And so they were created as part of
 19 your work in the legislative redistricting?
 20 A Yes.
 21 Q Did you retain a copy of these two pages in your
 22 own materials?
 23 A No.
 24 Q Do you know who -- whose copy this is that was
 25 produced here this morning?

23

1 A No, I do not.
 2 Q All right. And then the portion of Exhibit 2 that
 3 actually has the exhibit sticker on it, at the
 4 very top it says *Census Geography Splits*. Do you
 5 see that? Can you tell me what this document is.
 6 A This is a report for a map that indicates counties
 7 and municipalities that have been divided between
 8 one or more legislative districts.
 9 Q Did you create the report, this particular report?
 10 A No.
 11 Q Do you know who did create it?
 12 A No.
 13 Q At the bottom of the first page of this document,
 14 the *Census Geography Splits* document, do you see
 15 it has an icon in the lower left corner that says
 16 autoBound?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q Can you tell me what autoBound is.
 19 A AutoBound is a software that is used in the
 20 redistricting process.
 21 Q Have you used autoBound before?
 22 A Yes.
 23 Q Are you trained on autoBound, or have you received
 24 training on autoBound?
 25 A No.

24

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Q Did you use autoBound for any of your work in the
2 redistricting that's reflected in the 2000
3 Wisconsin -- 2011 Wisconsin Acts 43 and 44?
4 A Yes.
5 Q When was the first time that you used autoBound,
6 period, in your entire career doing redistricting
7 work?
8 A 2001.
9 Q Okay. You did not use it for the 1991 or 1992
10 redistricting?
11 A I don't recall what was used.
12 Q Do you know, was autoBound available back then?
13 A I don't know.
14 Q Don't know. You can set that to the side for just
15 a moment. Oh, one other question. Did you -- the
16 work that you did on autoBound for the 2011
17 Wisconsin Acts 43 and 44 in the redistricting, did
18 you retain any of the reports or work that you did
19 with autoBound?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Okay. And what was it that you retained?
22 A This document (indicating).
23 Q Okay. That we've marked as Exhibit 2A?
24 A Yes.
25 Q All right. So the Exhibit 2A, that's a report

25

1 that was printed in autoBound?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And I notice that the report, the thicker report
4 that had the Exhibit 2 sticker on it, it has the
5 date up at the top, June 15, 2011 date. The
6 report that's been marked as 2A does not have a
7 date on it; is that correct?
8 A That is correct.
9 Q Do you know whether Exhibit 2A would have been
10 created on or around the same time as Exhibit 2,
11 the date that's June 15, 2011?
12 A No.
13 Q Would it have been created before?
14 A Yes.
15 Q Do you know when it would have been created
16 before?
17 A No, I do not.
18 Q Okay. Is there a way to determine from the file
19 that you've retained when it was created?
20 A Only that it was created after the census was
21 taken.
22 Q Or after the census data became available?
23 A Yes.
24 Q When did the census data become available? And
25 this is for the 2010 decennial census.

26

1 A I don't know that date.
2 Q Roughly, do you know if March, April time frame?
3 A I believe it's roughly April, early April.
4 Q Okay. Do you recall how you received the census
5 data?
6 A No.
7 Q Do you remember whether you pulled it off a
8 website or somebody gave it to you?
9 A No.
10 Q Do you recall whether you received it from
11 Mr. McLeod's law firm?
12 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert --
13 I'm going to assert a privilege to the extent
14 that the question calls for communications
15 between counsel and Mr. Handrick, which would
16 be subject to the attorney-client, attorney
17 work product privilege. Subject to that you
18 can answer the question.
19 A When I saw census data, it was at the law firm.
20 Q And at the law firm, you mean at Michael Best &
21 Friedrich?
22 A Yes.
23 Q As opposed to your own law firm, Reinhart? All
24 right. I'm just trying to keep the two --
25 A Yes.

27

1 Q -- okay, separate.
2 When you worked at Michael Best & Friedrich
3 and saw the census data, was that in the Milwaukee
4 office or the Madison office?
5 A In the Madison office.
6 Q Do you work out of -- your own firm, Reinhart, do
7 you work out of the Milwaukee office or the
8 Madison office --
9 A Both.
10 Q -- or both?
11 Do you currently live in the Milwaukee area?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Is your primary residence in the Milwaukee area?
14 A No.
15 Q Where is your primary residence?
16 A Minocqua.
17 Q And then you also have a residence in the
18 Milwaukee area?
19 A Not a residence.
20 Q Okay.
21 A I live there.
22 Q Okay. Live in Milwaukee, in the city of Milwaukee
23 itself?
24 A No.
25 Q Where do you -- where do you live in the Milwaukee

28

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 area?

2 A The city of Port Washington.

3 Q So two residences, one in Port Washington and then

4 one in Minocqua?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And you work out of both the Reinhart office in

7 Madison and in Milwaukee?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Do you maintain files relating to your

10 redistricting work in both Reinhart's Madison

11 office and the Milwaukee office?

12 A No.

13 Q All right. Do you have them only in one office?

14 A I do not retain files related to redistricting.

15 Q Why don't you retain files related to

16 redistricting?

17 A Reinhart was retained by Michael Best & Friedrich

18 to assist them, so I did not retain files on the

19 matter.

20 Q Okay. Did somebody tell you not to retain files?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Okay. Who told you not to retain files?

23 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert

24 the same objection to the extent it calls for

25 attorney-client privileged information,

29

1 attorney work product.

2 Q Okay. You can answer the question.

3 A Can you please restate the question?

4 MR. POLAND: Sure. Can you read it

5 back?

6 (Question read)

7 A As someone who's assisting legal counsel, I was

8 requested by legal counsel to not remove any files

9 from their offices.

10 Q So everything that you looked at was at

11 Michael Best & Friedrich; is that correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q All right. You didn't take anything off of the

14 premises of Michael Best & Friedrich relating to

15 redistricting?

16 A That is not correct.

17 Q Okay. What did you take off the premises of

18 Michael Best & Friedrich that relates to

19 redistricting?

20 A This document (indicating).

21 MR. KELLY: I'll object to the

22 extent the question calls for a response with

23 respect to any work that he's done on

24 November 22 or subsequent thereto as being

25 covered by the attorney-client privilege and

30

1 work product doctrine and to the extent the

2 question requires you to answer with respect

3 to that topic. And I instruct you not to

4 answer.

5 If you can answer the question without

6 discussing anything that occurred on

7 November 22 or after, then you may.

8 Q Okay. Let's talk first about before November 22.

9 Okay. What did you take off the premises of

10 Michael Best & Friedrich that related to

11 redistricting?

12 A This document (indicating).

13 Q Okay. So -- and by *this document*, you mean

14 Exhibit -- what's been marked as Exhibit 2A?

15 A Yes.

16 Q All right. And that's the, that's the only piece

17 of paper or other file that you took off the

18 premises of Michael Best & Friedrich that relates

19 to redistricting; is that correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q All right. I actually need to go back because I

22 did forget to ask you about one other item that

23 you brought with you today. And that's been

24 marked as deposition Exhibit 3. It is a -- it's

25 either a CD or a DVD for the record here that has

31

1 a label *Joe Handrick, Draft Maps - Block*

2 *Assignment Files*. I'm going to hand a copy of

3 that to you and ask you have you seen Exhibit 3

4 before?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And what is Exhibit 3?

7 A My understanding is this is a disk containing maps

8 upon which I worked.

9 Q Okay. And the work that you did, that was work

10 that would have been performed at Michael Best &

11 Friedrich's offices as well?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And that was at the Michael Best offices in

14 Milwaukee, is that correct, or in Madison?

15 A Michael Best offices in Madison.

16 Q In Madison. Did you -- did you ever perform any

17 work on the maps in Michael Best's Milwaukee

18 office?

19 A No.

20 Q All right. So all of the work that you performed

21 on redistricting in 2011 was performed in

22 Michael Best's offices in Madison; is that

23 correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Who was present during the time that -- at

32

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Michael Best's offices in Madison during the time
2 you were doing redistricting work there?

3 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
4 the objection to the extent it calls for
5 attorney-client, attorney work product
6 information. To the extent it does, I'd
7 instruct the witness not to answer.

8 MR. KELLY: And I assert the same
9 objection.

10 Q Okay. I'm only talking about the time here now
11 before November 22, so that should take care of
12 Mr. Kelly's objection for the time being.

13 So let me -- can you read back the question?
14 (Question read)

15 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
16 the form of the question as vague. Subject
17 to my privilege objection and to my form
18 objection Mr. Handrick can answer.

19 MS. LAZAR: I would also object
20 that it's not temporally limited in scope.
21 Are you talking -- could you give him a time
22 frame, please?

23 Q Sure. When were you first retained by
24 Michael Best & Friedrich to perform work on
25 redistricting?

33

1 A I do not recall.

2 Q Okay. I'm going to ask you to take a look at --
3 actually, this is a separate exhibit here. We'll
4 make it a little bit cleaner here.

5 (Exhibit No. 4 marked for
6 identification)

7 Q I'm going to hand you that. We can go ahead and
8 mark two other exhibits here.

9 (Exhibit Nos. 5 and 6 marked for
10 identification)

11 Q Mr. Handrick, I've had the court reporter mark
12 three documents as exhibits, and you should have
13 those in front of you now. They should be marked
14 as Exhibits 4, 5, and 6. Do you see those?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. I'd like you to take a look at
17 Exhibit No. 4, please. Can you identify
18 Exhibit No. 4 for me, please.

19 A Yes.

20 Q What is Exhibit No. 4?

21 A It is a letter to Don Millis and myself from
22 Michael Best & Friedrich.

23 Q Have you seen Exhibit No. 4 previously?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And did you receive Exhibit No. 4 on or about

34

1 February 15, 2011?

2 A Yes.

3 Q I'd like you to take a look at the first
4 paragraph, please. Do you see where it states
5 "This letter confirms our engagement of
6 Joseph W. Handrick as a consultant in connection
7 with our representation of the Wisconsin State
8 Senate, by its Majority Leader Scott L. Fitzgerald
9 and the Wisconsin State Assembly, by its Speaker
10 Jeff Fitzgerald"? And then in parens it says
11 "(the 'client') in the above matter, which
12 involves potential litigation." Do you see that?

13 A Yes.

14 Q All right. Does this refresh your recollection
15 that you were retained on or about February 15,
16 2011 by Mr. McLeod's law firm?

17 A Yes.

18 Q All right. If you look in the second paragraph,
19 do you see that first sentence? There's a
20 reference to the consulting work that you'll be
21 doing, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And that states that you'll be providing
24 consultation on Wisconsin demographic matters, and
25 then it goes on the rest of the paragraph?

35

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. And then I'd like you to turn your
3 attention to the third paragraph. Do you see that
4 it states you will be paid \$5,000 per month,
5 beginning of the date of this engagement letter
6 and continuing through May 2012 or until the
7 retention is terminated, correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And then if you turn the page, you'll see
10 two signatures there, correct? One under
11 Mr. McLeod's signature line, correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And then there is another signature line further
14 down, and there appears to be a signature in that
15 line as well, doesn't there?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Do you know whose signature that is?

18 A I do not.

19 Q Okay. Do you know whether it's Mr. Millis's
20 signature?

21 A I do not.

22 Q Who is Mr. Millis?

23 A Mr. Millis is an attorney at the Reinhart law
24 firm.

25 Q Do you work with Mr. Millis?

36

1 A Yes.
2 Q And it appears that it's -- the signature is dated
3 February 17, 2011?
4 A Yes.
5 Q Is it your understanding that on or about
6 February -- sometime between February 15 and
7 February 17, 2011 you were retained to work on the
8 redistricting?
9 A Yes.
10 Q I'd like you to -- you can set that aside. I'd
11 like you to look at Exhibits 5 and 6. Have you
12 seen Exhibits 5 and 6 before?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Could you identify them, please, for the record.
15 A Exhibit 5 is a letter to Eric McLeod from
16 Don Millis. Exhibit 6, the same.
17 Q Okay. And Exhibit 5 is a letter dated
18 February 17, correct?
19 A Yes.
20 Q And Exhibit No. 6 is a letter dated February 18,
21 correct?
22 A Yes.
23 Q Okay. And you are copied on both those letters;
24 you're identified as a cc?
25 A Yes.

37

1 Q All right. I'd like you to turn to the last page
2 of both of those letters, Exhibits 5 and 6, and
3 I'd like you to look at the last paragraph of both
4 letters. And I'll read -- I believe that
5 they're -- they are the same, but let me read it.
6 It states "You will be deemed to have accepted
7 this arrangement on the terms and conditions of
8 this letter and its enclosure upon your failure to
9 object to these terms in writing within ten days
10 of the date of this letter." Do you see that
11 language?
12 A Yes.
13 Q All right. Do you know whether Mr. McLeod or
14 anyone from Michael Best & Friedrich ever objected
15 to the terms stated in those letters?
16 A I do not know that.
17 Q Is it your understanding that your engagement was
18 pursuant to Mr. McLeod's original letter that's
19 Exhibit 4 and then the two letters from Mr. Millis
20 that are Exhibits 5 and 6?
21 A The Reinhart engagement.
22 Q Correct. You're saying Reinhart's engagement as
23 opposed to your engagement?
24 A Yes.
25 Q All right. So you're making a distinction between

38

1 the two?
2 A Yes.
3 Q All right. Do you -- you were retained as an
4 employee of the Reinhart law firm; is that
5 correct?
6 A No.
7 Q All right. What's your understanding of your
8 engagement?
9 A Reinhart -- my understanding is Reinhart was
10 retained by Michael Best & Friedrich.
11 Q Okay. So the law firm of Reinhart in general?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Why were the -- why was Mr. McLeod's original
14 letter sent to you, and why were you cc'd on the
15 two letters from Mr. Millis back to Mr. McLeod?
16 A I don't know.
17 Q Is it your understanding that you were primarily
18 going to be responsible for doing the work with
19 Michael Best & Friedrich on the redistricting?
20 A My understanding is that Reinhart was going to ask
21 me to provide assistance to the client that they
22 had retained.
23 Q Or the client that had retained Reinhart?
24 A Yes. I'm sorry.
25 Q Now, you were just hired by Reinhart about a year

39

1 ago, correct?
2 A Yes.
3 Q That was in around December of 2010?
4 A Yes.
5 Q Do you have a curriculum vitae or a resume?
6 A No.
7 Q All right. I want to go back to a question that I
8 was asking you before we went down and established
9 Reinhart's engagement on or around February 15 for
10 the purpose of legislative redistricting. And so
11 I want to ask you between that time and
12 November 22, which is the date Mr. Kelly
13 identified, who did you -- who was present while
14 you were working at Michael Best & Friedrich on
15 redistricting?
16 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
17 the same objection on the grounds of
18 attorney-client, attorney work product
19 privileges to the extent that the answer
20 requires disclosure of information. Subject
21 to those privileges I'd instruct Mr. Handrick
22 not to answer.
23 Q So you can answer the question.
24 A Please restate the question.
25 (Question read)

40

1 MR. MCLEOD: I'm also going to
 2 restate the objections raised previously
 3 concerning vagueness and relatedly the
 4 failure to describe any time period, which is
 5 a problem with the form of the question.
 6 Subject to that you can answer.
 7 A I can't recall.
 8 Q Are -- your counsel had instructed you not to
 9 answer to the extent it was going to reveal
 10 attorney-client privileged information. Are you
 11 following your counsel's instruction not to answer
 12 the question with respect to privileged
 13 information?
 14 A No. I can't recall the answer to your question.
 15 Q Okay. You don't recall anyone who was present at
 16 any time during -- between February 15, 2011 and
 17 November 22, 2011 when you were working on
 18 redistricting matters at Michael Best & Friedrich?
 19 A Certainly I do.
 20 Q Okay. Who was present?
 21 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
 22 the same objections as I did before.
 23 A At all times?
 24 Q Not at all times. Just identify for me as many
 25 people as you can remember who were present, and

41

1 we'll go through them, and we'll take them one by
 2 one.
 3 A Tad Ottman, Adam Foltz, Jim Troupis, Eric McLeod,
 4 Ray Taffora, legislative leadership.
 5 Q Okay. And who among the legislative leadership
 6 was present?
 7 A Speaker Jeff Fitzgerald, Majority Leader
 8 Scott Fitzgerald.
 9 Q During that entire time period, February 15, 2011
 10 to November 22, 2011, while you were at
 11 Michael Best & Friedrich, were there any other
 12 people who were present with you at that time
 13 other than the people you've just mentioned?
 14 A Yes.
 15 Q Who else was present?
 16 A Sarah Troupis, Robin Vos, Rich Zipperer,
 17 Keith Gaddie.
 18 Q Okay. Anyone else that you can remember being
 19 present?
 20 A I can't recall anyone else.
 21 Q All right. So let's go back through and identify
 22 each of these people. You mentioned Tad Ottman.
 23 Who is Mr. Ottman?
 24 A Mr. Ottman is an employee of the state
 25 legislature.

42

1 Q Do you know who specifically he works for?
 2 A My understanding is he works for the
 3 Senator Scott Fitzgerald.
 4 Q Why was he present during the time that you were
 5 working on legislative redistricting at
 6 Michael Best & Friedrich?
 7 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object --
 8 I'm sorry. I'm going to object to the form
 9 of the question. I think it's vague and
 10 ambiguous.
 11 Q You can answer.
 12 MR. MCLEOD: To the extent you
 13 understand the question, you can answer.
 14 A Please repeat the question.
 15 Q Sure.
 16 (Question read)
 17 A He's an assistant to Senator Scott Fitzgerald.
 18 Q And what did Mr. Ottman do while he was with you
 19 at Michael Best & Friedrich working on legislative
 20 redistricting?
 21 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
 22 the form of the question. I think it's vague
 23 and ambiguous.
 24 Q You can answer.
 25 A Can you please repeat the question?

43

1 (Question read)
 2 A He worked on behalf of his employer.
 3 Q What did you observe him doing?
 4 A He would -- he would develop -- he would develop
 5 maps at the direction of -- actually, I don't know
 6 whose direction. He would develop maps.
 7 Q Okay. How many times did you see him at
 8 Michael Best & Friedrich when you were there?
 9 A Oh, I don't know.
 10 Q Can you give me a ballpark?
 11 A Dozens.
 12 Q You were both present at Michael Best together
 13 working on legislative redistricting dozens of
 14 times; is that correct?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q What was he physically doing when he was -- when
 17 you saw him developing maps?
 18 MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of
 19 the question. I think it's vague and
 20 ambiguous.
 21 A I did not observe him or monitor him as he, as he
 22 drew maps.
 23 Q You didn't see him drawing any maps at all?
 24 A I'm sorry?
 25 Q If you answered the question, I didn't hear it.

44

1 A Oh.
2 Q You didn't see him drawing any maps?
3 A I'm aware that he was drawing maps.
4 Q Okay.
5 A I did not oversee him drawing the maps.
6 Q But did you physically observe him drawing maps?
7 A Oh, yes.
8 Q Was he sitting at a computer as he was drawing
9 maps?
10 A Yes.
11 Q Was he -- did he have his hand on the mouse? Was
12 he clicking things? Was he typing on a keyboard?
13 What was he doing physically when you saw him
14 working on the maps?
15 A I would imagine all of the above.
16 Q Okay. Was anybody else in the room with
17 Mr. Ottman when you observed him working on the
18 maps?
19 A Sometimes.
20 Q Who did you see in the room with Mr. Ottman when
21 he was working on the maps?
22 A Adam Foltz.
23 Q Anyone else?
24 A While he was working on maps, no.
25 Q Okay. Was there anyone else communicating with
45

1 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz while you saw Mr. Ottman
2 working on the maps?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Who was communicating with him?
5 A Legal counsel.
6 Q Who -- which legal counsel?
7 A The legal counsel I recall, Eric McLeod,
8 Ray Taffora, Jim Troupis, and Sarah Troupis.
9 Q Were they present in the room as well?
10 A At times.
11 Q At times. Okay. Were they ever on the telephone?
12 A Oh, I don't recall.
13 Q What about Mr. Gaddie; was Mr. Gaddie ever present
14 with Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz when you saw them
15 working on maps?
16 A Not that I recall.
17 Q Did you ever see -- were you ever present with
18 Mr. Foltz at Michael Best & Friedrich when
19 Mr. Ottman wasn't there?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And what was the -- strike that question.
22 How many times did you see Mr. Foltz there
23 when Mr. Ottman was not there?
24 A I don't -- I don't recall that number.
25 Q As many times as with Mr. Ottman, dozens of times?
46

1 A Could you please repeat your original question?
2 Q Well, let me ask it this way: How many times were
3 you and Mr. Foltz at Michael Best & Friedrich when
4 Mr. Ottman was not present?
5 A Not present?
6 Q Yes.
7 A I don't recall the exact number.
8 Q Would it have been as many as dozens of times that
9 you mentioned with Mr. Ottman?
10 A No.
11 Q What did you observe Mr. Foltz doing when you were
12 together at Michael Best & Friedrich?
13 A Same work that Mr. Ottman was performing.
14 Q Did you ever observe either Mr. Foltz or
15 Mr. Ottman working on any physical pieces of
16 paper?
17 A Yes.
18 Q What were the -- what were the physical pieces of
19 paper that they were working on?
20 A It was a large map that takes this data
21 (indicating) and makes it spatial.
22 Q Okay. And by *this data*, you're referring to
23 Exhibit 2A?
24 A Correct.
25 Q And that was the -- that reflects the old
47

1 legislative districts, correct?
2 A Correct.
3 Q And by the *old legislative districts*, we mean the
4 districts that were put into place in 2002 by the
5 Court, correct?
6 A Yes.
7 Q All right. Is there a specific software program
8 that does that?
9 A I don't know --
10 Q Okay.
11 A -- how that's produced.
12 Q So they were looking at -- they were looking at
13 maps that were, that were printed, and it was a
14 spatial representation of the data in Exhibit 2A?
15 A Of some of the data.
16 Q Of some of the data. Okay. Do you know which of
17 the data in particular?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And which data was that?
20 A That data would have been the left-hand column and
21 the column headed *Difference*.
22 Q So the left-hand column, that was -- that was the
23 district, correct?
24 A Correct.
25 Q And these are the assembly districts?
48

1 A Yes.
2 Q And then the column that's headed with the word
3 *Difference*?
4 A Yes.
5 Q What does the *Difference* column represent?
6 A My understanding is that the *Difference* column
7 represents the variance from the target population
8 of each of the districts enumerated following the
9 2010 census.
10 Q You mentioned that also present with you at times
11 at Michael Best & Friedrich were Jeff Fitzgerald
12 and Scott Fitzgerald, correct?
13 A Yes.
14 Q When was Jeff Fitzgerald present with you at
15 Michael Best & Friedrich?
16 A I don't recall exactly.
17 Q Do you recall what Jeff Fitzgerald was doing when
18 he was with you at Michael Best & Friedrich?
19 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
20 the form of the question. I think it
21 misstates a prior answer, and it's vague and
22 ambiguous.
23 Q You can answer the question.
24 A Can you please repeat the question?
25 (Question read)

49

1 A Yes.
2 Q What was he doing?
3 A He was reviewing regional options for a map.
4 Q And when you say *regional options for a map*, what
5 do you mean by that?
6 A The legislative assistants, Tad and Adam, would
7 present to their employer various options for each
8 region of the state.
9 Q And when you say *for each region of the state*, how
10 are you defining what was a region?
11 A I don't recall exactly how the regions were broken
12 down.
13 Q Were they broken down on district lines, existing
14 district lines, or was there some other criteria?
15 A My recollection is that they were broken down more
16 geographical.
17 Q Okay. Were you present when these options were
18 presented to Jeff Fitzgerald?
19 A Yes.
20 Q Were these presented to Mr. Fitzgerald on a
21 computer screen or on a printed copy?
22 A They were presented on a printed copy.
23 Q Did you work at all on assisting -- you said Tad
24 and Adam before. I assume that you mean
25 Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz, correct?

50

1 A Yes.
2 Q Did you assist Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz in
3 creating these regional options that they
4 presented to Mr. Fitzgerald?
5 A I created some of them.
6 Q Which ones specifically did you create?
7 A I don't -- I don't recall.
8 Q Did Mr. Fitzgerald direct you to create any
9 specific options or specific maps?
10 A No.
11 Q Did he direct Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz to your
12 knowledge to create any specific options or
13 specific maps?
14 A No.
15 Q You mentioned also that Mr. Scott Fitzgerald also
16 was present with you at times at Michael Best &
17 Friedrich, correct?
18 A Yes.
19 Q All right. What did you observe
20 Mr. Scott Fitzgerald doing when you were together
21 at Michael Best & Friedrich?
22 A Same as Speaker Fitzgerald.
23 Q Were both Jeff and Scott Fitzgerald together at
24 Michael Best & Friedrich with you at the same
25 time?

51

1 A To the best of my recollection, yes.
2 Q When Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman presented these
3 options to Jeff Fitzgerald, did they present them
4 to Scott Fitzgerald at the same time?
5 A Yes.
6 Q So both Scott and Jeff Fitzgerald were present
7 when Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman were presenting
8 these options to them; is that correct?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Do you know how -- do you recall how many times
11 this occurred, that Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz
12 presented options to both Jeff and
13 Scott Fitzgerald?
14 A No.
15 Q Do you recall the dates on which or roughly the
16 time frames in which this occurred?
17 A My recollection is that that would have been in
18 June of 2011.
19 Q You mentioned before that you do not have a CV or
20 a resume, correct?
21 A That is correct.
22 Q Okay. You do have a file on your firm's website,
23 correct?
24 A I believe so.
25 MR. POLAND: All right. I'm going

52

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 to ask if you'd mark this as Exhibit 7.
 2 (Exhibit No. 7 marked for
 3 identification)
 4 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing you what the court
 5 reporter has marked as deposition Exhibit No. 7.
 6 Do you have that in front of you?
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q And can you identify Exhibit No. 7.
 9 A This is a bio that I believe appears on the
 10 Reinhart web page.
 11 Q All right. Have you seen this bio before?
 12 A Yes.
 13 Q All right. Is the information that's reflected in
 14 this bio correct and accurate as of today's date
 15 to the best of your knowledge?
 16 A Yes.
 17 Q Your bio states, Mr. Handrick, that you have a BS
 18 from the University of Wisconsin-Madison,
 19 occupational therapy; is that correct?
 20 A Yes.
 21 Q And you earned that in 1996?
 22 A Yes.
 23 Q You do not have a law degree; is that correct?
 24 A That is correct.
 25 Q Have you ever attended law school?

53

1 A No.
 2 Q Other than your undergraduate studies, have you
 3 had any formal education beyond high school?
 4 A No.
 5 Q Your bio identifies you as a government relations
 6 specialist; is that correct?
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q And that's a position that you've held since
 9 December 2010?
 10 A Yes.
 11 Q Do you have any, any clients other than the
 12 clients as they've been defined in the engagement
 13 letters that we looked at before for the
 14 legislative redistricting matter?
 15 A I am -- yes, I do.
 16 Q Okay. You are a lobbyist licensed with the state
 17 of Wisconsin, correct?
 18 A Yes.
 19 (Exhibit No. 8 marked for
 20 identification)
 21 Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a copy of a document
 22 that's been marked as Exhibit No. 8. And do you
 23 have that in front of you?
 24 A Yes.
 25 Q And does that represent the organizations on which

54

1 you've been licensed to lobby for the current
 2 legislative session?
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q This printout, you'll look at the bottom and see
 5 it's dated as of November 30, 2011; do you see
 6 that?
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q Are there any other, any other organizations that
 9 you've been licensed to represent in the current
 10 legislative session?
 11 A No.
 12 Q And your license was issued on January 25, 2011,
 13 correct?
 14 A Yes.
 15 Q Did you obtain that license -- or strike that
 16 question.
 17 Did you apply for that license to represent
 18 any particular organization in the current
 19 legislative session?
 20 A No.
 21 Q Now, before you joined the Reinhart law firm, you
 22 were the town chair for Minocqua, correct?
 23 A Yes.
 24 Q And that's a position that you began in 2005?
 25 A That is not correct.

55

1 Q Okay. When did you begin as the town chair?
 2 A January 3, 2006.
 3 Q While you were serving as the Minocqua town chair
 4 from January 3, 2006 through the time that you
 5 started at Reinhart in 2010, did you have any
 6 other jobs or professional positions?
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q What else did you do?
 9 A I stocked produce at Wal-Mart.
 10 Q And that was up in Minocqua?
 11 A Yes.
 12 Q Were you also the legislative director for the
 13 Wisconsin Occupational Therapy Association?
 14 A Yes.
 15 Q And how long did you hold that position?
 16 A I held that position through March 9 of 2011.
 17 Q And you're no longer serving as the legislative
 18 director for the Wisconsin Occupational Therapy
 19 Association?
 20 A I would have to look at what exactly my title is
 21 on the -- on my new contract.
 22 Q Okay. So you have a separate contract with that
 23 entity?
 24 A Yes.
 25 Q Is that contract ongoing? Is it current?

56

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 A Yes.
2 Q And you were a -- you were licensed as a lobbyist
3 on behalf of the Wisconsin Occupational Therapy
4 Association in the 2009-2010 legislative session?
5 A I do not believe I was a licensed lobbyist in 2009
6 or 2010.
7 Q Okay. In the 2005 to 2010 time frame, were you
8 licensed as a lobbyist on behalf of any other
9 organizations?
10 A I believe so.
11 Q Do you recall what they were?
12 A I believe the Wisconsin Bear Hunters Association.
13 Q Okay. Any others that you can recall?
14 A That's all I can recall.
15 Q All right. Were there any other jobs that you
16 held other than the job at Wal-Mart that you
17 mentioned and the positions that we've just talked
18 about here, any other jobs you held during the
19 2005 to 2010 time frame?
20 A Not that I can recall.
21 Q All right. Now, you were a lobbyist for the
22 Wisconsin Society of Anesthesiologists at one
23 point, correct?
24 A Yes.
25 Q And that was in the 2003-2004 legislative session?

57

1 A In that time frame, yes.
2 Q Okay. Were there any other jobs that you held in
3 the 2003 to 2004 time frame?
4 A None that I recall.
5 Q Any other organizations on whose behalf you
6 lobbied in the 2003-2004 legislative session?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And which were those?
9 A I can recall Smoke Free Wisconsin. I can recall
10 the United States Sportsmen's Alliance. I can
11 recall the Wisconsin Occupational Therapy
12 Association.
13 Q Any others that you can recall?
14 A That's all I can recall.
15 Q I'd like to take you back to the 2000 and 2002
16 time frame. Now, you were a legislature in the
17 state assembly from 1994 to 2000, correct?
18 A That's not correct.
19 Q That's not. Okay. What was the time frame when
20 you were in the state assembly?
21 A I was a state legislature from January of 1995 to
22 approximately January 2 of 2001.
23 Q Okay. And we'll get back to that in just a
24 minute. What did you do for a living between the
25 time that you left the assembly on January 2, 2001

58

1 and the time that you started working for the
2 anesthesiologists in 2003?
3 A I was self-employed.
4 Q Was that up in Minocqua?
5 A No.
6 Q Where were you self-employed?
7 A In Madison.
8 Q Okay. And what were you doing?
9 A I was retained by Michael Best & Friedrich to
10 assist them in the redistricting that followed the
11 2000 census.
12 Q Was that under a contract as well?
13 A I believe so.
14 Q Okay. Do you recall when you were retained for
15 that work?
16 A No, I don't.
17 Q All right. And you were doing consulting work for
18 Michael Best at that time with the 2001
19 redistricting litigation?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Do you remember who Michael Best & Friedrich was
22 representing in that litigation?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Who were they representing?
25 A They were representing the plaintiffs.

59

1 Q And do you know who specifically the plaintiffs
2 were in that redistricting litigation?
3 A My recollection is that the plaintiffs were
4 Jensen/Panzer.
5 Q Was it just the -- do you remember if it was just
6 the assembly that were the plaintiffs in there,
7 members of the assembly?
8 A I don't recall.
9 Q And you were retained to develop legislative maps
10 for Michael Best & Friedrich; is that correct?
11 A Yes.
12 Q At that time the republican party controlled the
13 assembly, correct?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And your retention was specifically to develop
16 legislative maps that would be favorable to the
17 republicans, correct?
18 A No.
19 Q That's not correct?
20 A No.
21 Q You were well compensated for your work, correct?
22 MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of
23 the question, vague and ambiguous. To the
24 extent you understand the question, please
25 answer.

60

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

<p>1 A Could you please restate the question?</p> <p>2 Q Sure.</p> <p>3 (Question read)</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Who paid you for the work that you performed in</p> <p>6 2001?</p> <p>7 A Michael Best & Friedrich.</p> <p>8 Q Did you work with anyone on creating the</p> <p>9 redistricting maps in 2001?</p> <p>10 A Did I work -- would you please --</p> <p>11 Q With anyone -- did you work with anyone in</p> <p>12 creating the legislative redistricting maps in</p> <p>13 2001?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q Who did you work with?</p> <p>16 A Legal counsel.</p> <p>17 Q Michael Best & Friedrich?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q All right. Who were those lawyers?</p> <p>20 A My recollection is Jim Troupis, Eric McLeod.</p> <p>21 Q Anyone else?</p> <p>22 A Other legal counsel.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. Do you recall any specific people?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Who were the other people that you worked with?</p> <p>61</p>	<p>1 on -- you gave me the date before. I'm looking</p> <p>2 for it. Well, January 1995. And you were elected</p> <p>3 as a republican representing Assembly District 34,</p> <p>4 correct?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q You were re-elected in 1996 and 1998, correct?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q All right. And again both times, that was as a</p> <p>9 republican representing District 34?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q When you were at UW-Madison -- strike that</p> <p>12 question.</p> <p>13 You were a student at University of</p> <p>14 Wisconsin-Madison in 1990, correct?</p> <p>15 A I don't -- I don't recall.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. Do you recall working part-time for</p> <p>17 Randy Radtke, a republican in the assembly?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q All right. And was that on or around 1990?</p> <p>20 A 1990, 1991.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. Do you recall that Mr. Radtke was the</p> <p>22 republican chair for the legislative redistricting</p> <p>23 following the 1990 decennial census?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q And you became involved in that redistricting</p> <p>63</p>
<p>1 A Greg Hubbard.</p> <p>2 Q Was Mr. Hubbard a lawyer with Michael Best at the</p> <p>3 time?</p> <p>4 A No.</p> <p>5 Q Do you know what law firm Mr. Hubbard was with?</p> <p>6 A No.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Were you working with the autoBound</p> <p>8 software in 2001?</p> <p>9 A That's my recollection.</p> <p>10 Q Did you have your own office in Madison at the</p> <p>11 time?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q All right. Were you doing your legislative</p> <p>14 redistricting work in 2001 in your own office?</p> <p>15 A No.</p> <p>16 Q Were you doing that work at Michael Best &</p> <p>17 Friedrich?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q In their Madison office?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q Now, you were elected to the state assembly you</p> <p>22 said -- I want to make sure I get the date right.</p> <p>23 You were elected in 1994, correct?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q And you started working in the state assembly</p> <p>62</p>	<p>1 effort on behalf of the republicans as well,</p> <p>2 correct?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q And the redistricting efforts in the early 1990s</p> <p>5 ended up in litigation, correct?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q And in that, you participated in that in drawing</p> <p>8 the maps for that redistricting effort in 1992,</p> <p>9 correct?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q You were working for Mr. Radtke at the time that</p> <p>12 you did that?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q Were you retained by any law firm in 1992 to work</p> <p>15 on redistricting?</p> <p>16 A No.</p> <p>17 Q It was working as an employee of Mr. Radtke?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q And you mentioned Mr. Gaddie before, correct?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q Who is Mr. Gaddie?</p> <p>22 A Mr. Gaddie is a professor from the University of</p> <p>23 Oklahoma.</p> <p>24 Q What -- does Mr. Gaddie have a specialty?</p> <p>25 A I believe he's a political scientist.</p> <p>64</p>

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Q All right. How long have you known Mr. Gaddie?
 2 A Approximately ten years.
 3 Q I should probably call him Professor Gaddie,
 4 right? He's a professor there?
 5 A I believe so.
 6 Q Have you ever been down to his office at the
 7 University of Oklahoma to meet with him?
 8 A No.
 9 Q Have you ever met with him in Wisconsin?
 10 A Yes.
 11 Q How many times have you met with Professor Gaddie
 12 in Wisconsin?
 13 A Going back to 2001. I don't know the exact
 14 number.
 15 Q Okay. Did you meet him during the 2001
 16 redistricting?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q Did you meet Professor Gaddie at Michael Best &
 19 Friedrich?
 20 A I don't recall.
 21 Q Did you work with Mr. Gaddie on the 2001
 22 redistricting?
 23 A Yes.
 24 Q And Mr. Gaddie testified in the trial in the 2001
 25 redistricting, correct?

65

1 A Yes.
 2 Q You did not testify in that litigation, correct?
 3 A I did not.
 4 Q All right. Either in deposition or at the trial,
 5 correct?
 6 A That is correct.
 7 Q Did you assist Mr. Gaddie in preparing for his
 8 testimony in that redistricting litigation, in the
 9 2001, 2002 time frame?
 10 A Yes.
 11 Q Now, in addition to working with Mr. Gaddie on
 12 that, you also worked with Mr. Gaddie on a book,
 13 correct?
 14 A Yes.
 15 Q Or a portion of a book, right?
 16 A Yes.
 17 Q All right. Let's mark that.
 18 (Exhibit No. 9 marked for
 19 identification)
 20 Q I hand you Exhibit No. 9. Mr. Handrick, the court
 21 reporter has handed you a document here that's
 22 been marked as Exhibit No. 9. I will represent
 23 for the record that this is an excerpt from a
 24 book. It's obviously not the entire book. Have
 25 you seen the book that the excerpts are from

66

1 that's been marked as Exhibit 9 before?
 2 A Yes.
 3 Q All right. Can you identify it for the record,
 4 please.
 5 A It's excerpts from a book entitled *Born to Run*.
 6 Q And it's authored by Ronald Keith Gaddie, correct?
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q And that is the Professor Gaddie that we were just
 9 talking about?
 10 A Yes.
 11 Q This is the man that you met during the
 12 redistricting effort in 2001 time frame?
 13 A Yes.
 14 Q If you'd flip to the inside page, so that's the
 15 second page of the exhibit, you'll see it's got a
 16 publication date of 2004. Do you see that?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q Did you -- did you conduct any interviews with
 19 Mr. Gaddie for the purpose of this book?
 20 A Yes.
 21 Q And he interviewed you, correct?
 22 A Yes.
 23 Q So the chapter that we have included here in
 24 Exhibit No. 9 is Chapter 4. Do you see that?
 25 A Yes.

67

1 Q And that's on page 3. And that's *The Life and*
 2 *Times of Joe from Minocqua*?
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q And that would be you, correct?
 5 A That would be me.
 6 Q Okay. 15 minutes of fame, right?
 7 If we flip to the -- and I'm going to refer
 8 to the pages in the book. I think that's an
 9 easier thing to do. They're along the top of the
 10 exhibit so you can see that. If we turn to
 11 page -- the top of page 47, do you see that it
 12 says *Meet Joe Handrick*?
 13 A Yes.
 14 Q All right. And that's you, correct?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q All right. Did you have an opportunity to review
 17 the galleys? Do you know what galleys are,
 18 publication galleys --
 19 A No.
 20 Q -- before the book was published? Okay.
 21 Did you get a chance to look at a draft of
 22 this particular chapter, Chapter 4, before
 23 Mr. Gaddie published the book?
 24 A Not that I recall.
 25 Q Okay. He didn't give you any kind of a

68

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 pre-publication version and ask if these things
2 were correct?
3 A Not that I recall.
4 Q Okay. Well, let's turn to -- look at a couple of
5 pages here. Do you recall generally that
6 Mr. Gaddie in his book addressed the legislative
7 redistricting work that you did in the 1990, 1991,
8 '92 time frame?
9 A I recall that, yes.
10 Q Okay. Did you give interviews with him where you
11 discussed that?
12 A My recollection is yes.
13 Q And also same question with respect to the 2001,
14 2002 legislative redistricting. Is that a topic
15 that you and Mr. Gaddie discussed?
16 A Yes.
17 MR. POLAND: Oops. Can you still
18 hear me okay? The microphone just slipped
19 there.
20 So I'd like you to -- I'm sorry? You
21 know what, why don't we take a five-minute
22 break. We'll fix the microphone issues.
23 (Recess)
24 Q Mr. Handrick, just before we broke we were taking
25 a look at Exhibit No. 9, which is

69

1 Professor Gaddie's book. Do you recall that
2 discussion?
3 A Yes.
4 Q All right. I'd like to turn your attention to
5 page 54. So this is in the heading at the top.
6 And I'd like you to look at the last full
7 paragraph on page 54 that's on the left-hand side
8 of the page. I'd like to draw your attention
9 about halfway down that page. There's a sentence
10 there, and I'm just going to read it here.
11 It says -- a couple of sentences actually.
12 It says "Handrick was not initially a principal in
13 the crafting of maps, but, when exposed to the
14 technology and asked to participate, his spatial
15 analytic abilities became evident to Republican
16 mapmakers."
17 Do you see that --
18 A Yes.
19 Q -- language? All right.
20 And that's referring to the early 1990s,
21 correct?
22 A Yes.
23 Q All right. And Mr. -- or Professor Gaddie
24 continues on, and this appears to be a quote that
25 Professor Gaddie is attributing to you. And the

70

1 quote is as follows: "When they sat me down at
2 the terminal, I just had a knack for being able to
3 see how to craft the kind of districts they
4 wanted, with the right political skew and in a
5 fashion that would be attractive to a court."
6 Do you see that quotation?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And is that a correct quotation?
9 A I wouldn't be able to recall that far back, but I
10 presume it is.
11 Q Okay. Turning to the top of page 55, do you see
12 Professor Gaddie's statement that says "Joe would
13 ultimately craft the legislative map" proposed --
14 strike that. Let me reread that because I was
15 reading it wrong:
16 "Joe would ultimately craft the legislative
17 map proposal Republicans forwarded to the federal
18 courts."
19 Do you see that statement?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And again that relates to the 1991-1992
22 redistricting, correct?
23 A Yes.
24 Q And Professor Gaddie's statement there is a
25 correct statement?

71

1 A Yes.
2 Q All right. Now -- so you've been involved,
3 according to Professor Gaddie's book, you've been
4 involved with drawing legislative districts for
5 republicans in Wisconsin since the early 1990s,
6 correct?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Almost 20 years. All right. I'd like to draw
9 your attention to page 68 -- actually, back up a
10 second. Take you to page 67. All right.
11 Actually, back up one more. 66, other side of the
12 page.
13 A Okay.
14 Q All right. There is a reference -- about halfway
15 down there's a heading that says
16 *Postlegislative Career*. Do you see that?
17 A Yes.
18 Q And the second full paragraph begins with a
19 statement "Handrick was a master of electoral
20 analysis. He knew where to find information and
21 how to glean useable knowledge from numbers that
22 is implicit and based on understanding the
23 totality of issues and messages associated with
24 particular candidates and their circumstances."
25 Do you see that language?

72

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 A Yes.
 2 Q And is that a correct statement?
 3 A I wouldn't argue with it.
 4 Q Okay. A little further down on that same page
 5 toward the end of the paragraph there's a final
 6 sentence, and they're talking here about the 2001
 7 redistricting. And the sentence reads as follows:
 8 "Again a federal court would craft the maps, and,
 9 again, Handrick demonstrated remarkable skill in
 10 crafting a set of map proposals that, while not
 11 adopted by the court, again reflected the
 12 priorities of the court and anticipated the design
 13 of the map created by a three-judge panel."
 14 Would you argue with that statement?
 15 A No.
 16 Q And then drawing your attention to the top of
 17 page 67. The first paragraph reads "Handrick,
 18 together with former Republican caucus staff from
 19 the assembly, was contracted as an independent
 20 consultant, working through the law firm
 21 representing the assembly in redistricting, to
 22 develop legislative maps that would stand up to a
 23 high degree of scrutiny by the courts and that
 24 would also be favorable to Republicans."
 25 Do you see that paragraph?

73

1 A Yes.
 2 Q Do you agree with that paragraph?
 3 A I don't disagree.
 4 Q Okay. And then I'd like to turn your attention to
 5 page 68. And you'll see a heading about
 6 two-thirds of the way down page 68. It says
 7 *Running the Conduit*. Do you see that?
 8 A Yes.
 9 Q All right. And so the second sentence -- I'm
 10 sorry, the third sentence in that paragraph is the
 11 one I want to focus on. And that states
 12 "Joe Handrick was a talented artisan of electoral
 13 maps, and he planned to develop future consulting
 14 opportunities for the next reapportionment and
 15 redistricting after 2010."
 16 Do you see that statement?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q And would you argue with that statement?
 19 A Yes, I would.
 20 Q Okay. What would you contest in that statement?
 21 A The use of the word -- phrase "planned to develop
 22 future consulting opportunities for the next
 23 reapportionment and redistricting after 2010."
 24 Q What would you contest about that statement?
 25 A I -- back -- I had -- I would have had no plans.

74

1 Q In 2004 when the book was written?
 2 A Right, correct.
 3 Q Would you have had aspirations back in 2004 of
 4 participating in the redistricting effort after
 5 the 2010 decennial census?
 6 A Likely not.
 7 Q When did that -- when did those aspirations arise?
 8 A In -- beginning likely in May of 2009.
 9 Q Okay. Was there anything in particular that
 10 triggered your interest in becoming involved in
 11 the 2010, the reapportionment following the 2010
 12 census?
 13 A Yes. In 2009 I became engaged.
 14 Q Okay. And how did that affect your desire to get
 15 involved in the redistricting?
 16 A My fiancée lived in the Milwaukee area.
 17 Q Okay. How did your fiancée living in the
 18 Milwaukee area make you want to get involved in
 19 the redistricting following the 2010 decennial
 20 census?
 21 A It didn't directly, but getting married to someone
 22 in the Milwaukee area meant I could no longer
 23 continue to be the town chairman of Minocqua.
 24 Q Okay. Was that the time then that you -- in 2009
 25 did you move down to Port Washington?

75

1 A No.
 2 Q Okay. That came later?
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q When did you move to Port Washington?
 5 A December 2010.
 6 Q Okay.
 7 A Actually, strike that. January 2010 -- of 2011.
 8 Q January of 2011?
 9 A Yes.
 10 Q After you were done with your position as the town
 11 chairman in Minocqua, that's when you moved to
 12 Port Washington?
 13 A Correct.
 14 Q Was it the fact that you were going to be getting
 15 married and had to do something other than being
 16 the town chair; that's why you decided to get into
 17 the redistricting after the 2010 decennial census?
 18 A No.
 19 Q What was it then that made you want to do that?
 20 A That's why I decided -- or that's why I needed to
 21 get employment in the Milwaukee area.
 22 Q Okay. But as opposed to doing something different
 23 in Milwaukee, why is it that you chose to get into
 24 legislative redistricting after the 2010 census?
 25 A Because I was retained by the Reinhart law firm to

76

1 join their government relations practice, and this
2 is part of that practice.
3 Q Okay. Before you joined Reinhart did you have any
4 plans to participate in legislative redistricting
5 following the 2010 census?
6 A No.
7 Q Were you approached by anyone before the time that
8 you joined Reinhart to work on the legislative
9 redistricting?
10 A No.
11 Q Now, you mentioned you met Professor Gaddie during
12 the 2001 redistricting effort; is that correct?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Was that before the litigation commenced that you
15 met him?
16 A I don't -- I don't recall the exact date.
17 Q Do you understand that Professor Gaddie will
18 testify as an expert witness in this particular
19 case?
20 A That's my understanding.
21 Q Have you -- have you been involved at all in the
22 engagement of Professor Gaddie to serve as an
23 expert witness in this case?
24 A No.
25 Q All right. Were you involved at all with

77

1 Professor Gaddie's engagement to participate in
2 the redistricting process before the lawsuit was
3 filed?
4 A No.
5 Q Have you spoken with Professor Gaddie about his
6 work in the litigation?
7 MR. KELLY: Objection. Time frame?
8 Q Any time frame.
9 MR. KELLY: All right. Go ahead.
10 A Please repeat the question.
11 MR. POLAND: Can you read it back?
12 (Question read)
13 A Yes.
14 Q What did you talk about with Professor Gaddie?
15 A Specifically, I don't recall.
16 Q All right. Generally speaking?
17 A Generally speaking, Professor Gaddie would inform
18 us of his needs for data.
19 Q And when you say us, you mean you and other people
20 as well?
21 A I mean my legal counsel.
22 Q Okay. Was he asking -- was he asking -- when you
23 say your legal counsel, I want to make sure I
24 understand Mr. McLeod's law firm versus the
25 Reinhart law firm. Was Professor Gaddie asking

78

1 the Reinhart law firm for data, or was he asking
2 Mr. McLeod?
3 A The Reinhart law firm.
4 Q And then they would -- they would turn to you and
5 ask you to provide that data for Professor Gaddie?
6 A No.
7 Q Okay. How were you involved in providing data to
8 Professor Gaddie?
9 MR. KELLY: Objection. The
10 question calls for material covered by the
11 work product doctrine, which is privileged
12 from disclosing that, so I instruct the
13 witness not to answer.
14 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
15 to answer the question?
16 A Yes.
17 Q Was anybody else involved in the process of
18 collecting data for Professor Gaddie?
19 A Yes.
20 Q Who else was involved in that process?
21 A My understanding is the Legislative Reference
22 Bureau.
23 Q Anyone else that you know of?
24 A Not to my recollection.
25 Q Okay. Did you ever personally provide any data to

79

1 Professor Gaddie?
2 MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
3 information protected by the work product
4 doctrine.
5 MR. POLAND: I'm not asking what
6 was conveyed. I'm just asking whether he did
7 personally.
8 MR. KELLY: That's still covered by
9 the work product doctrine.
10 MR. POLAND: What he did?
11 MR. KELLY: Yes.
12 MR. POLAND: I'm just asking if he
13 did. I'm not asking what was conveyed, just
14 asking if he conveyed anything.
15 MR. KELLY: To the extent you can
16 answer the question with a simple yes or no,
17 you may answer but nothing beyond that as it
18 would invade the work product doctrine.
19 A Can you please restate the question?
20 MR. POLAND: Can you read it back
21 to him?
22 (Question read)
23 A Yes.
24 Q Okay. When did you provide data to
25 Professor Gaddie? And I'm limiting it now to the

80

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 2011 redistricting as opposed to 2002.
 2 A After November 22.
 3 Q Okay. Did you provide -- you didn't provide any
 4 data to Professor Gaddie before November 22?
 5 A No.
 6 Q Did you provide him with any data outside of the
 7 context of litigation, in other words, before the
 8 complaint was filed in this case in June?
 9 A No.
 10 Q Did Professor Gaddie ever provide you with any
 11 data before the complaint was filed in June?
 12 A Not that I recall.
 13 Q You understand that Professor Gaddie has submitted
 14 a report in this litigation?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q Have you seen the final report?
 17 A I have.
 18 Q All right. Did you see a draft of the report?
 19 MR. KELLY: Objection. That calls
 20 for information protected by the work product
 21 doctrine.
 22 MR. POLAND: I'm just asking if he
 23 saw it. I'm not asking what was conveyed,
 24 just asking if he saw it.
 25 MR. KELLY: I understand, but

81

1 that's still getting into our thoughts,
 2 mental impressions, and plans.
 3 Q Okay. You haven't been instructed not to answer,
 4 so I'm going to --
 5 MR. KELLY: I will -- to the extent
 6 you can answer that question with a simple
 7 yes or no, you may, but go no further because
 8 it would invade information protected by the
 9 work product doctrine.
 10 A Please repeat the question.
 11 (Question read)
 12 A Yes.
 13 Q When did you see a draft of Professor Gaddie's
 14 report?
 15 A I can't recall that date.
 16 Q Did you provide any comments on Professor Gaddie's
 17 draft report?
 18 MR. KELLY: I object. It does call
 19 for information protected by the work product
 20 doctrine. To the extent that we have
 21 Mr. Handrick performing any functions with
 22 respect to the conducts of this litigation,
 23 that is covered by the doctrine. And I
 24 instruct you not to answer.
 25 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not

82

1 to answer the question?
 2 A Yes.
 3 Q Did you give any input at all into
 4 Professor Gaddie's report?
 5 MR. KELLY: Same objection. Do not
 6 answer.
 7 Q And you're going to follow counsel's instruction?
 8 A Yes.
 9 Q Did you work with Professor Gaddie at all between
 10 the 2002 and the 2011 redistricting litigation?
 11 A Outside of these interviews? No.
 12 Q Okay. So -- and let me back up just a second. We
 13 established before that you did work with
 14 Professor Gaddie in the 2002 redistricting
 15 litigation, correct? All right. So after that
 16 was done and before the time that you were engaged
 17 for the purpose of the 2011 redistricting, did you
 18 work with Professor Gaddie outside the context of
 19 this book?
 20 A Not that I can recall.
 21 Q When you were retained for your work in
 22 redistricting back in February of this year, were
 23 you told you were being retained by or on behalf
 24 of any particular person or entity or group?
 25 MR. MCLEOD: Could I have -- I'm

83

1 sorry. Could I have the question reread
 2 back?
 3 (Question read)
 4 MR. MCLEOD: Just one second. I'm
 5 going to object to the question as vague and
 6 ambiguous. It's a form objection. But to
 7 the extent you understand the question,
 8 please answer.
 9 A I was retained -- Reinhart law firm was retained
 10 by Michael Best & Friedrich to provide them
 11 assistance as they provide counsel to the state
 12 legislature.
 13 Q And was it your understanding that the client was
 14 as is stated in the engagement letters that we
 15 looked at earlier? We can pull them out if we
 16 need to. Take a look at Exhibit 4, for example.
 17 You should have a copy there in front of you.
 18 In the first paragraph you'll see there's a
 19 definition of Client. It's defined as
 20 "Wisconsin State Senate, by its Majority Leader
 21 Scott L. Fitzgerald and the Wisconsin State
 22 Assembly, by its Speaker Jeff Fitzgerald." Do you
 23 see that?
 24 A Yes.
 25 Q Is that your understanding of who the client was?

84

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 A Yes.
2 Q Were you told what you were being retained to do?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Okay. What were you told you were being retained
5 to do?
6 A To provide assistance to legal counsel as they
7 provided advice on the preparation of
8 redistricting maps following the 2010 census.
9 Q Was there anything more specific that you were
10 told they were going to want you to do?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And what were you told that was more specific they
13 wanted you to do?
14 A In legal counsel's role of providing advice and
15 counsel to the legislature on adoption of a -- or
16 development of a redistricting map following the
17 2010 census, they would give, give constitutional
18 and other legal advice regarding redistricting.
19 And they tasked me with helping translate that
20 legal advice into tangible work products or assist
21 them in the creation of tangible work products for
22 their clients.
23 Q And so physically they wanted to use the mapping
24 skills that you had used in 2002 and 1991
25 redistricting, correct?

85

1 A I think that's a fair assessment.
2 Q And also data analysis skills?
3 A No.
4 Q Now, did you do any data analysis for the purpose
5 of redistricting in 2011?
6 A Not that I recall.
7 Q Now, the engagement -- and we looked again at the
8 letters. And we can look at -- let's take a look
9 at Exhibit No. -- Exhibit No. 6 is probably the
10 best one to look at. It's in front of you.
11 If you look at the -- there's a fee schedule
12 that's referred to in there. Do you see that?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And there are fees that Reinhart is charging of
15 \$5,000 per month, correct?
16 A Correct.
17 Q That began on February 15, 2011, correct?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And ends on May 15, 2012; is that right?
20 A Yes.
21 Q All right. Is that a flat rate; do you know?
22 A As far as I know, yes.
23 Q Reinhart is paid the \$5,000 per month regardless
24 of whether there's any work done in that
25 particular month or not?

86

1 A That's my understanding.
2 Q Did you prepare any of the invoices that Reinhart
3 sends to Michael Best & Friedrich?
4 A No.
5 Q As a matter of fact, you mentioned, when we looked
6 at it before, you looked at the invoices as part
7 of Exhibit 1, and you said those were documents
8 you hadn't seen before; is that correct?
9 A That is correct.
10 MS. LAZAR: Clarification. That
11 was Exhibit 2.
12 MR. POLAND: It's Exhibit 2.
13 Thank you for the correction, Maria.
14 Exhibit 2.
15 Q Do you enter your time at all when you're doing
16 work on the redistricting matter?
17 A Yes.
18 MR. KELLY: Objection as to time
19 frame.
20 Q Beginning with your engagement in February of
21 2011.
22 MR. KELLY: And would that be
23 ending prior to November 22?
24 Q Sure. Let's take it up through November -- before
25 November 22. Do you enter time into a system that

87

1 Reinhart has?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Okay. And are those time entries reflected in the
4 invoices that are transmitted to Michael Best &
5 Friedrich?
6 A I do not know.
7 Q If we take a look at -- this is the portion of
8 Exhibit No. 2 that has the invoices. Here, we can
9 have you -- let me hand that to you. So this is
10 the portion of Exhibit No. 2 that has the
11 invoices. And, again, these are invoices. You
12 have not seen these before, correct?
13 A That is correct.
14 Q These do not reflect any of your time entries,
15 correct?
16 A That is correct.
17 Q And all of these invoices predate November 22,
18 2011, correct?
19 A That is correct.
20 Q Do you know which entity writes the check, cuts
21 the check to Reinhart?
22 A I do not.
23 Q And you're not paid for your redistricting work
24 outside of the bills that Reinhart sends, correct?
25 A That is correct.

88

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Q Mr. Handrick, who have you discussed with -- this
2 deposition with before you came today?
3 A My counsel to my right.
4 Q Okay. Mr. McLeod?
5 A Yes.
6 Q All right. Have you discussed the deposition with
7 anyone else?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Who else have you discussed it with?
10 A My employers.
11 Q The Reinhart law firm?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Anyone in particular at Reinhart?
14 A Yes, Patrick Hodan.
15 Q What did you discuss with Mr. McLeod?
16 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object on
17 the grounds that it is subject to the
18 attorney-client privilege, and I'll instruct
19 the witness not to answer.
20 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
21 to answer?
22 A Yes.
23 Q What did you discuss with Mr. Hodan?
24 MR. KELLY: I object on the basis
25 it invades the attorney-client privilege, and

89

1 I instruct the witness not to answer.
2 Q And you're going to follow counsel's advice?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Did you review any documents to prepare for your
5 deposition today?
6 A Yes.
7 Q What documents did you review?
8 A The documents that I brought with me.
9 Q Okay. And so those would be the documents that
10 are contained in Exhibits 2 and 3?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Did you review any other documents in preparation
13 for your deposition today?
14 A No.
15 Q Were you ever told that you would not have to be
16 deposed in this lawsuit?
17 A No.
18 Q Now, do you understand that you've been identified
19 by the defendants in this lawsuit as a potential
20 trial witness?
21 MR. KELLY: Objection, form. You
22 can answer.
23 A I had heard that.
24 Q Okay. Who told you that you would be named as a
25 potential witness?

90

1 A I don't recall from whom that I heard.
2 (Exhibit No. 10 marked for
3 identification)
4 Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a document that the
5 court reporter has marked as Exhibit No. 10. Do
6 you have that in front of you?
7 A Yes.
8 Q All right. I'd like you to turn to the
9 second page, and you'll see that the document is
10 *Defendants' Amended Initial Rule 26(a)*
11 *Disclosures*. Do you see that?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Have you seen Exhibit 10 before?
14 A No.
15 Q I'd like to turn your attention to page No. 5 of
16 Exhibit 10 and specifically to paragraph 10.
17 Okay. And I'll just represent to you this is --
18 this is an identification of people who have
19 knowledge that the defendants might use to support
20 their claims or defenses, okay?
21 So paragraph 10 states "Individuals from the
22 Legislature, and/or its various bodies, are those
23 individuals on the Legislature's behalf, who were
24 involved in drawing the redistricting maps that
25 were signed into law on August 9, 2011, including

91

1 without limitation, those individuals who reviewed
2 the 2010 decennial census and assisted in
3 determining the appropriate, constitutional
4 boundaries for state and Congressional districts
5 as memorialized in Acts 43 and 44." Do you see
6 that?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Okay. And then if you turn the page, do you see
9 that your name is listed there?
10 A Yes.
11 Q Okay. Did anybody ever discuss with you or talk
12 to you about providing that kind of testimony at
13 trial?
14 A No.
15 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
16 it calls for information covered by the
17 attorney-client privilege. And I instruct
18 the witness not to answer.
19 Q Are you going to follow your counsel's instruction
20 not to answer the question?
21 A Yes.
22 Q All right. Second paragraph, page -- I'm sorry.
23 Next paragraph down, paragraph 11. Again, it
24 identifies witnesses who may be called to testify,
25 and it states "Individuals from the Legislature,

92

1 and/or its various bodies, or those individuals on
 2 the Legislature's behalf, who were involved in
 3 reviewing census and population data for the 2010
 4 decennial census to insure" -- that's
 5 i-n-s-u-r-e -- "minimum population deviation for
 6 the new districts." Do you see that?
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q And do you see that your name is listed there as
 9 well?
 10 A Yes.
 11 Q Did you ever discuss with anyone whether you would
 12 be called as a witness to testify to the matters
 13 identified in paragraph 11?
 14 MR. KELLY: Objection on
 15 two grounds. The first is to form,
 16 mischaracterizes the nature of the
 17 Rule 26(a)(1), initial disclosure. No. 2, it
 18 calls for information protected by the
 19 attorney-client privilege. And I instruct
 20 this witness not to answer.
 21 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
 22 to answer that question?
 23 A Yes.
 24 Q I'd like you to look at paragraph 12. And do you
 25 see that it states -- it identifies "Individuals

93

1 from the Legislature, and/or its various bodies,
 2 or those individuals on the Legislature's behalf,
 3 who were involved in reviewing population and
 4 other data so as to preserve, to the extent
 5 possible and practicable, the core population of
 6 prior districts as well as communities of
 7 interest." Do you see that language?
 8 A Yes.
 9 Q And if you turn the page, you'll see that you are
 10 identified there as well, correct?
 11 A Yes.
 12 Q All right. Did you ever have any conversations
 13 with anyone about providing testimony relating to
 14 the issues identified in paragraph 12?
 15 MR. KELLY: Objection on the basis,
 16 excuse me, of the attorney-client privilege.
 17 And I instruct the witness not to answer.
 18 Q And you're going to follow counsel's instruction?
 19 A Yes.
 20 Q Okay. Let's -- I'll shortcut this, and I'm going
 21 to -- what I'm going to do here is go over
 22 paragraphs 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17, okay? The
 23 introductory language on each one is pretty much
 24 the same. Do you see that?
 25 Paragraph 13 identifies individuals who --

94

1 well, strike that. There's no way to do this
 2 other than to go through each one. Sorry.
 3 Let's do paragraph 13. Paragraph 13
 4 identifies "Individuals from the Legislature,
 5 and/or its various bodies, or those individuals on
 6 the Legislature's behalf, who assisted the
 7 Legislature in insuring that the new redistricting
 8 maps, to the extent possible, kept wards and
 9 municipalities whole within legislative district
 10 boundaries and to the extent possible, recognized
 11 local government boundaries." Do you see that
 12 language?
 13 A Yes.
 14 Q And you're identified there as well, correct?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q All right. Did you ever have any discussions with
 17 anyone about testifying at trial on those
 18 particular topics?
 19 MR. KELLY: Objection on the basis
 20 of the attorney-client privilege. And I
 21 instruct the witness not to answer.
 22 Q And you're going to follow counsel's instructions?
 23 A Yes.
 24 Q And with respect to paragraph -- all right. We
 25 have to change the tape. Let's go off the record.

95

1 (Discussion off the record)
 2 Q Mr. Handrick, just before the tape was changed we
 3 were going over categories of testimony or
 4 knowledge in Exhibit No. 10. I'd like to actually
 5 go back to paragraph 10 for a minute, if you'd
 6 turn to page 5, and ask you, did you actually as
 7 part of your work on the redistricting, did you
 8 actually review the 2010 decennial census data and
 9 assist in determining appropriate constitutional
 10 boundaries for the state and congressional
 11 districts as memorialized in Acts 43 and 44?
 12 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
 13 the form of the question on the grounds that
 14 it's vague and ambiguous. To the extent you
 15 can understand the question and can answer,
 16 please do so.
 17 A Please restate the question.
 18 Q It really is as stated right in here in the
 19 language. Did you actually do this? Did you
 20 actually review the 2010 decennial census and
 21 assist in determining the appropriate
 22 constitutional boundaries for the state and
 23 congressional districts as memorialized in Acts 43
 24 and 44?
 25 MR. MCLEOD: I assert the

96

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 objection. To the extent you can answer,
2 please do so.

3 A Yes, I reviewed the 2010 decennial census and
4 assisted the legal counsel and the remainder of
5 that paragraph.

6 Q Okay. Turning to paragraph No. 11. Did you in
7 fact review census and population data from the
8 2010 decennial census to insure minimum population
9 deviation for new districts?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay. In paragraph 12, did you in fact as part of
12 your, as part of your redistricting work review
13 population and other data so as to preserve, to
14 the extent possible and practicable, the core
15 population of prior districts as well as
16 communities of interest?

17 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
18 the same objection as to the form. It's
19 vague and ambiguous. To the extent you
20 understand the question and can answer it,
21 please do so.

22 A Yes.

23 Q Paragraph No. 13 then. Did you assist the
24 legislature in insuring that the new redistricting
25 maps, to the extent possible, kept wards and

97

1 municipalities whole within legislative boundaries
2 and to the extent possible recognize local
3 government boundaries?

4 A Yes.

5 Q All right. Did you ever discuss with anyone
6 testifying at trial about that work that you did?

7 MR. KELLY: Objection based on the
8 attorney-client privilege and work product
9 doctrine. I instruct the witness not to
10 answer.

11 Additionally, to the extent that I've
12 interposed an attorney-client privilege
13 objection to any of the other responses based
14 on Exhibit 10, that also incorporates an
15 objection based on the work product doctrine.

16 Q Counsel hasn't instructed you not to answer.

17 MR. KELLY: I have.

18 MS. LAZAR: He did.

19 MR. POLAND: You did. Okay.

20 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
21 to answer?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Let's turn to page 14. Did you assist legislature
24 to insure that if voters were shifted from odd to
25 even senate districts they were not unnecessarily

98

1 disenfranchised by being deprived of the
2 opportunity to vote?

3 A No.

4 Q Anybody ever talk to you about potentially
5 testifying at trial on that issue?

6 MR. KELLY: Objection based on the
7 attorney-client privilege and work product
8 doctrine. And I instruct the witness not to
9 answer.

10 Q And will you follow counsel's instruction not to
11 answer?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Paragraph No. 15. Did you review the 2010
14 decennial census data and the previous districting
15 maps to insure that the new districts were as
16 geographically compact as practicable?

17 A No.

18 Q Did you ever talk with anyone about testifying at
19 trial on that topic?

20 MR. KELLY: Objection based on the
21 attorney-client privilege and work product
22 doctrine. And I instruct the witness not to
23 answer.

24 Q And are you going to follow counsel's instruction
25 to not answer the question?

99

1 A Yes.

2 Q Turning back quickly here to paragraph 14. You
3 mentioned -- you said you did not provide that
4 assistance. Do you know anyone who did?

5 A No.

6 Q Same question for No. 15. Do you know anyone who
7 did review the decennial census data in previous
8 districting maps to insure the new districts were
9 geographically compact as practicable?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Who did?

12 A I don't know, but I am aware that there was --
13 there have -- there was a report produced on
14 compactness.

15 Q Do you know who produced that report?

16 A No.

17 Q Do you know when you saw it?

18 A No.

19 Q Would it have been sometime before the legislation
20 was passed?

21 A Possibly.

22 Q Were you at Michael Best & Friedrich when you saw
23 that report on compactness?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Was it in paper copy?

100

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 A Yes.
 2 Q Any idea how thick it was?
 3 A No.
 4 Q Any estimate as to how many pages?
 5 A No.
 6 Q Did you discuss that report with anyone?
 7 A No.
 8 Q Who was with you when you saw that report?
 9 A My recollection would be Tad and Adam.
 10 Q Were you asked to do anything with respect to that
 11 report?
 12 A No.
 13 Q Did they ask you to give any opinions about what
 14 was stated in the report?
 15 A No.
 16 Q Paragraph 16. Did you in fact assist the
 17 legislature to prevent unnecessary and
 18 unconstitutional voter dilution of minority
 19 voters?
 20 A I assisted the legal team in the provision of
 21 advice to the legislature on such matters.
 22 Q Did anyone talk to you about testifying at trial
 23 on that topic?
 24 MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
 25 information protected by the attorney-client

101

1 privilege and work product doctrine. And I
 2 instruct the witness not to answer.
 3 Q And are you going to follow counsel's instructions
 4 and not answer the question?
 5 A Yes.
 6 Q And paragraph 17. Did you assist the legislature
 7 to insure that the new districts reflected
 8 communities of interest?
 9 A Yes.
 10 Q And did -- and has anyone talked to you about
 11 testifying at trial on that topic?
 12 MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
 13 information protected by the work product
 14 doctrine and the attorney-client privilege.
 15 And I instruct the witness not to answer.
 16 Q And are you going to follow counsel's instruction
 17 and not answer the question?
 18 A Yes.
 19 Q Were you ever told that you would or would not
 20 testify at trial?
 21 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
 22 that that calls for information that you
 23 obtained or were given with respect to your
 24 participation in the defense of this map
 25 since November 22, 2011. It invades the

102

1 attorney-client privilege and the work
 2 product doctrine. And I instruct you not to
 3 answer. If you can answer outside of those
 4 parameters, you may.
 5 A Can you repeat the question?
 6 MR. POLAND: Could you read it
 7 back?
 8 (Question read)
 9 A No.
 10 Q Did you ever discuss with anyone whether you would
 11 or would not testify at trial?
 12 MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
 13 information protected by the attorney-client
 14 privilege and the work product doctrine. And
 15 I instruct the witness not to answer.
 16 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
 17 to answer?
 18 A Yes.
 19 Q Do you expect to testify at trial?
 20 A No.
 21 Q If subpoenaed to testify at trial or if called as
 22 a witness at trial, would you testify at trial?
 23 A Certainly.
 24 Q Have you seen a copy of the complaint in this
 25 case, Mr. Handrick?

103

1 A Yes.
 2 Q Okay. And there actually have been several
 3 complaints filed. There was -- it was not a trick
 4 question, not intended to be a trick question.
 5 Do you recall which of the complaints you've
 6 seen?
 7 A I believe I recall seeing the original, the
 8 original complaint.
 9 Q Okay. Have you seen a copy of the most recent
 10 complaint filed in the case?
 11 MS. LAZAR: Objection. Could you
 12 clarify which case? They're consolidated.
 13 MR. POLAND: Sure. Let's just
 14 go ahead and mark it as an exhibit.
 15 (Exhibit No. 11 marked for
 16 identification)
 17 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm handing you a copy of a document
 18 that's been marked as Exhibit No. 11. I'll give
 19 you a minute to take a look at it.
 20 A Okay.
 21 (Witness reviews document)
 22 Q So for the record, Exhibit No. 11 is a document
 23 that's titled *Second Amended Complaint for*
 24 *Declaratory and Injunctive Relief*, and it's dated
 25 November 18, 2011. Mr. Handrick, have you seen a

104

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 copy of this document before, Exhibit 11?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Okay. When did you see it?

4 A Late November.

5 Q Do you recall who gave it to you?

6 A No, I don't.

7 Q Were you asked to provide any comments on it?

8 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent

9 that that calls for information protected by

10 the attorney-client privilege or the work

11 product doctrine. I instruct you not to

12 answer. And that instruction goes this far.

13 To the extent that you were asked to

14 provide any commentary or opinion on it by

15 counsel for the defendants in this case, the

16 Members of the GAB and the Executive

17 Director, that would be covered by the

18 attorney-client privilege and the work

19 product doctrine. If you were asked to

20 provide commentary by anyone else, you may

21 answer. Otherwise, I instruct you not to

22 answer.

23 Q So let's take the easy part first. Anybody other

24 than legal counsel for the

25 Government Accountability Board ask you to comment

105

1 on the second amended complaint?

2 A No.

3 Q Did any members of any of the counsel for the

4 Government Accountability Board ask you to comment

5 on the second amended complaint?

6 MR. KELLY: Object to the extent

7 that it invades the attorney-client privilege

8 and the work product doctrine. And I

9 instruct the witness not to answer.

10 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instructions not

11 to answer the question?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Have you seen a copy of the answer that the

14 defendants filed to the second amended complaint?

15 A I don't know.

16 (Exhibit No. 12 marked for

17 identification)

18 Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a copy of a document

19 that the court reporter has marked as deposition

20 Exhibit No. 12. And if you turn to the

21 second page, you'll see that the document has a

22 title. It says *Defendants' Answer and Affirmative*

23 *Defenses to Second Amended Complaint for*

24 *Declaratory and Injunctive Relief*. Do you see

25 that?

106

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. And is this a document that you've seen

3 before?

4 A I do not recall ever seeing this document.

5 Q Were you asked to provide any input into answers

6 to the allegations that were contained in

7 Exhibit 11, which is the *Plaintiffs' Second*

8 *Amended Complaint*?

9 MR. KELLY: Object. The question

10 calls for information that invades the

11 attorney-client privilege and the work

12 product doctrine. I instruct the witness not

13 to answer.

14 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not

15 to answer the question?

16 A Yes.

17 Q All right. Did you ever see copies of discovery

18 requests that were served on the parties in this

19 case?

20 A No.

21 Q Okay. Do you know what discovery requests are?

22 A Not really.

23 Q Fancy lawyer terms for questions that we ask of

24 the other side and for -- we send requests to

25 produce documents. Did you ever see anything like

107

1 that in this case?

2 A No.

3 Q Okay.

4 (Exhibit No. 13 marked for

5 identification)

6 Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a copy of a document

7 that's been marked as Exhibit No. 13. And as

8 you'll see on the front page, it says *Plaintiffs'*

9 *First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for*

10 *Production of Documents*. Do you see that?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Were you ever asked -- strike that question.

13 Were you ever given a copy of Exhibit 13?

14 A No.

15 Q If you turn to page No. 5, you see it says *Request*

16 *for Production of Documents*. And if you kind of

17 flip through pages, you'll see a number of

18 document production requests up to No. 13. Do you

19 see those?

20 A Yes, I see that.

21 Q Were you ever asked -- other than through your

22 subpoena for this deposition, were you ever asked

23 to look for or gather documents responsive to

24 these requests?

25 MR. KELLY: Object. The question

108

1 calls for information protected by the
2 attorney-client privilege and work product
3 doctrine. I instruct the witness not to
4 answer.
5 Q Are you going to follow counsel's advice and not
6 answer the question?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Mr. Handrick, when did you actually physically
9 begin working on the redistricting plans that were
10 embodied in Wisconsin Acts 43 and 44?
11 MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of
12 the question on the grounds that it's vague
13 and ambiguous. To the extent you can
14 understand the question and respond, please
15 do so.
16 A Please repeat the question.
17 (Question read)
18 A I do not specifically recall.
19 Q Okay. Do you recall -- you mentioned before that
20 you enter time into Reinhart's time keeping
21 system, correct?
22 A Yes.
23 Q Do you recall when the first time was that you
24 actually entered time on the redistricting matter?
25 A I don't recall specifically.

109

1 Q Do you recall how far after -- how long after it
2 was before Reinhart was retained in February 2011
3 that you started that work?
4 A Not specifically.
5 Q As best you recall, was it in the month of
6 February?
7 A Probably.
8 Q Now, you had given me a list of names earlier in
9 the deposition of people who were present with you
10 at Michael Best & Friedrich when you were working
11 on the redistricting plans. In addition to those
12 names, was there anybody else that you worked with
13 on the redistricting plans? I can read those
14 names back if you need me to refresh your memory
15 on that.
16 A I cannot recall any additional names.
17 Q Okay. During -- from the time that you were --
18 that Reinhart was engaged in February up until the
19 present, with whom have you discussed at any time
20 the redistricting process itself?
21 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
22 it calls for information protected by the
23 attorney-client privilege, the work product
24 doctrine. I instruct the witness not to
25 answer.

110

1 The instruction goes so far as
2 conversations with counsel either at Reinhart
3 or DOJ or the client from November 22
4 forward. If there's any responsive
5 information you have prior to that time, you
6 may answer.
7 A Please restate the question.
8 (Question read)
9 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
10 the question on the grounds that it's vague
11 and ambiguous. To the extent you understand
12 the question, please answer.
13 A I can't answer that question with specifics.
14 Q Okay. What is it that you can't answer, or why
15 can't you answer the question?
16 A The question was the redistricting process.
17 Q Correct.
18 A Because of my past involvement, people all the
19 time ask me about the process.
20 Q Okay. You're talking about outside of the work
21 that you were engaged to do in February?
22 A Yes.
23 Q All right. Let's limit it then for the purpose of
24 the redistricting that you were engaged to
25 perform, okay, in 2011, all right? With that

111

1 qualification on it then, with whom have you
2 discussed that redistricting process?
3 MR. KELLY: Objection on the same
4 basis as my prior objection. It calls for
5 information protected by the attorney-client
6 privilege and work product doctrine. And I
7 instruct the witness not to answer unless the
8 response of information relates to the time
9 period prior to November 22, in which you may
10 answer.
11 MR. MCLEOD: And I apologize.
12 Could you read the question back? I'm trying
13 to understand it.
14 (Question read)
15 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
16 the form of the question as vague and
17 ambiguous. To the extent you understand it,
18 please answer.
19 A The list of names I supplied before.
20 Q Okay. Is there anyone else other than the people
21 who were on the list of names you supplied before
22 that you've discussed the redistricting process
23 with?
24 MR. KELLY: Same objection. To the
25 extent that your answer would involve

112

1 individuals you spoke with subsequent to
 2 November 22, either with counsel or at the
 3 direction of counsel, that information would
 4 be protected by the work product doctrine and
 5 the attorney-client privilege. And to that
 6 extent, I instruct you not to answer.
 7 MR. MCLEOD: And I'm going to
 8 assert the same form objection as to vague
 9 and ambiguous.
 10 Q To the extent that you've been instructed by
 11 counsel not to answer the question, are you going
 12 to follow counsel's instructions and not answer
 13 the question?
 14 A Yes.
 15 Q I'd like to go back to the list of names that we
 16 talked about before. You mentioned Mr. Ottman who
 17 had been present with you at Michael Best &
 18 Friedrich at times, correct?
 19 A Correct.
 20 Q All right. Did you have any conversations with
 21 Mr. Ottman about the redistricting process?
 22 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
 23 the form, vague and ambiguous.
 24 MR. KELLY: Also object to the
 25 extent that it calls for information related

113

1 to conversations occurring at the instruction
 2 of counsel for the defendants in this case.
 3 And to the extent that your answer would
 4 involve information obtained or directed by
 5 counsel subsequent to November 22, I instruct
 6 you not to answer. If you can answer the
 7 question without relaying information on or
 8 after November 22, you may answer.
 9 A Please restate the question.
 10 (Question read)
 11 A Yes.
 12 Q Okay. When did you have those conversations with
 13 Mr. Ottman?
 14 A I could not recall that.
 15 Q All right. What was the earliest that you had
 16 conversations with Mr. Ottman about the 2011
 17 redistricting process?
 18 A I don't recall specifically.
 19 Q Did you have conversations with Mr. Ottman about
 20 the redistricting process that occurred outside of
 21 Michael Best & Friedrich's offices?
 22 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
 23 it calls for information protected by the
 24 attorney-client privilege and the work
 25 product doctrine. To the extent that that

114

1 question requires you to discuss any
 2 conversations that occurred subsequent to
 3 November 22 at the direction of counsel, I
 4 instruct you not to answer. If it does not
 5 cover that, you may answer if you know.
 6 A Not that I recall.
 7 Q Have you -- are you going to follow counsel's
 8 instruction and not answer the question with
 9 respect to conversations with Mr. Ottman after
 10 November 22, 2011?
 11 A Yes.
 12 Q All right. Did you ever speak with Mr. Ottman by
 13 telephone about the 2011 redistricting process?
 14 MR. KELLY: Objection. Mr. Poland,
 15 perhaps just for purposes of expediting
 16 things, can we segment things between
 17 conversations that he's had at the direction
 18 of counsel from those that are not?
 19 MR. POLAND: Sure. Yeah, I'll --
 20 well, why don't I do it by date. That will
 21 probably be the easiest way to do it.
 22 Q So before November 22, 2011, did you have any
 23 conversations with Mr. Ottman about the 2011
 24 redistricting process?
 25 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert

115

1 the same form objection. It's vague and
 2 ambiguous. Please answer if you can.
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q All right. Did any of those conversations occur
 5 by telephone?
 6 A Yes.
 7 Q Where were you when you had those phone calls with
 8 Mr. Ottman?
 9 A Outside of the Michael Best office.
 10 Q Where were you physically? Were you in Reinhart's
 11 offices?
 12 A I can't recall.
 13 Q Do you know where Mr. Ottman was when he was
 14 speaking with you?
 15 A Not necessarily, no.
 16 Q Did you have any of those conversations by cell
 17 phone?
 18 A I can't -- I can't say that for sure.
 19 Q Did you communicate with Mr. Ottman at all by text
 20 messaging?
 21 MR. KELLY: Objection. Could we
 22 interpose the time frame?
 23 Q Time frame before November 22, 2011. Did you
 24 communicate with Mr. Ottman about redistricting by
 25 text messaging?

116

1 A Not that I recall.
 2 Q Before November 22, 2011, did you communicate with
 3 Mr. Ottman about redistricting through instant
 4 messaging?
 5 A I don't -- I'm not sure what instant messaging is.
 6 Q Do you have a Yahoo! account?
 7 A No.
 8 Q Do you have a Google account?
 9 A No.
 10 Q All right. Do you have a Facebook account?
 11 A Yes.
 12 Q All right. Have you ever used the instant
 13 messaging feature on Facebook for the purpose of
 14 redistricting?
 15 A No.
 16 Q Did you ever meet with Mr. Ottman to discuss
 17 redistricting in the state capitol building?
 18 MR. KELLY: Prior to November 22?
 19 Q Prior to November 22.
 20 A Yes.
 21 Q When did you meet with Mr. Ottman in the state
 22 capitol building?
 23 A I cannot recall the specific date.
 24 Q Do you recall what month it was?
 25 A It was the month -- it was the month when the

117

1 assembly and senate actually were taking up that
 2 matter.
 3 Q Actually voting on it?
 4 A Yes.
 5 Q Okay. There was testimony given in support of the
 6 acts. Do you recall that?
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q All right. And that was in the month of July; do
 9 you remember that?
 10 A Yes.
 11 Q And were you present for that testimony when it
 12 was given?
 13 A No.
 14 Q All right. Were you -- did you meet with
 15 Mr. Ottman on or around the time that the
 16 testimony was given?
 17 A Not that I recall.
 18 Q When you spoke with Mr. Ottman by telephone, what
 19 did you discuss about the redistricting?
 20 A Those type of specifics I would have no
 21 recollection of.
 22 Q Do you recall how many times you spoke with
 23 Mr. Ottman by phone about redistricting? This is
 24 again before November 22.
 25 A No.

118

1 Q When you were present with Mr. Ottman at
 2 Michael Best & Friedrich's offices, what did
 3 you -- what did you discuss with him about, about
 4 the specific redistricting plans that were being
 5 drawn?
 6 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
 7 the objection that it constitutes legislative
 8 privilege. It also may be subject to the
 9 attorney-client, attorney work product
 10 privilege. As to the latter,
 11 attorney-client, attorney work product, I
 12 would instruct you not to answer as it
 13 relates to the legislative privilege. In
 14 light of the Court's order, if you can
 15 answer, please do so.
 16 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
 17 to answer the question?
 18 A Yes.
 19 Q I'd like to ask you -- Mr. Foltz is another person
 20 that you had mentioned that was present with you
 21 at Michael Best & Friedrich, correct?
 22 A Yes.
 23 Q All right. And -- actually, strike that question.
 24 One cleanup point on discussions with
 25 Mr. Ottman. Have you spoken with Mr. Ottman about

119

1 the legislative redistricting process after
 2 November 22, 2011?
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q All right. And what have you discussed with
 5 Mr. Ottman after November 22, 2011?
 6 MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
 7 information protected by the attorney-client
 8 privilege and work product doctrine. I
 9 instruct the witness not to answer.
 10 Q And are you going to follow counsel's
 11 instructions?
 12 A Yes.
 13 Q All right. Mr. Foltz, you did meet with Mr. Foltz
 14 at Michael Best & Friedrich's offices to work on
 15 the redistricting plans, correct?
 16 A Yes.
 17 Q All right. Let's talk first about since
 18 November 22. Have you had conversations with
 19 Mr. Foltz, Mr. Foltz since November 22, 2011
 20 relating to redistricting?
 21 A Yes.
 22 Q And what are the nature of those conversations?
 23 MR. KELLY: Objection, calls for
 24 information protected by the attorney-client
 25 privilege and the work product doctrine. I

120

1 instruct the witness not to answer.
2 Q And are you going to follow counsel's instruction
3 not to answer the question?
4 A Yes.
5 Q All right. So for Mr. Foltz, the rest of my
6 questions are -- will range from February 15, 2011
7 up until before November 22, okay?
8 A Okay.
9 Q Did you know Mr. Foltz before you met with him at
10 Michael Best for the purpose of the 2011
11 redistricting?
12 A Yes.
13 Q All right. How did you know Mr. Foltz beforehand?
14 A He was and is a staff member in the Office of
15 State Assembly.
16 Q Okay. And you knew him through that relationship?
17 A Yes.
18 Q Did you -- did you know him in any way outside of
19 that relationship?
20 A No.
21 Q Okay. Do you know anybody else in Mr. Foltz's
22 family?
23 A Not that I know of.
24 Q Is Mr. Foltz from Minocqua?
25 A No.

121

1 Q All right. There's a Foltz family in Minocqua,
2 correct?
3 A Yes.
4 Q And they had been -- the Foltz family in Minocqua
5 had been donors to your campaign when you were
6 serving in the assembly, correct?
7 A Yes.
8 Q All right. Do you know whether Mr. Foltz is
9 related to the Foltz family from Minocqua?
10 A I do not know that.
11 Q When you and Mr. Foltz were together at
12 Michael Best & Friedrich, what did you discuss
13 generally with respect to redistricting?
14 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert
15 the same objection I did before, which is to
16 the extent it calls for information subject
17 to the attorney-client or attorney work
18 product privileges, I instruct the witness
19 not to answer. To the extent it falls within
20 the scope of the legislative privilege,
21 recognizing the Court's order, you may
22 answer.
23 Q Can you answer the question?
24 A No.
25 Q Okay. Are you going to follow counsel's

122

1 instructions not to answer the question?
2 A Yes.
3 Q All right. Did you ever discuss redistricting
4 with any democratic member of the legislature?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And who did you discuss -- which democrats did you
7 discuss redistricting with?
8 A In 2002 after the maps were unveiled in federal
9 court I had an interchange with Representative
10 Barbara Gronemus.
11 Q I'm sorry. Can you spell that?
12 A Barbara Gronemus.
13 Q Barbara is the easy one, right?
14 A Yeah. G-r-o-n -- I couldn't -- I couldn't -- you
15 couldn't count on my spelling.
16 Q Okay. That was around the 2002 time frame?
17 A Yes.
18 Q Okay. Have you discussed the 2011 redistricting
19 process with any member of the democratic party
20 serving in the Wisconsin State Legislature?
21 A Yes.
22 Q And who have you spoken with?
23 A Senator Robert Wirsch.
24 Q When did you speak with Mr. Wirsch?
25 A I can't give you the exact date.

123

1 Q Can you recall approximately what month?
2 A August.
3 Q Do you know if it was before or after Acts 43 and
4 44 were passed?
5 A My recollection is it was after.
6 Q Any other democratic members of the legislature
7 you can recall discussing the 2011 redistricting
8 with?
9 A No.
10 Q Have you ever told anyone that you were not
11 working on the 2011 redistricting process?
12 A I don't recall that, no.
13 Q What was your goal in developing the map, the map
14 that became Act 43?
15 MR. KELLY: Objection, form.
16 A Can you restate the question?
17 Q Sure.
18 (Question read)
19 A I was retained -- Reinhart was retained by
20 Michael Best & Friedrich to give them assistance
21 as they gave counsel to the legislature and
22 development of the apportionment plans following
23 the 2010 census. My goal was to, as best I could,
24 provide that assistance to the legal counsel so
25 that in the end they were successful in their

124

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 advice that they would then give to the
2 legislature.
3 Q Was it not the goal to increase the republican
4 membership in the legislature?
5 A That is not my goal.
6 Q What about Act 44; was it not the goal to increase
7 republican membership through Act 44?
8 MR. KELLY: Objection, form.
9 A I did not participate in Act 44.
10 Q Okay. You had nothing at all to do with Act 44?
11 A That is correct.
12 Q When you were at -- when you were at
13 Michael Best & Friedrich working there on the
14 redistricting, did you give any kind of input or
15 commentary on maps that eventually became Act 44?
16 A Not that I recall.
17 Q Have you ever discussed the question of district
18 boundaries for senate recall elections?
19 MR. KELLY: Objection. Do you want
20 to give a time frame?
21 Q At any time.
22 MR. KELLY: I'll object to the
23 extent it calls for information protected by
24 the attorney-client privilege and work
25 product doctrine. To the extent that you

125

1 can -- that you have information responsive
2 to the question relating to material prior to
3 November 22, 2011, you may answer. To the
4 extent that your response would involve
5 information subsequent -- or on or after
6 November 22, 2011, I instruct you not to
7 answer.
8 A Please restate the question.
9 Q Sure. Actually, let me withdraw that, and let's
10 limit it in time.
11 So let's talk about before November 22, 2011.
12 Did you ever discuss the question of district
13 boundaries for senate recall elections with
14 anyone?
15 A Yes.
16 Q Okay. Who did you discuss that topic with?
17 A I know I discussed it with my wife.
18 Q Okay. Anyone other than your wife?
19 A Not that, not that I can recall specifically.
20 Q Do you recall generally any conversations that you
21 had with anyone on that topic?
22 A No, I can't.
23 Q All right. Now, what about after November 22,
24 2011; did you have -- did you ever discuss the
25 question of the district boundaries for the senate

126

1 recall elections with anyone after November 22,
2 2011?
3 MR. KELLY: Objection. The
4 question calls for information potentially
5 covered by the attorney-client privilege and
6 the work product doctrine. To the extent the
7 response would involve identifying
8 conversations you had with counsel for the
9 defendants or at the instruction of counsel,
10 then I instruct you not to answer. If there
11 were other conversations outside of those
12 parameters, then you may answer.
13 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instructions and
14 not answer the question?
15 A Yes.
16 Q Were you involved in drafting the provision that
17 established the effective date for Act 43?
18 A No.
19 Q Before November 22, 2011, did you ever have any
20 conversations with anyone about the effective date
21 for Act 43?
22 A Yes.
23 Q Okay. And who did you speak with about that
24 topic?
25 A Legal counsel.

127

1 Q And who is that specifically?
2 A My recollection is that it was Patrick Hodan.
3 Q And what were the nature of your -- what was the
4 nature of your conversation with Mr. Hodan on that
5 topic?
6 MR. KELLY: Objection -- or just a
7 clarification. Was that a conversation
8 before or after November 22?
9 THE WITNESS: I believe it was
10 before.
11 Q And what was the nature of your conversation with
12 Mr. Hodan on that subject?
13 A He asked me the exact question you asked a few
14 moments ago regarding did I have any knowledge of
15 the effective date of Act 43.
16 Q And how did you respond to Mr. Hodan when he asked
17 you that question?
18 A No.
19 Q Have you had any conversations since November 22,
20 2011 with anyone about the effective date for
21 Act 43?
22 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
23 the question calls for information covered by
24 the attorney-client privilege or the work
25 product doctrine. And to that extent I

128

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 instruct the witness not to answer. However,
 2 you may answer with respect to any
 3 conversations you had that were either not
 4 with counsel for the defendants or not at
 5 counsel's direction.
 6 Q Did you have any conversations, non-privileged
 7 conversations since November 22?
 8 A Not that I can recall.
 9 Q And with respect to any conversations that counsel
 10 has objected to, are you going to follow counsel's
 11 instructions not to answer the question?
 12 A Yes.
 13 Q Do you have an opinion on the appropriate
 14 boundaries for the pending or potential recall
 15 elections?
 16 MR. KELLY: Objection, form. You
 17 may answer if you can.
 18 A Yes.
 19 Q Okay. And what is that opinion?
 20 MR. KELLY: Objection, form, but
 21 you may answer if you can.
 22 A Please restate the underlying question.
 23 MR. POLAND: Sure. Can you read
 24 back the question?
 25 (Question read)

129

1 A I answered that yes.
 2 Q Yes.
 3 A And then --
 4 Q And then I asked *What are those opinions?*
 5 A What are those opinions? My opinion is I'm just
 6 greatly confused how the plaintiffs can charge
 7 that the map is unconstitutional and then how any
 8 elections can be held under that map.
 9 Q Okay. And what's the basis for that opinion?
 10 A Purely personal.
 11 Q Have you discussed that issue with anyone?
 12 MR. KELLY: Objection. Would you
 13 care to narrow the scope of the question?
 14 Q Is that an opinion that you held before
 15 November 22, 2011?
 16 A Yes.
 17 Q Okay. Did you discuss that opinion that you hold
 18 with anyone before that time?
 19 A Yes.
 20 Q All right. Who did you discuss that with?
 21 A My wife.
 22 Q Okay. Anyone else?
 23 A Not that I, not that I recall specifically.
 24 Q Okay. And then after November 22, 2011, have you
 25 discussed that opinion that you hold with anyone

130

1 since that time?
 2 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
 3 the question calls for information protected
 4 by the attorney-client privilege or the work
 5 product doctrine. I instruct the witness not
 6 to answer to the extent that it does.
 7 However, to the extent that you had
 8 conversations that were not with counsel for
 9 the defendants or at the instruction of
 10 counsel, then you may answer.
 11 A None that I recall.
 12 Q And then as far as any privileged conversations or
 13 any conversations you might have had that counsel
 14 has asserted a privilege over, are you going to
 15 follow counsel's instructions and not answer the
 16 question?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q You mentioned before when we were talking about
 19 people who were present when you were working at
 20 Michael Best & Friedrich a number of lawyers,
 21 correct?
 22 A Yes.
 23 Q All right. And so you mentioned Mr. McLeod was
 24 present, correct?
 25 A Occasionally.

131

1 Q Occasionally. And Mr. Taffora was present
 2 occasionally?
 3 A Occasionally.
 4 Q All right. Which law firm does Mr. Taffora work
 5 for?
 6 A My understanding is that he works at
 7 Michael Best & Friedrich.
 8 Q Okay. And then you mentioned Mr. Troupis,
 9 correct?
 10 A Yes.
 11 Q And Mr. Troupis formerly was at Michael Best &
 12 Friedrich, correct?
 13 A Yes.
 14 Q And he now has his own law firm, correct?
 15 A That's my understanding.
 16 Q Okay. You mentioned Sarah Troupis as well. Is
 17 Sarah Troupis a lawyer?
 18 A My understanding is she is an attorney, yes.
 19 Q Do you know where she -- whether she works for a
 20 law firm?
 21 A I don't know for certain.
 22 Q And you mentioned Robin Vos, correct?
 23 A Yes.
 24 Q Does Robin Vos hold a law degree; do you know?
 25 A Not to my knowledge.

132

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Q What about Rich Zipperer?

2 A My understanding is that, yes, he possesses a law

3 degree.

4 Q Okay. But not currently practicing law?

5 A I would have no knowledge --

6 Q Okay.

7 A -- on that.

8 Q Other than the people that I've just mentioned,

9 Mr. McLeod, Mr. Taffora, Mr. Troupis,

10 Sarah Troupis, depending on whether you want to

11 include Zipperer or not because he has a law

12 degree, are there any other lawyers or people

13 holding law degrees that were present at

14 Michael Best & Friedrich when you were there

15 working on redistricting?

16 A I do not recall any additional.

17 Q What was the role that Mr. Troupis was playing in

18 redistricting when you were with him at

19 Michael Best & Friedrich?

20 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert an

21 objection. The -- as a matter of record,

22 Attorney Troupis is retained as counsel for

23 the legislature on matters related to

24 redistricting. To the extent that the answer

25 calls for matters within the scope of the

133

1 attorney-client privilege or the attorney

2 work product, I would instruct the witness

3 not to answer. I'll leave it at that.

4 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction and

5 not answer the question?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Same question with respect to Mr. McLeod. Did

8 Mr. McLeod have a specific role in the

9 redistricting work that you were doing at

10 Michael Best & Friedrich?

11 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert

12 the same objection.

13 Q Okay. Are you going to follow counsel's

14 instruction not to answer the question?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. Same question with respect to Mr. Taffora.

17 MR. MCLEOD: I assert the same

18 objection.

19 Q Okay. And you're going to follow counsel's

20 instruction and not answer the question?

21 A Yes.

22 Q What about Sarah Troupis?

23 MR. MCLEOD: Same objection.

24 A Yes.

25 Q And you're going to -- you're going to follow

134

1 counsel's instruction and not answer the question.

2 Okay.

3 What about -- what about Mr. Vos's role?

4 What role did Mr. Vos have in the redistricting

5 process when you worked together at Michael Best &

6 Friedrich?

7 A Mr. Vos is a legislature who was assisting the

8 speaker in the legislative process.

9 Q How many times was Mr. Vos present with you at

10 Michael Best when you were working on the

11 redistricting process?

12 A I can't recall that exact number.

13 Q Can you give me a ballpark, dozen times, couple

14 dozen times?

15 A Ballpark would be two, three.

16 Q Do you remember around what time frame that was?

17 A Not exactly.

18 Q Can you recall whether it was, whether it was

19 still winter or whether it was into the summer?

20 A It roughly would have been June.

21 Q Did you have any discussions with Mr. Vos about

22 the specific redistricting plans that were being

23 proposed?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Okay. And what's the nature of those discussions

135

1 you had with Mr. Vos?

2 A Those type of specifics I couldn't possibly

3 recount or recall.

4 Q Did you ever talk about any specific districts

5 with Mr. Vos?

6 A No.

7 Q Did you ever look at any proposed redistricting

8 maps together and talk about specific boundaries

9 of districts?

10 A Regionally.

11 Q And were those the same regions that you had

12 conversations with both Jeff and Scott Fitzgerald

13 about?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Do the proposed regional maps still exist; do you

16 know?

17 A I do not know.

18 Q The regional maps you were looking at with

19 Mr. Vos, were those also on paper?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Did you ever have any kind of an image, a scan, or

22 anything that reflected those regional maps that

23 was sent to you outside of Michael Best's offices?

24 A No.

25 Q Did you ever take a CD of those maps out of

136

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Michael Best's offices with you?

2 A No.

3 Q Did you communicate at all with Mr. Ottman or

4 Mr. Foltz, Mr. Vos, or Jeff or Scott Fitzgerald by

5 e-mail about the 2011 redistricting?

6 MR. KELLY: Objection. Can we put

7 in a time frame?

8 Q Sure. At any time.

9 MR. KELLY: Then objection to the

10 extent the question calls for information

11 protected by the attorney-client privilege or

12 the work product doctrine. And I instruct

13 the witness not to answer.

14 However, to the extent that you can

15 answer the question with respect to

16 information prior to November 22, 2011, you

17 may answer if you can.

18 Q Are you going to take counsel's instructions and

19 not answer the question with respect to any e-mail

20 after November 22, 2011?

21 A Yes.

22 Q How about before November 22, 2011; did you have

23 any e-mail communications with Mr. Ottman,

24 Mr. Foltz, Scott Fitzgerald, Jeff Fitzgerald, or

25 Robin Vos?

137

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. Let's break it down and talk about

3 Mr. Ottman. So for Mr. Ottman before November 22,

4 2011, did you have any communications with him by

5 e-mail about redistricting?

6 A Yes.

7 Q How often did you e-mail Mr. Ottman about

8 redistricting?

9 A I cannot recall that specifically.

10 Q And did you send those e-mails through your

11 Reinhart e-mail address?

12 A Sometimes.

13 Q And when you didn't send Mr. Ottman e-mails

14 through your Reinhart e-mail address, what e-mail

15 address did you use?

16 A My personal.

17 Q Okay. And I'm not going to ask you for the e-mail

18 address itself, but is it a Gmail? Is it a Yahoo!

19 mail, Hotmail? Who is the service provider?

20 A It's a dot MSN.

21 Q MSN. Okay. And so you did communicate with

22 Mr. Ottman about redistricting through your

23 dot MSN e-mail address, correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Do you recall how many times you communicated with

138

1 Mr. Ottman by e-mail?

2 A Specifically, no.

3 Q Do you retain copies of the e-mail correspondence

4 between you and Mr. Ottman about redistricting?

5 A No.

6 Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Ottman by

7 instant messaging or text messaging about

8 redistricting matters? And, again, this is before

9 November 22, 2011.

10 A Not that I recall.

11 Q Did you communicate with Mr. Foltz before

12 November 22, 2011 by e-mail?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Including specifically with respect to

15 redistricting matters.

16 A Yes.

17 Q All right. Did you communicate with Mr. Foltz

18 both using your Reinhart e-mail account and your

19 dot MSN account?

20 A To my recollection, yes.

21 Q All right. Did you retain any of those e-mail

22 communications?

23 A No.

24 Q Did anyone ever tell you or instruct you not to

25 retain e-mail communications regarding

139

1 redistricting?

2 A No.

3 Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Foltz about

4 redistricting by text messaging or instant

5 messaging?

6 MR. KELLY: Objection. Prior to

7 November 22?

8 Q Prior to November 22.

9 A Yes.

10 Q How did you communicate with Mr. Foltz -- strike

11 that question.

12 Did you communicate with Mr. Foltz by text

13 messaging?

14 A Yes.

15 Q How often did you text Mr. Foltz about

16 redistricting matters?

17 A Oh, I cannot recall that specifically.

18 Q You were using a cell phone when you were texting;

19 is that correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And was that a cell phone that was issued to you

22 by the Reinhart law firm?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Is that a cell phone that you still have?

25 A Yes.

140

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Q Did you retain any of the texts that you sent to
2 Mr. Foltz?
3 A I don't -- I don't believe so.
4 Q Did Mr. Foltz text back to you as well?
5 A I believe so.
6 Q Did you communicate with Mr. Foltz by instant
7 messaging?
8 A Not that I can recall.
9 Q Did you communicate with Jeff Fitzgerald
10 personally as opposed to through one of the
11 members of his staff? Did you communicate with
12 Jeff Fitzgerald personally by e-mail about
13 redistricting matters?
14 MR. KELLY: Objection. Time frame?
15 Q Before November 22, 2011.
16 A No.
17 Q Did you communicate with Jeff Fitzgerald by e-mail
18 or text messaging before November 22, 2011 about
19 redistricting matters?
20 A No.
21 Q Did you speak by telephone with Jeff Fitzgerald
22 before November 22, 2011 about redistricting
23 matters?
24 A No.
25 Q I'm going ask the same questions with respect to

141

1 Scott Fitzgerald. Before November 22, 2011, did
2 you communicate with Scott Fitzgerald by telephone
3 about redistricting matters?
4 A No.
5 Q Did you communicate -- in that same time frame,
6 did you communicate with Scott Fitzgerald by text
7 messaging or instant messaging about redistricting
8 matters?
9 A No.
10 Q Did you have any conversations with either
11 Jeff Fitzgerald or Scott Fitzgerald before
12 November 22, 2011 about redistricting matters in
13 person?
14 A Yes.
15 Q All right. Did any of those conversations occur
16 outside of Michael Best & Friedrich's offices?
17 A Yes.
18 Q What was the nature of those conversations?
19 MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of
20 the question. To the extent you can answer,
21 please do so.
22 A I can't -- I can't recall that specifically.
23 Q Okay. Generally can you recall what you
24 discussed?
25 A They were the dates at which the bills were being

142

1 brought up before the legislature.
2 Q That's when they would have occurred?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Okay. Did you speak with Jeff and
5 Scott Fitzgerald individually, or were they
6 together?
7 A Together.
8 Q How many times did you meet with them outside of
9 Michael Best & Friedrich's offices to talk about
10 redistricting?
11 A Once.
12 Q Was it before or after the time that the bills
13 were passed into law?
14 A During.
15 Q It was during, during the session where they were
16 actually passed. So you were present when the
17 legislature was voting on those bills?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And what did you say to them about, about the
20 redistricting process?
21 A Specifically, I don't recall.
22 Q Do you recall generally what was said, what you
23 said?
24 A Generally I said *I'm here if you have any*
25 *technical questions that come up that I can help*

143

1 with.
2 Q And did they say anything to you generally?
3 A No.
4 Q Did you -- did you communicate at all with
5 Robin Vos outside of Michael Best & Friedrich's
6 offices to discuss redistricting?
7 MR. KELLY: Objection. Prior to
8 November 22?
9 Q Prior to November 22.
10 A Not that I recall.
11 Q All right. Did you speak with Robin Vos at all by
12 telephone about redistricting before November 22,
13 2011?
14 A Not that I recall.
15 Q What about -- strike that.
16 Did you communicate with Robin Vos about
17 redistricting matters for November 22 by text
18 messaging or instant messaging?
19 A Not that I recall.
20 Q Before November 22, 2011, did you communicate with
21 Rich Zipperer about redistricting matters by
22 telephone, e-mail, instant messaging, or text
23 messaging?
24 A Yes.
25 Q All right. What method did you communicate with

144

1 Rich Zipperer by?
2 A E-mail.
3 Q Okay. When did you and Rich Zipperer communicate
4 by e-mail about redistricting matters?
5 A I can't recall that specific.
6 Q Do you recall how often you e-mailed to discuss
7 redistricting matters?
8 A Not specifically, no.
9 Q Would that again have been from both your dot MSN
10 account and your Reinhart account?
11 A I don't recall.
12 Q Do you recall generally the nature of the
13 discussions or the e-mail correspondence?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And what was that?
16 A He was conducting a hearing on Act 43 and had a
17 couple of questions.
18 Q What were the questions that he had?
19 A I don't recall specifically.
20 Q Did you have answers for him at that time?
21 A My recollection is that his questions were of the
22 type of things that legal counsel would have to
23 answer.
24 Q Okay. Did you --
25 A So I -- no, I did not have answers for him.

145

1 Q Okay. So you did not send Mr. Vos answers to --
2 I'm sorry, Mr. Zipperer answers to the questions
3 that he posed to you by e-mail?
4 A I responded.
5 Q Okay. But you didn't have answers?
6 A I did not provide answers.
7 Q All right. Did you forward Mr. Zipperer's e-mail
8 to anyone else to answer his questions?
9 A I don't -- I don't recall.
10 Q What about Mr. Gaddie; did you have
11 conversations -- this is before November 22. Did
12 you have conversations with Mr. Gaddie outside of
13 Michael Best & Friedrich's offices with respect to
14 redistricting?
15 A Yes.
16 Q Okay. Did you meet with Mr. Gaddie in person to
17 talk about redistricting before November 22?
18 A Yes.
19 Q All right. And did any of those meetings occur
20 outside of Michael Best & Friedrich's offices?
21 A No.
22 Q So every time that you met with Mr. Gaddie before
23 November 22 for the purpose of the redistricting
24 plan it was always at Michael Best's offices; is
25 that correct?

146

1 A Yes.
2 Q Did you speak with Mr. Gaddie by telephone at all
3 before November 22 about redistricting matters?
4 A Yes.
5 Q All right. How often did you speak with
6 Mr. Gaddie by phone?
7 A I can't give you a specific number.
8 Q Was it just a few times? Was it 15, 20, 30?
9 Could you give me an estimate?
10 A Just a few.
11 Q A few times. All right. How long were the
12 conversations that you had with -- I should call
13 him Professor Gaddie?
14 A I can't remember specifically, but they were
15 short.
16 Q Generally what did you discuss with
17 Professor Gaddie?
18 A When I was going to pick him up at the airport,
19 how long he would be staying, logistics.
20 Q How many times did he fly in from Oklahoma to
21 Madison to work on redistricting?
22 A I can't remember specifically.
23 Q Was it a handful of times, more than ten?
24 A Roughly less than a handful.
25 Q Okay. Can you ballpark it? Less than five?

147

1 A Less than five.
2 Q When Professor Gaddie came into Madison to work on
3 redistricting, how long did he stay?
4 A I believe it varied.
5 Q What was the shortest stay that he had?
6 A My recollection is a day and a half.
7 Q How about what was the longest stay?
8 A My recollection is three days.
9 Q When he was at Michael Best & Friedrich with you
10 working on redistricting, did Mr. Gaddie direct
11 the preparation of any maps?
12 A No.
13 Q Did he give input on any maps that anyone drew?
14 A No.
15 Q What was his role in the redistricting process?
16 A His role was to assist legal counsel in their
17 advice of the legislature on drawing
18 reapportionment plans and was reflective in
19 nature.
20 Q Okay. What did you see him physically doing when
21 he was present at Michael Best & Friedrich's
22 offices?
23 A He physically was engaging in numbers.
24 Q Okay. Was he sitting at a computer engaging in
25 numbers?

148

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 A No.
2 Q So what was he doing engaging in numbers?
3 A More specifically, I can't recall because I --
4 that's -- I didn't fully -- never knew what he was
5 doing.
6 Q Okay.
7 A So --
8 Q All right. You didn't participate in any
9 calculations with Professor Gaddie?
10 A No.
11 Q Did you observe him talking to anyone else who was
12 also present at Michael Best & Friedrich?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Who was he speaking with?
15 A Legal counsel.
16 Q Mr. McLeod?
17 A Yes, I have a recollection of him speaking to
18 Mr. McLeod.
19 Q Mr. Troupis?
20 A I have a recollection of him speaking with
21 Mr. Troupis.
22 Q Sarah Troupis?
23 A I do not have a recollection of him speaking with
24 Sarah Troupis.
25 Q Ray Taffora?

149

1 A I do not have a recollection of him just talking
2 with Ray Taffora.
3 Q Okay. Any other legal -- any counsel, legal
4 counsel other than Mr. McLeod or Mr. Troupis that
5 you saw Professor Gaddie speaking with?
6 A Not to my best recollection.
7 Q Did you see him, Professor Gaddie, speaking with
8 any non-lawyers?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Who was he speaking with who -- people who were
11 not lawyers?
12 A Tad.
13 Q Okay.
14 A Adam.
15 Q So Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz?
16 A Yes.
17 Q Okay. Anyone else?
18 A Not that I can recall.
19 Q Do you know what Professor Gaddie was speaking
20 about with Mr. McLeod and Mr. Troupis?
21 A Yes.
22 Q Okay. What were they speaking about?
23 A The Voting Rights Act.
24 Q Okay. What was the nature of those conversations?
25 A I do not know. I was not part of those.

150

1 Q Did you -- did you do any work in the
2 redistricting process with respect to the
3 Voting Rights Act?
4 A Yes.
5 Q What work did you do with respect to the
6 Voting Rights Act?
7 A I drew maps that included districts in
8 Milwaukee County.
9 Q Were those Assembly Districts 8 and 9?
10 A That includes Assembly Districts 8 and 9.
11 Q Okay. So you drew assembly districts in
12 Milwaukee County generally?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Did anyone else participate in drawing the
15 assembly districts in Milwaukee County?
16 A Yes.
17 Q Who else participated in that process?
18 A Adam and Tad also drew.
19 Q They also drew assembly districts in
20 Milwaukee County?
21 A Yes.
22 Q Okay. Did the three of you work together to draw
23 assembly districts in Milwaukee County, or were
24 you drawing them separately?
25 A We did not draw them together.

151

1 Q All right. When you drew assembly districts in
2 Milwaukee County, did you use autoBound software
3 to do that?
4 A Yes.
5 Q So that was drawn on a computer as opposed to
6 being drawn on a piece of paper?
7 A Correct.
8 Q Did you ever physically draw districts in
9 Milwaukee County on a piece of paper?
10 A No.
11 Q What about Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman; did they use
12 the autoBound software as well to draw assembly
13 districts in Milwaukee County?
14 A That's my understanding.
15 Q Did you ever see them doing that, going through
16 that process of drawing with autoBound?
17 A Yes.
18 Q And did you see the maps that they drew for the
19 assembly districts in Milwaukee County?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Did you give them any feedback on the maps that
22 they drew in Milwaukee County?
23 A No.
24 Q Were the assembly districts that you drew in
25 Milwaukee County different than the ones that

152

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman drew?
 2 A Yes.
 3 Q All right. Did you compare between the two sets
 4 of assembly districts that were drawn?
 5 A Did we?
 6 Q Yes, you and Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman.
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q All right. And what was the nature of the
 9 comparison that was being made?
 10 A That was when that -- those were then presented to
 11 the leaders that we discussed earlier as that
 12 region.
 13 Q Okay. So these are the options that were then
 14 presented to, to -- I've got my list here
 15 somewhere -- that were presented to
 16 Jeff Fitzgerald and Scott Fitzgerald and then
 17 Robin Vos; is that correct?
 18 A Yes.
 19 Q And did Rich Zipperer have, have a say also in the
 20 options that were presented?
 21 A I don't understand your question.
 22 Q You talked about options that were presented,
 23 right, and they were presented to the legislative
 24 leaders who were there. And so I was wondering
 25 whether in terms of giving input into the options

153

1 that were presented whether, whether that was done
 2 for decisions by Jeff and Scott Fitzgerald and
 3 Robin Vos or whether Rich Zipperer also had a say.
 4 A He did not have a say in any of those options that
 5 were presented.
 6 Q Were the Milwaukee -- the assembly districts that
 7 you draw in Milwaukee County, that was one region
 8 then that was being considered; is that correct?
 9 A Yes.
 10 Q Who made the final decision with respect to which
 11 assembly districts were, were used in Act 43?
 12 MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of
 13 the question. I think it's vague and
 14 ambiguous. To the extent you can answer the
 15 question, please do so.
 16 A The state legislature.
 17 Q Okay. Did -- was there a selection made by any of
 18 the legislatures who were present at
 19 Michael Best & Friedrich's offices about which
 20 assembly districts in Milwaukee County would be
 21 the ones included in Act 43?
 22 A Can you please restate that question?
 23 MR. POLAND: Can you read it?
 24 (Question read)
 25 A I don't believe so.

154

1 Q Do you know, was there -- do you know who decided
 2 selecting from the various options that were
 3 presented at Michael Best's offices which ones
 4 would be included in Act 43?
 5 A I believe they deferred to their legal counsel.
 6 MR. POLAND: Okay. Do you want to
 7 take a break now?
 8 MR. MCLEOD: Sure.
 9 (Lunch Recess)
 10 Q Mr. Handrick, we just had a lunch break. During
 11 the lunch break did you talk to anybody about the
 12 redistricting process or about the redistricting
 13 litigation?
 14 A No.
 15 Q You didn't. Okay. I'm going to try to do
 16 something here to speed things up a little bit for
 17 at least some of us present. There are different
 18 claims that pertain to Act 43 and 44 that are at
 19 issue in this lawsuit. Do you understand that?
 20 A Yes.
 21 Q There are some challenges to Act 43 and then to
 22 Act 44. Do you understand that?
 23 A Yes.
 24 Q All right. And Act 44 is the congressional
 25 districts, correct?

155

1 A Yes.
 2 Q All right. Did you have anything to do with the
 3 drawing districts for the congressional districts
 4 for Act 44?
 5 A No.
 6 Q I'd like you to take a look at Exhibit 10, please,
 7 which are the Rule 26 disclosures. And I'd like
 8 you to turn to page 5, which is paragraph 10. We
 9 talked about this one a little bit before, but I
 10 want to go back specifically and ask you with
 11 respect to Act 44. If you flip the page over to
 12 page 6, you'll see that your name is identified in
 13 conjunction with the answer to paragraph 10. Do
 14 you see that?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q All right. Do you see also then in paragraph 10
 17 it refers to state and congressional districts as
 18 memorialized in Acts 43 and 44; do you see that?
 19 A Yes.
 20 Q All right. Did you have anything to do with the
 21 determining the appropriate constitutional
 22 boundaries for the congressional districts as
 23 memorialized in Act 44?
 24 A No.
 25 Q Then we had gone over the same paragraphs earlier

156

1 today as well, the following paragraphs, 11, 12,
 2 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 where your name also
 3 appears. And did you do anything with respect to
 4 the congressional districts or Act 44 with respect
 5 to the items that are identified in those
 6 paragraphs? You can take a minute to look through
 7 if you want.
 8 A That's 11 through --
 9 Q 11 through 17.
 10 A No.
 11 Q Do you know who -- do you know who did? Do you
 12 know who was involved in drawing the redistricting
 13 plans for the congressional districts?
 14 A No.
 15 Q Did you have any conversations with anyone about
 16 the drawing of the districts, the congressional
 17 districts for Act 44?
 18 A Yes.
 19 Q Who did you speak with about that?
 20 A Tad Ottman.
 21 Q When did you speak with Mr. Ottman about the
 22 congressional districts?
 23 A I can't remember the particular date.
 24 Q Was it before or after the Act 44 was passed by
 25 the legislature?

157

1 A That would have been before.
 2 Q What was the nature of the conversations that you
 3 had with Mr. Ottman about congressional districts?
 4 A The nature of it was I read in the
 5 Milwaukee Journal that the congressmen had agreed
 6 to a plan.
 7 Q Okay. And you made that comment to Mr. Ottman?
 8 A I -- we had a discussion whether or not he saw the
 9 same thing.
 10 Q Okay. And what was Mr. Ottman's response to that?
 11 A He -- he had.
 12 Q Okay. Did he -- did Mr. Ottman indicate to you
 13 that he participated in the drawing of the
 14 congressional districts?
 15 A No.
 16 Q Did Mr. Ottman identify anyone who had
 17 participated in determining what the boundaries
 18 should be for the congressional districts?
 19 A No.
 20 Q And other than Mr. Ottman, did you ever have any
 21 communications with anyone else about, about
 22 drawing the congressional districts in Act 44?
 23 A None that I recall.
 24 MR. POLAND: Let's go off the
 25 record just a second.

158

1 (Discussion off the record)
 2 Q Mr. Handrick, looking at that document that's in
 3 front of you still -- and that's Exhibit No. 10 --
 4 I'd like to draw your attention to page 12 of that
 5 document. And you'll see a heading B there that
 6 says *Potentially relevant documents*. Do you see
 7 that?
 8 A Yes.
 9 Q And if you jump down to the very last one that's
 10 identified, paragraph No. 7, it states -- well, to
 11 read the introductory part of that it says
 12 "Defendants may use the following documents to
 13 support their defenses in this matter." I'm going
 14 to jump down to No. 7, which says "Expert reports
 15 and analysis, if any, in the possession of the
 16 Legislature, and/or its various bodies, that were
 17 utilized to draft the 2011 legislative maps at
 18 issue." Do you see that language?
 19 A Yes.
 20 Q All right. Did you prepare any reports or
 21 analysis that were provided to the legislature or
 22 members of the legislature as part of your work in
 23 redistricting?
 24 A No.
 25 Q Did you -- did you prepare any reports generally

159

1 as part of your work on legislative redistricting
 2 in 2011?
 3 MR. MCLEOD: Can I have the
 4 question read back, please.
 5 (Question read)
 6 A No.
 7 Q Did you prepare any analysis as part of your work
 8 in the 2011 legislative redistricting?
 9 A Yes.
 10 Q Do you -- who did you prepare that for -- or
 11 strike that question.
 12 Who did you provide with that analysis?
 13 A That would have been provided to Tad and/or Adam.
 14 Q And did you provide it to them when you were
 15 working together at Michael Best & Friedrich's
 16 offices?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q Did you ever provide them with any analysis
 19 outside of Michael Best & Friedrich's offices?
 20 A No.
 21 Q Was the analysis that you gave to them in written
 22 form, electronic form? Was it verbal?
 23 A It would have been written.
 24 Q What was the nature of the written analysis that
 25 you provided to Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz?

160

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 A I would have provided them something like this
2 (indicating).
3 Q Okay. So now *this* is part of Exhibit No. 2,
4 correct?
5 A I believe so, yes.
6 Q I think it is part of Exhibit 2. And these were
7 your handwritten notes?
8 COURT REPORTER: Is that a yes or
9 no?
10 A Yes.
11 Q I'm sorry. That's right. I should remember --
12 remind you to answer audibly. Okay.
13 What is -- what's represented in these
14 handwritten notes that are part of Exhibit 1?
15 A This is for a map, a listing of MCD, ASM splits.
16 Q Okay. And what are ACD -- I'm sorry, MCD, ASM
17 splits?
18 A MCD stands for minor civil division. ASM is an
19 abbreviation for assembly.
20 Q Okay. What is minor civil division? What's the
21 meaning of that term?
22 A That would be a town, a village, or a city.
23 Q Okay. And so there are -- is this a listing then
24 of the counties in Wisconsin?
25 A Yes, this is a listing of the counties.

161

1 Q And let's -- so I understand this, up at the top
2 in the left-hand corner, it looks like -- is that
3 EDL at the very top?
4 A It looks to me to be FDL.
5 Q That's an FDL. Okay. And what does the FDL stand
6 for?
7 A Fond du Lac.
8 Q Got it. Okay. And then there's an equal sign
9 next to Fond -- the FDL, correct?
10 A Yes.
11 Q It says equal zero; is that correct?
12 A Correct.
13 Q And then does it say Calumet after that?
14 A Yes.
15 Q All right. So what does the FDL equal zero
16 signify?
17 A For all the other counties it appears that if
18 there was no municipality split, the zero meant
19 there were no municipalities within that county
20 split.
21 Q And that would be -- would that be both minor
22 civil divisions and -- or I'm sorry. Strike that
23 question.
24 And so that means even municipalities
25 regardless of size that would be split; is that

162

1 correct?
2 A Correct.
3 Q Okay. And do you know what the Calumet after the
4 FDL equals zero signifies?
5 A Calumet is a town within Fond du Lac County.
6 Q Okay. And why was that written down there?
7 A Because to the left there's a 1.
8 Q Okay.
9 A And that would be the 1 within FDL.
10 Q Okay. So what does the zero then signify next to
11 it?
12 A I believe that that zero was then crossed out.
13 Q Oh, I see. Okay. That's not like a computer zero
14 where you put a line through it. Okay. All
15 right.
16 What about below that? Now, there's an
17 Adams, and it says Adams equals zero, but it's got
18 that same line through it.
19 A That would indicate within Adams County there were
20 no municipalities on this map that I could see
21 that were split.
22 Q Okay. So if we've got -- for example, we've got
23 Ashland, Bayfield, Burnett, Barron. Those all
24 have zeros next to them, so there were no
25 municipalities split in those counties, according

163

1 to the map that this pertains to?
2 A That's my understanding, yes.
3 Q Up in the right-hand corner it appears there is
4 a -- it looks like a 58 scratched out and 57.
5 What's the significance of that 57?
6 A I can't state for certain, but it appears to be
7 the number of municipalities that are listed added
8 together.
9 Q Okay. And that would be the municipalities that
10 were split?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Okay. So if we go to Brown County, we've got
13 four municipalities split, Green Bay, Howard,
14 De Pere, and I can't quite make out the last one.
15 Can you read that one?
16 A I believe it says Ledgeview.
17 Q Ledgeview. Okay. So with this map that this
18 pertains to, there was a split in those
19 municipalities; they were split among different
20 assembly districts?
21 A Correct.
22 Q All right. And then for Calumet County, there was
23 one split, and that is Menasha; is that right?
24 A Menasha city.
25 Q Menasha city. Okay. And for Dane County there

164

1 were nine splits, correct?
 2 A Correct.
 3 Q And that was the -- those splits were among the
 4 municipalities that are listed, correct?
 5 A Correct.
 6 Q And so we could go through and follow those
 7 through. In each place where there's a number on
 8 the left, it signifies the number of
 9 municipalities split, and then you've written down
 10 which municipalities those were, correct?
 11 A Correct.
 12 Q Is there any way that you can tell what particular
 13 map this pertained to?
 14 A No, there's not.
 15 Q So this, this is a report or this is an analysis I
 16 should say that, that you created, correct, and
 17 that you gave to Mr. Ottman and to Mr. Foltz?
 18 A Correct.
 19 Q What was the purpose of giving this particular
 20 analysis to Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz?
 21 A I did not have the ability to run reports, so I
 22 would do my own.
 23 Q Okay. In handwritten form?
 24 A Yes.
 25 Q All right. And when you say you didn't have the

165

1 ability to run reports, is there a particular
 2 software program or application that reports can
 3 be run in with this kind of information?
 4 A I believe autoBound can run a report.
 5 Q All right. Why did you not have the ability to
 6 run reports in autoBound?
 7 A I never learned how to run any reports off of the
 8 software.
 9 Q So you could operate the autoBound software for
 10 the purpose of drawing districts, but you didn't
 11 have the technical training to be able to print
 12 the reports?
 13 A That's correct.
 14 Q If you wanted to have a report printed while you
 15 were doing the redistricting work at
 16 Michael Best's offices, did you typically ask
 17 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz to run a report for you?
 18 A Yes.
 19 Q All right. Did they then give the reports to you
 20 in a printed format, or did you look at them on a
 21 computer screen?
 22 A Printed.
 23 Q Do you know approximately how many printed reports
 24 you would have created as part of the
 25 redistricting process?

166

1 A No, I don't.
 2 Q Any idea whether it's a handful, a dozen, a couple
 3 dozen?
 4 A I would roughly say a couple dozen.
 5 Q Do you know what happened to those printed
 6 reports, whether they were retained or whether
 7 they were given to anyone?
 8 A No, I don't.
 9 Q But you didn't retain them yourself; you didn't
 10 take them out of Michael Best's offices and retain
 11 them?
 12 A That's correct.
 13 Q Do you know -- and I'm just asking for your own
 14 personal knowledge. Do you know whether any of
 15 those reports were given to any members of the
 16 legislature?
 17 A I do not know that.
 18 Q What other types of reports did you ask Mr. Ottman
 19 and Mr. Foltz to print for you?
 20 A Primarily population report.
 21 Q And what would a population report consist of?
 22 The one with the red --
 23 A A population report would show a district number,
 24 the total number of persons, the target
 25 population, the deviation percent, the difference,

167

1 and four -- the four categories on the right side.
 2 Q Okay. And so that's -- that's -- the columns are
 3 *Black, Hispanic, Black 18 percent,*
 4 *Hispanic 18 percent;* is that correct?
 5 A Yes.
 6 Q So this -- the document that you're holding that
 7 we marked as Exhibit 2A, I believe, that is an
 8 example of a population report printed from
 9 autoBound?
 10 A Yes.
 11 Q All right. What is the -- what is the column --
 12 or what do the columns *Black* and *Hispanic* signify
 13 in Exhibit 2A?
 14 A My understanding is the *Black* column represents
 15 the total number of African-American residents in,
 16 in that column.
 17 Q Okay.
 18 A I do not know precisely what the *Hispanic* category
 19 to which that refers.
 20 Q And what about the columns that follow
 21 *Black 18 percent, Hispanic 18 percent;* what does
 22 that signify?
 23 A *Black 18 percent*, as I understand it, is the -- of
 24 the people that are over 18 years of age, what
 25 percent are African-American.

168

1 Q So that would be the voting age population?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And does that hold true as well with the

4 *Hispanic 18 percent* column?

5 A Yes.

6 Q What was the purpose of having that data on a

7 population report printed from autoBound?

8 A I believe that was the standard way in which those

9 reports were produced.

10 Q It would format it automatically to print in that

11 way?

12 A That's my understanding, yes.

13 Q Did you have -- did you ask Mr. Foltz or

14 Mr. Ottman to print additional population reports

15 for you as you went through the redistricting

16 process between February and in the time that the

17 act was passed?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Did you ask that data other than categories of

20 data -- other than the data that's reflected in

21 Exhibit 2A be included in any of the reports that

22 were printed?

23 A No.

24 Q So they all contained the same data -- well,

25 strike that question.

169

1 They all contained the same kind of data, the

2 same headings and the columns as with Exhibit 2A?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q What use did you make of the population reports

5 when they were printed for you?

6 A One use is at the bottom of page 2. It says

7 *Unassigned*. If there were blocks or people

8 unassigned, they would show up there.

9 Q And so the unassigned, would that have been --

10 would that have been census blocks that were

11 unassigned to a district that would show up there?

12 A Could be.

13 Q What other -- what other kinds of categories would

14 show up as unassigned?

15 A It could be any level of geography that is

16 unassigned.

17 Q Okay. So it could be a ward boundary if wards

18 were being used; is that correct?

19 A Not a boundary.

20 Q I'm sorry. What would it be with respect to a

21 ward; what would it be?

22 A If there was any unit of geography that was not

23 assigned, the number of people in that unit of

24 geography would appear at the bottom.

25 Q I see. Okay. I got you. Would -- strike that

170

1 question.

2 The level of geography that was being used

3 for the redistricting process was census blocks,

4 correct?

5 A Depends.

6 Q All right. Were there other units of geography or

7 levels of geography being used for redistricting

8 in 2011 other than census blocks?

9 A Yes.

10 Q What other levels of geography were used?

11 A Counties, municipalities.

12 Q Anything else?

13 A No.

14 Q Other than the population reports, were there any

15 other kinds of reports that you asked to be

16 created for you, printed for you as part of the

17 redistricting process?

18 A Yes.

19 Q What other kinds of reports did you ask to be

20 created or printed?

21 A I would ask for a splits report to be created.

22 Q And what is a splits report?

23 A It's a report that would indicate municipalities

24 and/or counties that are divided between one or

25 more legislative districts.

171

1 Q And so that was the -- the handwritten example

2 that you showed us before from Exhibit 2, would

3 that be a handwritten example of what would then

4 be reflected in the splits report?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Did a splits report -- strike that question.

7 Were splits reports generated from autoBound?

8 A As far as I know, yes.

9 Q Did splits reports reflect any information other

10 than what was reflected in the handwritten notes

11 included with Exhibit 2?

12 A I would -- I would have to compare that

13 appropriate splits report to the handwritten

14 equivalent.

15 Q Okay. Did you have splits reports actually

16 printed for you by Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman?

17 A Yes.

18 Q All right. So you -- that was done over at

19 Michael Best & Friedrich's offices?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And you did not retain any copies of those

22 reports, correct?

23 A That is correct.

24 Q What were splits reports used for?

25 A As I would prepare this handwritten splits report,

172

1 I would then use the splits report to compare it
 2 to the handwritten version to see if the computer
 3 identified splits that I was not aware of.
 4 Q And once you had the information that there were
 5 splits, what did you do with that information?
 6 A If there were discrepancies, I would seek to find
 7 those splits that I was not aware of.
 8 Q And then what would you do with respect to splits
 9 that you found that you hadn't been aware of?
 10 A I would go and find those and try to identify the
 11 reason the computer was identifying them.
 12 Q Identifying them as being split? Okay. You have
 13 to answer audibly.
 14 A Yes.
 15 Q And then if you found that -- if you found the
 16 reason that the computer had identified them as
 17 being split, what did you do with that
 18 information?
 19 A If the computer identified it as being split and I
 20 wasn't aware that it was split, that indicated
 21 that there was just a technical provision in the
 22 map that then -- that was not intended, so I would
 23 go in and find that municipality and find the
 24 source of the split and correct it.
 25 Q Meaning you'd try to keep the municipality from

173

1 being split?
 2 A Yes.
 3 Q Did that happen very often, that the computer had
 4 some kind of a technical issue that you had to go
 5 back and correct the split?
 6 A No.
 7 Q Any idea how many times that happened during the
 8 2011 redistricting process?
 9 A Not precisely, no.
 10 Q If you could set that back down, set that aside.
 11 Mr. Ottman, just before we broke for lunch we
 12 were talking about conversations that you had with
 13 some of the people who were not lawyers when you
 14 were working over at Michael Best & Friedrich on
 15 the redistricting earlier this year. I want to go
 16 back, and I want to ask you some questions about
 17 the lawyers that you were working with when you
 18 were there.
 19 You mentioned before that Jim Troupis,
 20 Sarah Troupis, Eric McLeod, and Ray Taffora all
 21 were over at Michael Best, not saying at the same
 22 time, but at various times during your work there
 23 and that you were, you were present at the same
 24 time they were present, correct?
 25 A Yes.

174

1 Q All right. With respect to Jim Troupis, did you
 2 work directly with Mr. Troupis in developing the
 3 maps that would become 2011 Wisconsin Act 43?
 4 A No.
 5 Q What was Mr. Troupis's role at Michael Best &
 6 Friedrich during the redistricting process that
 7 you went through in the Michael Best & Friedrich
 8 offices?
 9 A Mr. Troupis's role was the same role as
 10 Michael Best & Friedrich.
 11 Q Okay. And that was what?
 12 A My understanding is they were retained by the
 13 legislatures to give advice as to the development
 14 of redistricting plans following the 2010 census.
 15 Q All right. And in terms of drawing -- in terms of
 16 drawing maps, was Mr. Troupis present when
 17 legislative district maps were being drawn?
 18 A No.
 19 Q Did you ever observe Mr. Troupis working with
 20 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz when Mr. Ottman and
 21 Mr. Foltz were in the process of drawing
 22 legislative district maps?
 23 A No.
 24 Q Was Mr. Troupis ever on the telephone with
 25 Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman that you observed or

175

1 heard when they were in the process of drawing
 2 legislative district maps?
 3 A Not that I can recall.
 4 Q Did you ever -- did you ever observe Mr. Troupis
 5 giving any direction to Mr. Foltz or Mr. Ottman
 6 with respect to the legislative districts?
 7 A No.
 8 Q Did you ever speak with Mr. Troupis by telephone
 9 about the legislative redistricting process?
 10 A Yes.
 11 Q When did you speak with Mr. Troupis about the
 12 legislative redistricting process by phone?
 13 A I can't recall.
 14 Q It was after the time that you were retained in
 15 February; is that correct?
 16 A Yes.
 17 Q What was the nature of the conversation that you
 18 had with Mr. Troupis?
 19 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to object to
 20 the question on the grounds that it calls for
 21 attorney-client, attorney work product
 22 information, conversations between counsel
 23 and experts retained. Non-testifying expert
 24 consultants are within the scope of the
 25 privilege, and I'm going to direct the

176

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 witness not to answer that question.
 2 Q Are you going to take counsel's advice and not
 3 answer the question?
 4 A Yes.
 5 Q What about text messaging, e-mail, or instant
 6 messaging with Mr. Troupis; did you ever engage in
 7 any of those with respect to redistricting?
 8 A Possibly e-mailing.
 9 Q Do you recall when you would have e-mailed
 10 Mr. Troupis about redistricting?
 11 A Not specifically, no.
 12 Q Would that have been from your Reinhart e-mail
 13 account or your dot MSN account?
 14 A It would depend where I was at the time.
 15 Q Do you have any specific recollection of sitting
 16 in your office at Reinhart and e-mailing with
 17 Mr. Troupis?
 18 A No.
 19 Q Do you have a handheld device that e-mails, a
 20 BlackBerry or an iPhone?
 21 A Yes.
 22 Q Is it a BlackBerry?
 23 A Yes.
 24 Q The e-mails that you exchanged with Mr. Troupis --
 25 strike that question.

177

1 How often did you e-mail with Mr. Troupis
 2 about legislative redistricting?
 3 A I don't recall specifically.
 4 Q How about Sarah Troupis; was she present at
 5 Michael Best's offices when Mr. Foltz and
 6 Mr. Ottman were engaging in work with maps for the
 7 new legislative districts?
 8 A Not to my knowledge.
 9 Q What was Sarah Troupis's role, as far as you could
 10 tell, in the legislative redistricting process?
 11 A I don't know.
 12 Q When she was present at the Michael Best offices
 13 and you were there as well, what did you observe
 14 her doing?
 15 A I did not observe her doing anything.
 16 Q You just saw her; she was there?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q Did you overhear her having conversations with
 19 anyone at Michael Best?
 20 A No.
 21 Q Did you speak with her when you were both at
 22 Michael Best & Friedrich at the same time during
 23 the time that you were working on the
 24 redistricting?
 25 A Aside from pleasantries, hello and good-bye, no.

178

1 Q So no substantive discussions about the
 2 redistricting process?
 3 A That's correct.
 4 Q Did you ever send any e-mails to Sarah Troupis or
 5 receive any e-mails from her regarding the
 6 legislative redistricting process?
 7 A No.
 8 Q What about text messages or instant messaging; did
 9 you ever engage in either of those forms of
 10 communication with Sarah Troupis about
 11 redistricting?
 12 A No.
 13 Q Ray Taffora you also mentioned is a Michael Best &
 14 Friedrich attorney who was present with you at
 15 times while you were engaging in your legislative
 16 redistricting work, correct?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q Was Mr. Taffora present with you every time that
 19 you were at Michael Best doing that work?
 20 A No.
 21 Q Can you give me any idea of the percentage of
 22 times that Mr. Taffora was present?
 23 A Under ten.
 24 Q What did you observe Mr. Taffora doing while he
 25 was, while he was present and you were working on

179

1 legislative redistricting at Michael Best?
 2 MR. MCLEOD: Can I hear the
 3 question back again, please?
 4 (Question read)
 5 A I did not observe him doing anything.
 6 Q You simply noted that he was present; is that
 7 correct?
 8 A Yes.
 9 Q Did you ever observe Mr. Taffora speaking with
 10 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz?
 11 A Yes.
 12 Q How often did you see Mr. Taffora speaking with
 13 Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman?
 14 A I can't recall that specifically.
 15 Q Were you able to hear the conversations that they
 16 were having?
 17 A No.
 18 Q Did you ever overhear anything Mr. Taffora was
 19 saying to Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz about
 20 redistricting?
 21 A Not that I can recall.
 22 Q Did Mr. Taffora ever give you any instructions
 23 about legislative redistricting?
 24 A No.
 25 Q Did you ever talk with Mr. Taffora about the

180

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 legislative redistricting process?

2 A Yes.

3 Q What was the nature of the conversations that you

4 had with Mr. Taffora about the legislative

5 redistricting process?

6 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert

7 the same objection as the one previously, and

8 that is that it constitutes attorney-client,

9 attorney work product because Mr. Handrick is

10 a retained non-testifying expert. And I'll

11 instruct him not to answer the question.

12 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction and

13 not answer the question?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Taffora by

16 e-mail about legislative redistricting?

17 A Yes.

18 Q How often did you communicate with Mr. Taffora by

19 e-mail?

20 A I don't know.

21 Q Was it a regular thing that you and Mr. Taffora

22 e-mailed back and forth?

23 A No.

24 Q Did you also communicate with Mr. Taffora by

25 e-mail through your Reinhart and your dot MSN

181

1 accounts?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Did you ever text message or instant message

4 Mr. Taffora regarding legislative redistricting?

5 A Not that I recall.

6 Q What was the nature of the e-mail communications

7 that you had with Mr. Taffora about legislative

8 redistricting?

9 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert

10 the same objection. It constitutes

11 attorney-client, attorney work product

12 information. I'm going to instruct the

13 witness not to answer.

14 Q And you're going to follow counsel's instruction

15 not to answer the question?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And then the other lawyer that you had mentioned

18 is Mr. McLeod. He was present as well during the

19 time that you were working on legislative

20 redistricting at Michael Best?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Did you have -- strike that question.

23 How often was Mr. McLeod present while you

24 were working at Michael Best's offices?

25 A I can't recall specifically.

182

1 Q Was it more or less often than Mr. Taffora was

2 there?

3 A My recollection would be more.

4 Q What did you observe Mr. McLeod doing while you

5 were working on legislative redistricting at

6 Michael Best?

7 A You dropped your mike.

8 Q Oh, thank you.

9 A Mr. McLeod -- I observed Mr. McLeod giving me

10 guidance and direction as to the objective

11 redistricting criteria.

12 Q What was the nature of the guidance and direction

13 that Mr. McLeod gave you regarding redistricting

14 criteria?

15 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert

16 the same objection. It constitutes

17 attorney-client, attorney work product

18 communication. I'm going to instruct the

19 witness not to answer.

20 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instructions and

21 not answer the question?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Did you observe Mr. McLeod speaking with

24 Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz about redistricting

25 matters?

183

1 A Yes.

2 Q Were you able to hear what Mr. McLeod was saying

3 to Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman?

4 A Not that I recall.

5 Q All right. Do you know whether Mr. McLeod was

6 giving direction to Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman about

7 drawing legislative district boundaries?

8 A I would have -- I would not know that, no.

9 Q Did you communicate with Mr. McLeod by e-mail

10 regarding legislative redistricting matters?

11 A Yes.

12 Q How often did you communicate with Mr. McLeod by

13 e-mail?

14 A I can't recall specifically.

15 Q Was it frequent?

16 A No.

17 Q Would it be perhaps on the order of weekly?

18 A No.

19 Q Less often than weekly?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And when you did communicate with Mr. McLeod by

22 e-mail, was that again through your Reinhart

23 e-mail account and your dot MSN account?

24 A My recollection is that would have only been

25 through the Reinhart e-mail account.

184

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

<p>1 Q What was the nature of the communications that you</p> <p>2 and Mr. McLeod had by e-mail regarding legislative</p> <p>3 redistricting?</p> <p>4 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert</p> <p>5 the same objection. It's attorney-client,</p> <p>6 attorney work product information. And I'll</p> <p>7 instruct the witness not to answer.</p> <p>8 MR. EARLE: Doug, this is</p> <p>9 Peter Earle on the line.</p> <p>10 MR. POLAND: Yes, Peter.</p> <p>11 MR. EARLE: Are you aware that the</p> <p>12 Court just issued an order a few seconds ago?</p> <p>13 MR. POLAND: No, I wasn't.</p> <p>14 MR. EARLE: With regards to the</p> <p>15 issues of attorney-client privilege and</p> <p>16 perhaps -- I've not had a chance to review</p> <p>17 it, but it may be pertinent to the -- some of</p> <p>18 the objections that are being asserted here.</p> <p>19 MR. POLAND: Okay. I haven't had</p> <p>20 the opportunity to look at it either. Let me</p> <p>21 just finish up two more questions. Then</p> <p>22 we'll take a break here for the changing of</p> <p>23 the videotape.</p> <p>24 Did you -- I'm sorry. Can you read back</p> <p>25 the last question and answer?</p> <p>185</p>	<p>1 being issued today. We will assert relevant</p> <p>2 objections we think are appropriate</p> <p>3 concerning attorney-client, attorney work</p> <p>4 product privileges and may instruct the</p> <p>5 witness not to answer on those grounds with</p> <p>6 the assumption that we will be pursuing an</p> <p>7 appeal of Judge Stadtmiller's orders</p> <p>8 concerning attorney-client, attorney work</p> <p>9 product privilege and that we'll do so by the</p> <p>10 end of this week.</p> <p>11 And if there is no action from an</p> <p>12 appellate court or other court that would</p> <p>13 result in a stay of any further deposition of</p> <p>14 Mr. Handrick or reversal of this order in a</p> <p>15 way that changes the issues here, that -- and</p> <p>16 if that does not occur by the end of next</p> <p>17 week, which I believe would be the 20 -- the</p> <p>18 30th of -- the Friday of next week, which I</p> <p>19 believe is the 30th of December, that we</p> <p>20 would make Mr. Handrick available during the</p> <p>21 following week after the new year for a</p> <p>22 continuation of his deposition.</p> <p>23 And obviously if for any reason we</p> <p>24 refuse to do that under the circumstances, we</p> <p>25 would acknowledge that the other parties</p> <p>187</p>
<p>1 (Question read)</p> <p>2 MR. POLAND: Okay. Did I ask him</p> <p>3 if he's going to follow counsel's</p> <p>4 instruction?</p> <p>5 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction and</p> <p>6 not answer the question?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q Did you ever text message with Mr. McLeod</p> <p>9 regarding legislative redistricting?</p> <p>10 A Not that I recall.</p> <p>11 Q Did you ever instant message with Mr. McLeod</p> <p>12 regarding legislative redistricting?</p> <p>13 A Not that I recall.</p> <p>14 MR. POLAND: All right. Let's take</p> <p>15 a break. Then we can change the tape.</p> <p>16 (Recess)</p> <p>17 MR. MCLEOD: This is Eric McLeod.</p> <p>18 While we were off the record we discussed a</p> <p>19 decision from Judge Stadtmiller concerning a</p> <p>20 motion for clarification that the non-parties</p> <p>21 I represent have filed in relation to the</p> <p>22 prior motion to quash and the Court's order</p> <p>23 on that motion.</p> <p>24 We have agreed off the record that we</p> <p>25 will proceed as we had prior to this order</p> <p>186</p>	<p>1 could move to compel as they deem</p> <p>2 appropriate.</p> <p>3 MR. POLAND: We're in agreement.</p> <p>4 MR. MCLEOD: Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>5 Peter, do we have your agreement too?</p> <p>6 MR. EARLE: Yes, we do. I'm sorry.</p> <p>7 MR. MCLEOD: And Scott?</p> <p>8 MR. HASSETT: Yes.</p> <p>9 (Exhibit Nos. 14 and 15 marked for</p> <p>10 identification)</p> <p>11 Q Mr. Handrick, I'm going to hand you two documents</p> <p>12 that the court reporter has marked as Exhibits 14</p> <p>13 and 15. This is going to be 14 (indicating).</p> <p>14 This is 15 (indicating).</p> <p>15 On Exhibit No. 14, Mr. Handrick, this is a</p> <p>16 printout of a Wisconsin State Statute, and I'd</p> <p>17 like to draw your attention to Statute</p> <p>18 Section 801.50. Then about halfway down the page</p> <p>19 there's a sub 4m. Do you see that, sir?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q All right. And that statute provides "Venue of an</p> <p>22 action to challenge the apportionment of any</p> <p>23 congressional" state -- I'm sorry, "any</p> <p>24 congressional or state legislative district shall</p> <p>25 be as provided in s. 751.035. Not more than</p> <p>188</p>

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 5 days after an action to challenge the
2 apportionment of a congressional or state
3 legislative district is filed, the clerk of courts
4 for the county where the action is filed shall
5 notify the clerk of the supreme court of the
6 filing."
7 Do you see that language?
8 A Yes.
9 Q All right. Were you involved in any way in the
10 development of that particular provision,
11 801.50(4m)?
12 A Does it have a date of enactment -- or a year of
13 enactment?
14 Q This was enacted if you -- actually, yes. If you
15 look -- if you look at the very, at the very end,
16 you will see in the notes that follow -- it should
17 be in there at least. I believe it was this year,
18 2011. I'm looking for the reference in the notes
19 at the end, however.
20 A If it was not between 1995 and 2001, I would not
21 have had any role.
22 Q All right. So the consulting work that you're
23 doing now as a consultant with Reinhart doesn't go
24 to the drafting of -- or did not at least go to
25 the drafting of this particular statute,

189

1 801.50(4m)?
2 A That is correct.
3 Q All right. And then looking at Exhibit No. 15,
4 I'd like to draw your attention to
5 Section 751.035, *Assignment to a judicial panel;*
6 *appeals.* Do you see that?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Okay. And that was the section that was
9 referenced in the portion of Exhibit 14 that we
10 read. And if you see at the bottom of 751.035,
11 you'll see *History*. Do you see it says 2011?
12 Okay. And same question. Did you participate or
13 were you involved in any way in the development of
14 this particular statute, 751.035?
15 A No.
16 Q Okay. You can set those to the side.
17 Mr. Handrick, are you aware of pending
18 lawsuits either in the Wisconsin Supreme Court or
19 in Waukesha County on the subject of
20 redistricting?
21 A Yes.
22 Q Okay. And addressing the Supreme Court case --
23 can you mark a copy of this?
24 (Exhibit No. 16 marked for
25 identification)

190

1 Q This is Exhibit 16. I've just handed you a copy
2 of a document that the court reporter has marked
3 as Exhibit No. 16. And I will represent to you
4 that this is a copy of petition for -- to commence
5 an original jurisdiction action that was filed in
6 the Wisconsin Supreme Court on November 21. This
7 does not have all the exhibits attached to it, so
8 there's a much thicker packet of exhibits, but I
9 just wanted to ask you about the filing of the
10 complaint itself.
11 Have you discussed this particular complaint
12 or this action with anyone?
13 MR. KELLY: Objection. Would you
14 care to cavern off counsel for the
15 defendants?
16 MR. POLAND: No. I'm first going
17 to ask a broad question with anyone.
18 MR. KELLY: Okay. I object to the
19 extent the question calls for information
20 protected by the attorney-client privilege
21 and the work product doctrine. And I
22 instruct the witness not to answer.
23 However, if there are conversations that
24 you have had about Exhibit 16 that were not
25 with counsel for the defendants or had at the

191

1 direction of counsel for the defendants, then
2 you may answer.
3 Q With respect to anyone that Mr. Kelly has asserted
4 a privilege over, are you going to follow his
5 instruction and not answer the question?
6 A Yes.
7 Q All right. Is there anyone who falls outside that
8 category, privileged category that you've
9 discussed the filing of the petition for original
10 jurisdiction with?
11 A No.
12 Q All right. Did you have any input into the
13 drafting of Exhibit 16?
14 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
15 that the question calls for information
16 protected by the attorney-client privilege,
17 the work product doctrine. I instruct the
18 witness not to answer.
19 However, if there is -- if there are
20 any -- if there's any input that did not go
21 through counsel for the defendants or at
22 their direction, you may answer if you can.
23 A Please restate the question.
24 MR. POLAND: Yeah. Can you read it
25 back?

192

1 (Question read)
2 A No.
3 Q Is that with respect to people over whom Mr. Kelly
4 has not asserted an objection?
5 A State that again, please.
6 Q Strike that question.
7 MR. KELLY: Yeah, let me -- yeah,
8 let me do it.
9 MR. POLAND: Go ahead.
10 MR. KELLY: I've instructed you not
11 to answer the question with respect to any
12 conversations you've had with counsel for the
13 defendants or at counsel's direction. To the
14 extent that there's anything responsive to
15 that question outside of conversations with
16 counsel for the defendants or at their
17 direction, then you can answer.
18 Q All right. Are you following counsel's
19 instruction with respect to privileged
20 conversations that you had that you won't answer
21 the question?
22 A Yes.
23 Q All right. Are there any non-privileged people
24 that -- people who fall outside the privilege that
25 you've discussed Exhibit 16 with or given them

193

1 input on the drafting of Exhibit 16?
2 A No.
3 Q You can set that to the side.
4 Are you aware also that there have been
5 lawsuits filed -- there was a lawsuit filed in
6 Waukesha County having to do with redistricting?
7 A Yes.
8 Q All right.
9 (Exhibit Nos. 17 and 18 marked for
10 identification)
11 Q This is 17 (indicating), and this is 18
12 (indicating). Mr. Handrick, I've handed you
13 two documents that the court reporter has marked
14 as Exhibits 17 and 18. Do you have those in front
15 of you?
16 A Yes.
17 Q Okay. First taking a look at Exhibit No. 17, a
18 complaint that was filed in Waukesha County
19 Circuit Court on November 28, 2011. Do you see
20 that?
21 A Yes.
22 Q All right. And again this -- I have not appended
23 the exhibits to this document. It simply consists
24 of the complaint itself.
25 Is this a document that you've seen before?

194

1 A No.
2 Q Have you discussed the filing of the
3 Waukesha County action with anyone?
4 MR. KELLY: Object to the extent
5 that it calls -- the question calls for
6 information protected by the attorney-client
7 privilege, the work product doctrine. And as
8 a result of that, I instruct the witness not
9 to answer.
10 Q And are you going to follow counsel's instruction
11 not to answer the question?
12 A Yes.
13 Q All right. Were there any people with whom --
14 strike that question.
15 Is there anyone over whom there's no --
16 strike that. It's getting late in the day.
17 Are there any non-privileged conversations
18 that you had with anyone about the complaint that
19 is Exhibit No. 17?
20 A No.
21 Q Were you aware of the Waukesha County litigation
22 before that action was commenced?
23 A No.
24 Q All right. If you'd take a look at
25 Exhibit No. 18, please. And do you see that

195

1 Exhibit No. 18 consists of a cover letter, an
2 amended summons, an amended complaint filed in the
3 Waukesha County redistricting action?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And this one actually does attach the exhibits,
6 were much smaller and shorter, and so it does
7 attach an exhibit.
8 Looking at Exhibit No. 18, have you seen this
9 document before?
10 A No.
11 Q Have you discussed Exhibit No. 18 with anyone
12 before?
13 MR. KELLY: I object to the extent
14 the question calls for information protected
15 by the attorney-client privilege or the work
16 product doctrine. And to that extent I
17 instruct the witness not to answer.
18 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
19 to answer the question?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Have you had any conversations about Exhibit 18 or
22 the Waukesha County lawsuit with anyone who does
23 not fall with any attorney-client or work product
24 privileges?
25 A No.

196

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Q Were you aware of this particular complaint before
2 it was filed?
3 A No.
4 Q You didn't participate in the drafting of
5 Exhibit No. 18?
6 A No.
7 Q You can set that to the side.
8 Mr. Handrick, you're aware there was a
9 hearing held in July regarding the proposed
10 redistricting plans, Acts 43 and 44?
11 A Yes.
12 Q All right. And were you present at that hearing?
13 A No.
14 (Exhibit No. 19 marked for
15 identification)
16 (Discussion off the record)
17 Q Mr. Handrick, I've handed you a thick document,
18 which is a Transcript of Proceedings dated
19 July 13, 2011. Do you see that?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Okay. And I'll represent for the record that this
22 is a document that was produced to us by the
23 defendants in this litigation. You did not
24 testify at this hearing, correct?
25 A That is correct.

197

1 Q All right. Did you consult with any of the
2 witnesses who did testify at the hearing before
3 the hearing?
4 A No.
5 Q So Mr. -- were you aware that Mr. Ottman testified
6 at the hearing?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And you didn't consult with Mr. Ottman about his
9 testimony before the hearing?
10 A No.
11 Q And you're aware that Mr. Foltz testified at the
12 hearing on July 13, correct?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Did you consult with Mr. Foltz before he testified
15 at the hearing about his testimony?
16 A No.
17 Q All right. Did you speak with either Mr. Foltz or
18 Mr. Ottman about their testimony after the
19 hearing?
20 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent
21 the question calls for information subject to
22 the attorney-client privilege or the work
23 product doctrine. And to the extent that the
24 question asks about conversations you've had
25 with counsel for the defendants or at

198

1 counsel's instructions or direction, I
2 instruct the witness not to answer.
3 Q Are you going to -- sorry.
4 MR. KELLY: If there are -- if
5 there are conversations outside of those
6 parameters, you may answer.
7 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instructions not
8 to answer the question with respect to the
9 assertion of the attorney-client or work product
10 privilege?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Are there any non- --
13 MR. KELLY: So -- I'm sorry. And
14 so the witness is aware of the scope of the
15 privilege we are claiming, that would be any
16 conversations about the -- about this
17 testimony subsequent, on or after
18 November 22, 2011.
19 Q Are there any conversations that you had that fall
20 outside of the privileged category that Mr. Kelly
21 just mentioned?
22 A Conversations with?
23 Q With either Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz about their
24 testimony.
25 A Yes.

199

1 Q When -- who did you talk to about the testimony
2 after they had given it?
3 A Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman.
4 Q When did you speak with Mr. Foltz about his
5 testimony?
6 A I don't recall precisely.
7 Q Was it very shortly after the hearing itself?
8 A Within 48 hours.
9 Q Okay. Where were you and Mr. Foltz when you spoke
10 with him about his testimony?
11 A My recollection is at Michael Best & Friedrich.
12 Q Was anyone else present for your conversation with
13 Mr. Foltz about his testimony?
14 A Yes.
15 Q Who else was present?
16 A Mr. Ottman.
17 Q Anyone other than Mr. Foltz or Mr. Ottman and you
18 present for that conversation?
19 A Not that I recall.
20 Q What was said during that conversation about their
21 testimony?
22 A Precisely, I don't recall.
23 Q Okay. Generally what was the nature of the
24 discussion?
25 A I stopped by to tell them that I thought that they

200

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 did a nice job presenting the two bills before the
2 committee.
3 Q Did you have any conversation with them
4 specifically about any particular portions of
5 either of the bills?
6 A No.
7 Q Did they say anything to you about their testimony
8 regarding specific portions of either of the
9 bills?
10 A Yes.
11 Q And what did they say?
12 A Thank you.
13 Q Did both of them say thank you?
14 A To my recollection, yes.
15 Q Any -- was there any other conversation that you
16 had with Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz about their
17 testimony?
18 A No.
19 Q Other than Mr. Ottman and Mr. Foltz, did you speak
20 with anyone else about the testimony given at the
21 July 13, 2011 hearing?
22 MR. KELLY: I object to the extent
23 the question calls for information related to
24 conversations occurring on or after
25 November 22, 2011 on the basis that they

201

1 would invade the attorney-client privilege
2 and the work product doctrine. And on that
3 basis I instruct the witness not to answer.
4 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not
5 to answer the question with respect to privileged
6 conversations?
7 A Yes.
8 Q All right. Were there any non-privileged
9 conversations that you had with anyone other than
10 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz regarding their testimony
11 on July 13, 2011?
12 A Not that I recall.
13 Q Have you read through the transcript before,
14 Mr. Handrick?
15 A No.
16 Q Have you seen portions of it before?
17 A Yes.
18 Q All right. When did you see portions of the
19 transcript that's Exhibit 19?
20 A The date that it was -- the date that it occurred.
21 Q On the same date you saw a transcript of the
22 proceedings?
23 A No. I'm sorry. Restate the question.
24 MR. POLAND: Yeah. Could you read
25 it back?

202

1 (Question read)
2 A Oh, I misunderstood your question. I saw portions
3 of the transcript when you gave it to me today.
4 Q Had you seen -- before today had you seen any
5 portions at all of the transcript of the July 13,
6 2011 proceedings?
7 A No.
8 Q Did you watch the proceedings on TV?
9 A Partially.
10 Q What parts did you see on TV?
11 A My recollection, I watched a portion of Mr. Ottman
12 and Mr. Foltz's testimony before the committee,
13 and I recall a short portion I saw of
14 Mr. David Obey's testimony before the committee.
15 Q Where were you when you were watching the
16 proceedings on TV?
17 A I don't -- I don't recall.
18 Q Were you watching it on WisconsinEye?
19 A I believe so, yes.
20 Q Do you recall if you were watching it on your
21 computer or on a computer?
22 A I believe so.
23 Q Was anyone else with you when you were watching
24 the testimony on July 13?
25 A Not that I recall.

203

1 Q Did you make any notes at all as you were watching
2 the testimony?
3 A Yes.
4 Q What did you do with those notes?
5 A Those notes I simply kept.
6 Q Are those in your office at Reinhart?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Do you recall the nature of the notes that you
9 made?
10 A The nature of the notes I made was any objective
11 number or statistic that was provided by
12 Mr. Ottman or Mr. Foltz. I wrote that down.
13 Q Why did you write down any objective numbers that
14 they gave?
15 A So that -- as, you know -- because I didn't have
16 access to any of that information, you know, from
17 the firm.
18 Q When you say *the firm*, what firm do you mean?
19 A Michael Best.
20 Q All right. You had access to it when you were
21 present at Michael Best in their offices; is that
22 correct?
23 A Correct.
24 Q But once you went outside of Michael Best's
25 offices, you did not have access to that

204

1 information?
2 A That's correct.
3 Q And why did you want to have those numbers?
4 A My own personal knowledge.
5 Q Did you do anything with the numbers once you
6 wrote them down? Did you make any calculations,
7 or did you provide those numbers to anyone else?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Did you make calculations?
10 A Calculations, no.
11 Q Did you provide those numbers to anyone else?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Who did you provide them to?
14 A The -- and I don't know the name of the group.
15 The Wisconsin Association of Lobbyists asked me to
16 give a presentation on this topic.
17 Q On redistricting?
18 A Yes.
19 Q On Acts 43 and 44?
20 A No, I don't believe I -- I don't believe Act 44
21 was a topic.
22 Q Okay. So the presentation that you gave to the
23 Wisconsin Association of Lobbyists was on Act 43?
24 A Yes.
25 Q When did you give that presentation?

205

1 A I don't remember the specific date.
2 Q Was it in the summer, over the fall?
3 A My recollection is it was in the early fall.
4 Q I'd like you to open Exhibit 19. I'm going to
5 take you to a few specific passages in the
6 document, and I'll give you the page and a line.
7 MS. LAZAR: Before you do that, I
8 think you made an error on the back of the
9 document.
10 MR. POLAND: Oh.
11 MS. LAZAR: There's some pages
12 that are disclosures that were previously
13 provided by the Department of Justice, at
14 least in my copy.
15 MR. POLAND: Okay.
16 MS. LAZAR: I don't know if
17 everyone else has that, but they don't belong
18 there.
19 MR. POLAND: All right. Well,
20 let's take them out then if they're in there.
21 It should end at the end of the -- there's a
22 Min-U-Script at the end and an index, and it
23 should end after that. Why don't I just take
24 it back. Thank you, Maria, for pointing that
25 out.

206

1 MS. LAZAR: Not a problem.
2 MR. POLAND: So -- yeah. Okay. So
3 Maria is right. At the back end of that
4 document of Exhibit 19 -- we'll recycle
5 those.
6 MS. LAZAR: Super.
7 MR. POLAND: Thanks. Everybody
8 ready? Okay.
9 Q I'd like you to take a look at page 4, and I'm
10 going to draw your attention to lines 9 through
11 12. Have you looked at transcripts before? Do
12 you understand how they work, that there will be
13 the name of the person who's giving the testimony,
14 and then it can sometimes go on for several pages?
15 A Okay.
16 Q Okay. So if you look over on page 3 of the
17 transcript, you'll see it's Mr. Ottman who's
18 testifying.
19 A Yes.
20 Q Do you see that? Okay. So now if you look on
21 page 4, I'd like to draw your attention to line 9
22 and specifically Mr. Ottman's statement. "There
23 are three core principles to any reapportionment
24 plan: equal population, sensitivity to minority
25 concerns, and compact and contiguous districts."

207

1 Do you see that, that testimony there?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Do you agree with those statements?
4 A Not necessarily.
5 Q Okay. What do you disagree with?
6 A Those principles stated do not relate to
7 reapportionment plans.
8 Q Okay. What do they relate to?
9 A They relate to redistricting plans.
10 Q Okay. And what is the difference between
11 redistricting and reapportionment?
12 A Reapportionment, my understanding, is the
13 allocation of congressional seats among the
14 states. Redistricting is the subdivision of
15 districts within a state.
16 Q Other than that disagreement that you, that you
17 just identified, are there any other areas of
18 disagreement that you have with the statement that
19 Mr. Ottman made?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Okay. What would that be?
22 A I believe a core principle -- my understanding is
23 a core principle is upholding the Voting Rights
24 Act.
25 Q Any other aspects of Mr. Ottman's statement that

208

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 you disagree with?

2 A No.

3 Q Are there any other core principles in addition to

4 the ones that Mr. Ottman mentions in this

5 transcript?

6 MR. KELLY: Objection, form. You

7 may answer if you can.

8 A Could you please restate the question?

9 MR. POLAND: Sure. Can you read it

10 back?

11 (Question read)

12 MR. KELLY: Same objection. I'd

13 also like the same objection for the prior

14 two questions.

15 A Well, I already expressed, I believe, that a

16 principle is the Voting Rights Act.

17 Q Voting Rights Act. Right. Is there anything in

18 addition to the Voting Rights Act that you believe

19 is a core principle that was left omitted from

20 Mr. Ottman's -- yeah, Mr. Ottman's testimony?

21 A No.

22 MR. KELLY: Objection, form.

23 Q Do you see Mr. Ottman mentions equal population in

24 his testimony on page 4?

25 A Yes.

209

1 Q All right. What is the standard that you use for

2 equal population?

3 A For what type of --

4 Q For redistricting.

5 A It depends.

6 Q What would it depend on?

7 A The level of government.

8 Q And what about if we're looking at assembly

9 districts; what would be the standard for equal

10 population in assembly districts?

11 A The standard for equal population in assembly

12 districts that was out -- that was outlined by the

13 Court in 2002 was approximately 1½ percent.

14 Q And that was the federal court in 2002 that set

15 that standard?

16 A I believe so, yes.

17 Q Is zero deviation from that ideal, no deviation at

18 all, is that an absolute requirement?

19 MR. KELLY: Objection, form, but

20 you may answer if you can.

21 A Please state that question again.

22 Q Sure. Is zero deviation from the ideal equal

23 population, is that an absolute requirement?

24 A Not to my knowledge.

25 Q Okay. Now, you mentioned the Voting Rights Act a

210

1 minute or two ago, correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q All right. What are the appropriate conditions

4 for taking race or other protected class into

5 account when you're drawing legislative districts?

6 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to --

7 MR. KELLY: Object to form.

8 MR. MCLEOD: I'm going to assert an

9 objection that it calls for a legal

10 conclusion. And we've now confirmed from

11 Judge Stadtmiller's order Mr. Handrick is not

12 a lawyer, but I'll leave it at that. If he

13 can answer, he's welcome to do so.

14 A Please state the question again.

15 Q Do you want to have her read the question back to

16 you?

17 (Question read)

18 A My understanding is that the district should give

19 minorities opportunity to elect representatives of

20 their choice but that race should not be a

21 predominant factor.

22 Q Now, in his testimony -- I'd like to draw your

23 attention to page 29. In his testimony at

24 lines 22 and 23 of page 29, Mr. Ottman testified

25 "Under any reapportionment plan a certain amount

211

1 of disenfranchisement is inevitable and

2 avoidable." Do you see that statement?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. And then I'd like you to take a look at

5 page 30, lines 16 through 18. Mr. Ottman makes

6 the statement "What we've done here is tried to

7 the best of our ability to minimize that

8 displacement." Do you see that testimony?

9 A Yes.

10 Q All right. Were you involved in -- strike that.

11 In the process of formulating the

12 redistricting plans that ended up in Act 43, were

13 you involved in analyzing the displacement of

14 voters?

15 A No.

16 Q Do you know how many residents in Wisconsin were

17 moved to a new legislative district under Act 43?

18 A No.

19 Q Do you have any opinion whether Act 43 minimized

20 the disenfranchisement of residents?

21 MR. KELLY: Objection, form.

22 MR. POLAND: Do you want to read

23 the question back?

24 (Question read)

25 A No.

212

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Q Is there any measure that you know of to, to
2 assess whether disenfranchisement of voters in the
3 redistricting process is acceptable?
4 A Can you state that question again?
5 MR. POLAND: Can you read it back?
6 (Question read)
7 Q Strike that question.
8 Is there any standard that you know of to
9 measure whether the number of voters who are
10 disenfranchised in the redistricting process is an
11 acceptable number?
12 A No.
13 Q Did you ever have a discussion about the number of
14 voters who are disenfranchised by Act 43 with
15 anyone?
16 A Yes.
17 MR. KELLY: I'm sorry. Could you
18 read that back question? I apologize.
19 (Question read)
20 MR. KELLY: I object to the extent
21 that the question calls for information
22 protected by the attorney-client privilege or
23 the work product doctrine. And to that
24 extent, I instruct the witness not to answer.
25 However, if there are conversations you've

213

1 had that are with people other than counsel
2 or at the direction of counsel, then you may
3 answer.
4 Q And with respect to the assertion of the
5 attorney-client privilege and work product
6 privilege, are you going to take counsel's
7 instruction to not answer the question?
8 A Yes.
9 Q All right. Other than conversations that would be
10 covered by the attorney-client privilege or work
11 product privilege, were there any conversations
12 that you had about disenfranchisement of voters by
13 Act 43?
14 A Yes.
15 Q All right. Who did you have those conversations
16 with?
17 A Mr. Ottman.
18 Q When did you speak with Mr. Ottman about
19 disenfranchisement of voters?
20 A I don't recall that precise date.
21 Q Was it -- was it sometime before Act 43 was
22 enacted?
23 A Yes.
24 Q What was the nature of the discussion that you had
25 with Mr. Ottman?

214

1 A My recollection is I inquired as to the number and
2 percent that would be temporarily voter delayed.
3 Q And do you recall what Mr. Ottman told you?
4 A No.
5 Q Do you recall any reaction you had at the time as
6 to whether the number, the percentage that he gave
7 you was one that you believed to be acceptable or
8 not?
9 A No.
10 Q Do you recall giving Mr. Ottman any advice on
11 whether it needed to -- Act 43 needed to
12 disenfranchise fewer voters?
13 A No.
14 Q Anyone other than Mr. Ottman that you had a
15 conversation about, subject of course to the
16 assertion of privilege?
17 A No.
18 Q I'm going to draw your attention to page 36 and
19 lines 20 to 22. At the hearing Mr. Ottman was
20 asked why the statutes weren't built on ward lines
21 as the law requires. And he responded in lines 20
22 to 22 here "technology has moved to the point
23 where it is much easier to draw these maps in
24 advance of the locals completing their process."
25 Do you see that testimony?

215

1 A Yes.
2 Q Do you agree with that statement by Mr. Ottman?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Okay. Doesn't that make it -- or doesn't that
5 create difficulties for local governments?
6 MR. KELLY: Objection, form.
7 Q You can answer the question.
8 A Not to my knowledge.
9 Q I'd like to draw your attention to page 47. And
10 then on lines 2 through 7 you see
11 Senator Erpenbach asked Mr. Ottman "Did the
12 partisan makeup of the districts come into play at
13 all when drawing the maps?" And then Mr. Ottman
14 responds "The principles were the ones I
15 enumerated. Those were the ones that drove
16 drawing the map." Do you see that testimony?
17 A Yes.
18 Q And do you agree with that statement by
19 Mr. Ottman?
20 A I can't answer as to Mr. Ottman's driving.
21 MR. POLAND: I'm sorry. Can you
22 read back the answer?
23 (Answer read)
24 Q Okay. I'm going to ask you to explain the answer.
25 Why can you not answer as to Mr. Ottman's

216

1 statement about driving?

2 A Because I did not direct Mr. Ottman to draw maps.

3 Q All right. In your opinion did the partisan

4 makeup of the districts come into play when

5 drawing the maps?

6 MR. KELLY: Objection to form, but

7 you may answer.

8 A In the maps that I drew, no.

9 Q Did they come into play in the map that was

10 enacted in Act 43?

11 A I don't know.

12 Q Were partisan considerations a factor in the

13 drawing of the plan that was enacted in Act 43?

14 A I don't know.

15 Q When you were working during the redistricting

16 process, did you have any access to voting data

17 from past elections?

18 A No.

19 Q Has anyone provided you -- as part of the 2011

20 redistricting process, has anyone provided you

21 with any data on voting results from past

22 elections?

23 MR. KELLY: Objection to the extent

24 the calls for information protected by the

25 attorney-client privilege or the work product

217

1 doctrine. And to that extent I instruct you

2 not to answer the question.

3 If there is material responsive to the

4 question that does not involve conversations

5 or data given to you by counsel or obtained

6 by you at counsel's direction, then you may

7 answer the question.

8 Q Are you going to follow counsel's instruction not

9 to answer the question with respect to privileged

10 conversations?

11 A Yes.

12 Q All right. Were there any non-privileged

13 conversations that you had or any non-privileged

14 relationships that you had where someone provided

15 you with data on voting results from past

16 elections?

17 A No.

18 Q How many hours in total did you spend working on

19 redistricting plans from the time you were engaged

20 as a consultant in February until the time the act

21 was passed?

22 A I do not know.

23 Q Was it more or less a full-time activity for you?

24 A No.

25 Q Any estimate of a percentage of your time that it

218

1 occupied from February until Act 43 was passed?

2 A I can't -- I can't even put a percentage on that.

3 Q All right. Do you know who decided to draw

4 districts based on census blocks before the

5 completion of the ward process?

6 A No.

7 Q That wasn't a decision that you made?

8 A No.

9 Q How many different maps did you personally draw

10 before settling on any final version of what you

11 were asked to draw?

12 MR. MCLEOD: Object to the form of

13 the question. You can answer if you can.

14 A I recall drawing two maps.

15 Q Okay. What was represented in the maps that you

16 drew?

17 A They were statewide redistricting plans.

18 Q Did the statewide redistricting plans that you

19 drew -- strike that question.

20 Did Act 43 as enacted reflect the state

21 redistricting plans that you personally drew?

22 A No.

23 Q What were the differences between Act 43 as

24 enacted and the state redistricting plan that you

25 drew?

219

1 A That would be impossible for me to answer.

2 Q Were there many differences?

3 A Many differences.

4 Q Without actually having a final version of Act 43

5 in front of you and the maps you drew, it would be

6 impossible for you to recall them all; is that

7 correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Fair statement?

10 What version of autoBound did you use to draw

11 the redistricting maps?

12 A I -- I don't know.

13 Q Do you know whether it was a relatively new

14 version?

15 A I don't know.

16 Q Did it have any new features from the previous

17 version that you'd used?

18 A Not that I'm aware of.

19 Q You mentioned before that you did have

20 conversations with Mr. Gaddie and you were present

21 with Mr. Gaddie during the redistricting process,

22 correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q There -- are you aware there are two other expert

25 witnesses that have been identified by the

220

1 defendants? One is a Mr. Diaz. Are you aware of
 2 Mr. Diaz?
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q Did you have any communications with Mr. Diaz
 5 during the redistricting process?
 6 A No.
 7 Q So you did not communicate with Mr. Diaz while you
 8 were drawing the redistricting plans from February
 9 through, through the time that they were enacted?
 10 A That's correct.
 11 Q Did Mr. Diaz provide you with any information
 12 during the redistricting process?
 13 A No.
 14 Q Did anyone provide you with information from
 15 Mr. Diaz during the redistricting process?
 16 A No.
 17 Q You testified earlier that you -- let me start
 18 over.
 19 You testified earlier that you drew assembly
 20 districts in the city of Milwaukee; is that
 21 correct?
 22 A Yes.
 23 Q And that would include Assembly Districts 8 and 9,
 24 correct?
 25 A Yes.

221

1 Q Did you decide on specific percentages of voting
 2 age population among Hispanics in Districts 8 and
 3 9 in drawing those districts?
 4 A No.
 5 Q Do you know who did make a decision as to draw
 6 specific percentages of voting age population
 7 among Hispanics in Districts -- Assembly
 8 Districts 8 and 9?
 9 A No.
 10 Q Between April 2011 and July 2011, did you spend
 11 any time in Washington, DC?
 12 A No.
 13 Q Did anyone from outside the state of Wisconsin
 14 ever show you any proposed or existing legislative
 15 redistricting plans for Wisconsin?
 16 A No.
 17 Q Before Acts 40 -- before Act 43 was passed, did
 18 you ever meet or talk to any representatives or
 19 officials of the Republican National Committee
 20 about the new Wisconsin legislative districts?
 21 A No.
 22 Q Do you know whether anyone at the
 23 Republican National Committee has been tasked with
 24 tracking the redistricting process in Wisconsin?
 25 A No.

222

1 MR. POLAND: Okay. I want to
 2 get -- I have to unplug here for one last
 3 exhibit.
 4 I've got two copies. I'm going to have
 5 to ask you to share. I'm sorry.
 6 MR. KELLY: Is it just the Act 43
 7 map?
 8 MR. POLAND: This is -- I'm just
 9 going to use the Act 43 map, correct.
 10 MS. LAZAR: Are these the ones we
 11 produced?
 12 MR. POLAND: These are the ones
 13 that you produced.
 14 MS. LAZAR: Peter Earle might want
 15 to ask, unless he's finishing up.
 16 MR. POLAND: That's fair. Peter?
 17 MR. EARLE: Yes.
 18 MR. POLAND: Marie just raised a
 19 good point, which is you had wanted to leave
 20 at 5. I probably have about 15 minutes of
 21 questions left. Do you want to go ahead and
 22 ask your questions now?
 23 MR. EARLE: Sure. I only have
 24 about five minutes. It's very short.
 25 MR. POLAND: Go ahead.

223

1 MR. EARLE: I appreciate the
 2 courtesy. Thank you.
 3
 4 EXAMINATION
 5 By Mr. Earle:
 6 Q Mr. Handrick, you testified that you met with
 7 Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman regarding Assembly
 8 Districts 8 and 9. Do you recall that testimony?
 9 A No, I don't.
 10 Q Well, under questioning earlier I thought I --
 11 perhaps I heard wrong. I understood that you
 12 testified that you discussed Assembly Districts 8
 13 and 9 with Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman, and you
 14 compared a map that you'd drawn with a map that
 15 they had drawn. Was my understanding of your
 16 testimony incorrect?
 17 A I -- I don't recall that.
 18 Q Okay. Did you draw a map of the 8th and 9th
 19 assembly district?
 20 A Yes.
 21 Q And when did you draw that map?
 22 A Between April and June.
 23 Q And did anybody help you?
 24 A No.
 25 Q Who did you show that map to?

224

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 A That, that map, it was not shown to anybody.
 2 Q Did Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman draw a map of the 8th
 3 and the 9th assembly districts, to your knowledge?
 4 A Insofar as those are -- that's a portion of a
 5 broader map, the answer is yes.
 6 Q Did you compare your map to any other map between
 7 April and June?
 8 A No.
 9 Q Now, it's my understanding that two maps were
 10 presented to the assembly, is that correct, for
 11 those two assembly districts?
 12 A That's not my understanding.
 13 Q What is your understanding?
 14 A My understanding is there was a bill introduced,
 15 and it -- and it had a hearing, and then there was
 16 an amendment at the hearing.
 17 Q Did you participate in the drawing of the map that
 18 was ultimately adopted as part of the legislative
 19 process?
 20 A Yes.
 21 Q Please describe your participation for me.
 22 A My participation was to -- when that map was
 23 completed, I was asked in my role of assisting the
 24 legal counsel to go in with that map and look for
 25 areas to improve that map on its objective

225

1 criteria.
 2 Q Who asked you to do that?
 3 A That was part of the direction from legal counsel.
 4 Q What legal counsel?
 5 A I do not recall.
 6 Q What law firm?
 7 A I do not recall.
 8 Q When did that direction -- when was that direction
 9 given to you?
 10 A I cannot recall that date.
 11 Q What objective criteria were you asked to improve
 12 the map based on?
 13 A Population, deviation, municipal splits,
 14 contiguity.
 15 Q Anything else?
 16 A Not that I can recall.
 17 Q Now, just so I'm clear, we're talking about the
 18 8th and 9th assembly districts?
 19 A No.
 20 Q Is that what you were asked to improve upon?
 21 A No.
 22 Q I'm sorry. Maybe it's because of the phone. I
 23 thought you were -- we were talking about the 8th
 24 and 9th assembly districts. All right.
 25 Did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly

226

1 districts with counsel?
 2 A Yes.
 3 Q What counsel?
 4 A Jim Troupis.
 5 Q Anybody else?
 6 A My recollection is Eric McLeod.
 7 Q Okay. Anybody else?
 8 A Not that I can recall.
 9 Q When were those conversations with Mr. McLeod?
 10 A I can't recall that date.
 11 Q Did you take any notes during those conversations?
 12 A No.
 13 Q Were you given any instructions with regards to
 14 the 8th and 9th during those conversations?
 15 A No.
 16 Q Did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly districts
 17 with Rick Esenberg?
 18 A No.
 19 Q How about Mandy Perez?
 20 A No.
 21 Q How about Zeus Rodriguez?
 22 A Yes.
 23 Q And when did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly
 24 districts with Zeus Rodriguez?
 25 A Pardon me. Can you repeat that question?

227

1 Q When did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly
 2 districts with Zeus Rodriguez?
 3 A I don't recall that date.
 4 Q Was it before the map was adopted?
 5 A Yes.
 6 Q What was the content of that discussion?
 7 A I can't recall specifics.
 8 Q What do you recall about the conversation?
 9 A There was a variety of different methods by which
 10 the south side of Milwaukee could be drawn, and I
 11 was asked to contact Mr. Rodriguez and ask him to
 12 seek community input.
 13 Q Who asked you to contact Mr. Rodriguez and seek
 14 community input?
 15 A My recollection is that would be Jim Troupis.
 16 Q This was before the ratification of the map?
 17 A Yes.
 18 Q And did you take any notes during your
 19 conversations with Zeus Rodriguez?
 20 A No.
 21 Q Did you generate any e-mails during the course of
 22 your interaction with Zeus Rodriguez?
 23 A Not that I recall.
 24 Q Did you generate any e-mails during the course of
 25 your interactions with Mr. Troupis about the 8th

228

1 and 9th assembly districts?
2 A Not that I recall.
3 Q How about Mr. McLeod?
4 A Not that I can recall.
5 Q How about text messages?
6 A No.
7 Q How was the map that you drew different than the
8 map that was ultimately adopted with regard to the
9 8th and 9th assembly districts?
10 A I can't recall without, without knowing what
11 exactly my map did.
12 Q Did anybody working on the map to your knowledge
13 consider the percentage of citizenship of voting
14 age within the Latino community in the process of
15 drawing the 8th and 9th assembly districts?
16 A I do not know.
17 Q Did you consider citizenship?
18 A No.
19 Q Did you discuss the percentage of citizen --
20 voting age citizens within the Latino community
21 with anybody during the time you were working on
22 the maps?
23 A No.
24 Q So just so I'm clear, to your knowledge no one
25 involved in working on these maps considered the

229

1 percentage of Latino citizens of voting age in the
2 course of drawing the 8th and 9th assembly
3 districts; is that an accurate statement?
4 A To my knowledge, yes.
5 Q Okay. Did you participate in any discussions
6 related to using the census blocks instead of
7 deferring to local government units drawing ward
8 lines?
9 A Can you please restate the question?
10 Q Sure. Do you recall discussing whether or not to
11 use census blocks in the drawing of the maps?
12 A No.
13 Q Do you know who made the decision to use census
14 blocks?
15 A No.
16 Q When you drew your map, did you use existing ward
17 lines?
18 A Perhaps.
19 Q Did anybody talk to you about the fact that you
20 used existing ward lines instead of census blocks?
21 A No.
22 Q Do you know Peter Morrison?
23 A No.
24 Q Now, you say you spoke with Mr. Foltz and
25 Mr. Ottman within 48 hours of their testimony at

230

1 Michael Best; did I hear that accurately earlier?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Did you discuss the 8th and 9th assembly districts
4 with them?
5 A No.
6 Q Would you list for me all the people with whom you
7 discussed the Latino community of interest in the
8 course of the remapping process -- redistricting
9 process.
10 A Jim Troupis, Eric McLeod, Tad Ottman, Adam Foltz,
11 and the legislative leaders denoted earlier.
12 Q Anybody else?
13 A Zeus Rodriguez.
14 Q Now, I'm not there, so I can't tell whether you're
15 pondering or you've finished your answer.
16 A That's what I'm recalling.
17 Q Did you travel to Chicago in order to meet with
18 anybody related to the redistricting process?
19 A No.
20 Q Did you -- now, I forgot what you said. Did you
21 actually meet with Zeus Rodriguez, or did you
22 speak on the phone?
23 A Spoke on the phone.
24 Q How many times?
25 A I believe once.

231

1 Q Would you identify for me the people that you
2 understand or have knowledge about having
3 participated in the drawing of the, of the lines
4 for the 8th and 9th assembly districts.
5 A The legislative leaders outlined earlier, myself,
6 Tad Ottman, Adam Foltz. That's what I can recall.
7 MR. EARLE: Okay. Those are all
8 the questions I have for now. I may have
9 other questions after this issue of privilege
10 is resolved.
11 MR. POLAND: Okay. This is
12 Doug Poland. I'm going to continue with my
13 examination then. I'd like to have --
14 MR. EARLE: And, Doug, I'm going to
15 hop off the line. Thank you for your
16 courtesy.
17 MR. POLAND: All right. Bye Peter.
18 (Discussion off the record)
19 (Exhibit Nos. 20 through 22 marked
20 for identification)
21 MR. POLAND: I'm just going to mark
22 all three of them that you produced to us,
23 20, 21, and 22. So I've got two copies here
24 just because of the size. We can obviously
25 have full copies made for everyone, but I'm

232

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 going to have to ask that you look over
2 everybody's shoulders.
3 So for the record, I've marked
4 three oversized maps. I'll try not to block
5 the camera. These are three maps that were
6 produced to us by the defendants. One,
7 Exhibit 20, is marked as *State of Wisconsin*
8 *Act 43 Assembly Districts*. The second is
9 *2011 Act 44*. And then a third, which is
10 marked Exhibit 22, the heading on that map is
11 *2011 Act 43*. And that also depicts the set
12 of districts in addition to the -- in
13 addition to the assembly districts.
14
15 RE-EXAMINATION
16 By Mr. Poland:
17 Q And, Mr. Handrick, I'm going to see if I can fold
18 this over and hand this to you here. And I'm
19 going to ask you to look at some specific areas of
20 the map. I need to look at one as well.
21 First of all, let me ask you -- because I
22 think we can get this out of the way first.
23 Exhibit No. 21, which is I believe Act 44, did you
24 have -- you had nothing to do with the drawing of
25 that map; is that correct?

233

1 A That's correct.
2 Q All right. So I'd like to focus you on
3 Exhibit No. 20, and I'd like to have you take a
4 look at Kenosha County, please. And do you see
5 Kenosha County includes three different assembly
6 districts, 61, 64, and 65? Do you see that?
7 A Yes.
8 Q All right. And then I'd also like you to look at
9 Racine County. And do you see Racine County
10 includes portions of Assembly Districts 62, 63,
11 64, and 66?
12 A Yes.
13 Q All right. Now, under the 2002 redistricting
14 plan, Racine was not split between assembly
15 districts; isn't that correct?
16 A That's not correct.
17 Q Racine, the city of Racine, was split among
18 assembly districts in the 2002 redistricting plan?
19 A Yes.
20 Q All right. What districts was it split between?
21 A I cannot recall those numbers off the top of my
22 head.
23 Q Racine and -- no parts of the city of Racine and
24 Kenosha were contained within the same assembly
25 district under the 2002 redistricting plan; is

234

1 that correct?
2 A To my knowledge, that's correct.
3 Q All right. And under 2011 Wisconsin Act 43,
4 portions of the city of Racine and the city of
5 Kenosha are both contained within Assembly
6 District 64, correct?
7 A Please restate the question.
8 MR. POLAND: Could you read it
9 back?
10 (Question read)
11 A I cannot ascertain that from this map.
12 Q Do you -- do you know even apart from the map, do
13 you know whether that's correct?
14 A No.
15 Q All right. You see that Kenosha is split
16 between -- the city of Kenosha is split between
17 Assembly Districts 64 and 65, correct?
18 A Yes.
19 Q Do you know who made the decision to split Kenosha
20 between two different assembly districts?
21 A The United States Census.
22 Q Split Kenosha among two different assembly
23 districts?
24 A Yes.
25 Q How did the census decide to split Kenosha, the

235

1 city of Kenosha, between two different assembly
2 districts?
3 A My understanding is the city of Kenosha is too
4 large to be contained within one assembly
5 district.
6 Q Do you know who, who specifically decided where
7 the assembly district lines would be drawn with
8 respect to the city of Kenosha?
9 A In Act 43, no.
10 Q Do you know who made the decision to combine
11 portions of the city of Racine and Kenosha in
12 Assembly District 64?
13 A No.
14 Q When you drew your redistricting plans, did they
15 treat Racine and Kenosha Counties different than
16 where it ended up being included in Act 43?
17 A My recollection is yes.
18 Q All right. And how did the redistricting plan
19 that you drew differ from what was enacted in
20 Act 43 with respect to Racine and
21 Kenosha Counties?
22 A I could not answer that with any accuracy.
23 Q Did the -- did the plan that you drew result in
24 less fracturing of the municipalities of Racine
25 and Kenosha?

236

1 A I can't answer that with any degree of accuracy.
 2 Q You'd need to have the plan that you prepared to
 3 be able to compare it with this one to answer that
 4 question?
 5 A Yes.
 6 Q Did you ever have discussions with anyone about
 7 the splits in the cities of Racine and Kenosha?
 8 A Yes.
 9 Q And who did you discuss that with?
 10 A Mr. Ottman.
 11 Q What did you and Mr. Ottman discuss?
 12 A As I indicated a few minutes ago, when the map was
 13 assembled, I was asked to go in and look for a
 14 variety of things, non-continuous parcels, and
 15 there, there were some identified in that area.
 16 Q You identified some splits in that area that, that
 17 you thought were not necessary; is that correct?
 18 A There were splits in that area identified by a
 19 splits report.
 20 Q Right. And you pointed those out to Mr. Ottman?
 21 A Yes.
 22 Q Did you suggest that some of those splits be
 23 eliminated?
 24 A I don't recall.
 25 Q The splits to which you're referring, are those

237

1 identified in the handwritten notes that you
 2 brought with you today?
 3 A They would not be.
 4 Q This was as part of Exhibit No. 2 then. Do you
 5 have Exhibit No. 2 in front of you? And it was
 6 the handwritten notes portion of it.
 7 If we look at Kenosha on these handwritten
 8 notes that are part of Exhibit No. 2, you
 9 identified two splits with respect to
 10 Kenosha County, correct?
 11 A Correct.
 12 Q All right. And that was going to be split between
 13 Kenosha and Somers; is that right?
 14 A No.
 15 Q What was the split?
 16 A This indicates that the city of Kenosha was split,
 17 and the town, village, or Somers was split.
 18 Q Okay. And so your handwritten report doesn't
 19 indicate how many different splits there were in
 20 the municipality; is that correct?
 21 A That's correct.
 22 Q All right. You can set that down.
 23 Do you know what the justification was for,
 24 for splitting the municipalities of Racine and
 25 Kenosha?

238

1 A Amongst assembly seats?
 2 Q Correct.
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q Okay. And what was the justification for --
 5 strike that.
 6 I assume the justification for splitting
 7 Kenosha was, as you identified before, the
 8 population was too large to fit within one?
 9 A That is correct.
 10 Q Were there any other justifications for the split
 11 in Kenosha?
 12 A Not that I can recall.
 13 Q All right. Do you know what the justification was
 14 for splitting Racine?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q And what was that?
 17 A Racine is too large to be confined in a single
 18 assembly district.
 19 Q Do you know what the justification was for drawing
 20 Assembly District 64 as it's drawn?
 21 A No.
 22 Q All right. Did you solicit any comments from any
 23 legislatures who are representing municipalities
 24 that were most significantly changed by Act 43?
 25 A No.

239

1 Q Now, according to Mr. -- strike that question.
 2 Have you read Mr. Gaddie's expert report?
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q Professor Gaddie I should say. And according to
 5 his report, there are 11 new assembly districts
 6 where incumbents are paired. Is that your
 7 understanding?
 8 A As a result of Act 43?
 9 Q Correct.
 10 A No, that's not my understanding.
 11 Q Okay. What's your understanding about how many
 12 assembly districts, new assembly districts where
 13 incumbents are paired?
 14 A My understanding as a result of Act 43 is that
 15 there are ten assembly districts where incumbents
 16 are paired.
 17 Q Do you know who made the decision to make those
 18 pairings?
 19 A No.
 20 Q And there are two republican incumbents who are
 21 paired in the new assembly districts, correct?
 22 A My recollection is there are six.
 23 Q There are six republicans that are republican
 24 incumbents paired against each other?
 25 A That's my recollection, yes.

240

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 Q Do you know who decided to district -- redistrict
2 in a way that would pair those republican
3 incumbents?
4 A No.
5 Q Did you solicit or did any of the affected
6 republicans who are -- republican incumbents who
7 are paired contact you about those pairings?
8 A No.
9 Q In any of the earlier versions of the
10 redistricting plan that you saw that ended up
11 being Act 43, were any of the republican pairings,
12 incumbent pairings different than in Act 43 as it
13 was passed?
14 A Please restate the question.
15 Q That's a terrible question. All right.
16 In any of the earlier versions of the
17 redistricting plans that you saw, were any of the
18 republican incumbent pairings different than in
19 Act 43 as passed?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And how are they different?
22 A I recall in one of my maps there was a three-way
23 pairing.
24 Q And what was that three-way pairing?
25 A I don't recall the specific legislatures.

241

1 Q Do you recall the districts or approximately where
2 the districts were?
3 A My recollection is between Milwaukee and Madison.
4 Q Why was that three-way pairing changed?
5 A On my map it wasn't changed.
6 Q All right. But it was changed in the subsequent
7 map that was enacted as Act 43, correct?
8 A It wasn't changed.
9 Q Why -- there's no longer a three-way pairing with
10 2011 Wisconsin Act 43, correct?
11 A As far as I know, there's not.
12 Q Okay. And why is there not when there was with
13 your map?
14 A Apparently my map was not adopted as Act 43.
15 Q That aspect of your map was not adopted, correct?
16 A Correct.
17 Q Do you know why that aspect of your map was not
18 adopted?
19 A No.
20 Q Did you ever have discussions with anyone about
21 that three-way pairing that had been in your map?
22 A No.
23 Q I'd like to draw your attention to the city of
24 Beloit. The city of Beloit is split between
25 Assembly Districts 31 and 45, correct?

242

1 A Yes.
2 Q Do you know why Beloit is split into two different
3 assembly districts?
4 A No.
5 Q Did you ever have any conversations with anyone
6 about why Beloit is split?
7 A No.
8 Q Do you know what the justification is for
9 splitting Beloit into two different assembly
10 districts?
11 A I do not, no.
12 Q Do you have a suspicion?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Okay. Why do you -- why do you suspect it was
15 split into two different assembly districts?
16 MR. KELLY: Objection, form. You
17 may answer.
18 A Equal population.
19 Q Who would have made that decision to split Beloit
20 among two different assembly districts?
21 A I don't know.
22 Q Do you know whether Beloit was split into two
23 different assembly districts under the 2002
24 redistricting plan?
25 A I do not know.

243

1 Q All right. I'd like to draw your attention up to
2 Appleton. And Appleton is split among multiple
3 assembly districts, correct?
4 A Yes.
5 Q Actually, let me go back and ask you one question.
6 In the -- in the redistricting plan that you drew,
7 was Beloit split between assembly districts?
8 A I do not recall.
9 Q Back up to Appleton. Do you know why it is split
10 among multiple assembly districts?
11 A I believe so.
12 Q And why do you believe it was split among multiple
13 assembly districts?
14 A I believe Appleton is too large to be confined in
15 a single assembly district.
16 Q Do you know why it wasn't split into fewer
17 districts?
18 A No.
19 Q Did you ever have any conversations with anyone
20 about how Appleton should be split among assembly
21 districts?
22 A Yes.
23 Q And who did you speak with about that subject?
24 A Mr. Foltz and Mr. Ottman.
25 Q All right. And what were -- what was the nature

244

1 of those conversations?

2 A To my recollection it was an understanding that

3 the city of Appleton was split multiple ways under

4 the 2002 court map.

5 Q Okay. And that was the justification for

6 splitting it multiple -- into multiple districts

7 in this plan as well?

8 A I do not know what the justification was this time

9 as well.

10 Q Okay.

11 A Or if that was the justification this time as

12 well.

13 Q It's just the historical fact that had been done

14 in the 2002 plan?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Did -- do you know whether Mr. Foltz or Mr. Ottman

17 would have decided to split Appleton in this way?

18 A Can you restate the question?

19 Q Yes. Do you know whether Mr. Foltz or Mr. Ottman

20 made the decision to split Appleton in the way

21 that's reflected in Act 43?

22 A I do not know that.

23 Q Was Appleton split in the same way in the map that

24 you drew?

25 A I do not recall the map that I drew for that, that

245

1 particular area.

2 Q I'd like to draw your attention up to the city of

3 Marshfield. And Marshfield is split into

4 two assembly districts as well, correct?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Do you know why Marshfield is split into

7 two assembly districts?

8 A No, I do not.

9 Q Did you ever have any conversations with anyone

10 about splitting Marshfield into two different

11 assembly districts?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Okay. Who did you speak with about that topic?

14 A Mr. Ottman.

15 Q And what was that -- what was the nature of that

16 conversation?

17 A In my assigned work to attempt to -- or to look at

18 different splits and unassigned people,

19 discontinuous territory, et cetera, I was unable

20 to -- that was a split that I was not able to

21 address.

22 Q All right. So you attempted to keep Marshfield

23 within a single assembly district in the map that

24 you were drawing?

25 A In my maps, I do not recall if Marshfield was in

246

1 one assembly district or two.

2 Q This was the -- this was the version of Act 43

3 that they asked you to look at the splits and see

4 if you could fix the splits; is that correct?

5 A Yes.

6 Q All right. And you attempted to do that with the

7 city of Marshfield?

8 A I looked at it.

9 Q Okay. Did you actually use the software to

10 attempt to put Marshfield all within one assembly

11 district?

12 A I used the software to look at the populations

13 involved but did not attempt to put it in one.

14 Q All right. When you -- when you looked at the

15 populations involved with the city of Marshfield,

16 were you able to draw any conclusions from looking

17 at that data about whether you could include

18 Marshfield within a single assembly district?

19 A Marshfield -- my understanding is Marshfield can

20 be contained within a single assembly district.

21 Q Do you know why it was not?

22 A I do not know why.

23 Q And did anybody ever tell you why it was not?

24 A No.

25 Q All right. You can set the maps aside.

247

1 Mr. Handrick, we had discussed a little bit

2 earlier about communications that you had with

3 people by e-mail. Do you recall those questions

4 and the answers?

5 A Yes.

6 Q All right. Did you save any copies of the e-mail

7 communications that you had with respect to

8 redistricting?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Okay. And where are those e-mail communications

11 saved?

12 A On my -- in my -- in my electronic folder.

13 Q Okay. Does the Reinhart law firm have a document

14 management system?

15 A I don't know.

16 Q Is there -- is there some kind of a central system

17 at Reinhart that saves e-mails and documents?

18 A I don't know.

19 Q And when you say that your e-mails are saved, do

20 you know if they're saved on your computer itself

21 or on your BlackBerry or in some other location at

22 Reinhart?

23 A I do not believe they are saved on the device

24 themselves.

25 Q So to the extent that they're saved, they'd be

248

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1 saved in some kind of central repository for
 2 electronic documents at Reinhart?
 3 A That would be my guess.
 4 Q Did you retain copies of any communications,
 5 e-mail communications, that you sent on your own
 6 computer?
 7 A State the question again, please.
 8 Q Sure. Did you retain any copies of any e-mail
 9 communications that you sent on your own computer?
 10 A Copies, no.
 11 Q Do you have your own computer at Reinhart?
 12 A Yes.
 13 Q Do you have a separate computer at home?
 14 A No.
 15 Q Do you save any text messages on your BlackBerry
 16 device?
 17 A If I do, I'm not aware that I do.
 18 Q Okay. Do you know whether Reinhart has any kind
 19 of a centralized system that saves any text
 20 messages that you send?
 21 A I don't know.
 22 Q Do you have a physical paper file that you keep at
 23 your office at Reinhart?
 24 A State the question again, please.
 25 Q Sure. Do you keep any -- not many of us do this

249

1 anymore, but do you keep any hard copies of
 2 documents in your office at Reinhart?
 3 A Yes.
 4 Q Did you keep any hard copies of documents
 5 pertaining to the legislative redistricting work
 6 that you did?
 7 A No.
 8 Q Were there any voicemail messages that were left
 9 on your phone, either your cell phone or your
 10 phone at the Reinhart law firm, pertaining to
 11 legislative redistricting?
 12 MR. KELLY: Objection. Do you want
 13 to limit that temporally?
 14 MR. POLAND: Let's just -- let's
 15 just say generally first.
 16 MR. KELLY: All right. Then I
 17 object to the extent that the question calls
 18 for information protected by the
 19 attorney-client privilege and work product
 20 doctrine. And I instruct the witness not to
 21 answer. That instruction pertains to any
 22 such voicemails on or after November 22,
 23 2011.
 24 If there were any voicemails prior to
 25 that point that is responsive to the

250

1 question, you may answer.
 2 Q And so with respect to any attorney-client or work
 3 product communications, are you going to follow
 4 your counsel's instructions and not answer the
 5 question?
 6 A Yes.
 7 Q All right. With respect to any other
 8 communications that are -- would not -- are not
 9 arguably covered by the work product or the
 10 attorney-client privilege, did you have any such
 11 voicemails left for you pertaining to legislative
 12 redistricting?
 13 A I would have no way of recalling that.
 14 Q Do you know whether the -- whether Reinhart has a
 15 system that archives any of the voicemails that
 16 you receive either on the phone at your desk or on
 17 your cell phone?
 18 A I don't know.
 19 MR. POLAND: Those are all the
 20 questions that I have for now subject to the
 21 stipulation that we put -- that Eric put on
 22 the record before.
 23 MR. MCLEOD: Okay.
 24 MR. POLAND: Anyone else?
 25 MR. HASSETT: Couple questions.

251

1 EXAMINATION
 2 By Mr. Hassett:
 3 Q I just wanted to reiterate briefly. You said you
 4 had nothing to do with Act 44?
 5 A That's correct.
 6 Q Legislative redistricting. And I believe you
 7 said --
 8 MR. KASPER: Clarification.
 9 Act 44, you said legislative redistricting.
 10 MR. HASSETT: I'm sorry. Thanks
 11 for the correction, congressional
 12 redistricting.
 13 Q And you said Tad Ottman, Ottman had nothing to do
 14 with it, as far as you know?
 15 A As far as I know.
 16 Q As far as you know. And Adam Foltz, do you know
 17 if he had any involvement in congressional
 18 redistricting?
 19 A Not as far as I know.
 20 Q What's your understanding of who drew those lines,
 21 the congressional lines for Act 44?
 22 A I don't know.
 23 MR. HASSETT: All right. I have
 24 nothing further.
 25 MR. KELLY: Nothing from us.

252

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

1	MR. POLAND: Okay. I think then	1	interested in the action.
2	we're off the record.	2	In witness whereof I have hereunto set my
3	(Adjourning at 5:36 p.m.)	3	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 22nd day of
4		4	December 2011.
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
8			Registered Professional Reporter
9		8	My commission expires
10		9	10/6/2013
11		10	
12		11	
13		12	
14		13	
15		14	
16		15	
17		16	
18		17	
19		18	
20		19	
21		20	
22		21	
23		22	
24		23	
25		24	
		25	
253		255	
1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)		
) ss.		
2	COUNTY OF DANE)		
3	I, CARMEN HARDER, a Registered Professional Reporter		
4	and Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in		
5	and for the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify		
6	that pursuant to subpoena, there came before me on		
7	the 20th day of December 2011, at 9:25 in the		
8	forenoon, at the offices of Godfrey & Kahn, S.C.,		
9	Attorneys at Law, One East Main Street, the City of		
10	Madison, County of Dane, and State of Wisconsin, the		
11	following named person, to wit: JOSEPH W. HANDRICK,		
12	who was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth and		
13	nothing but the truth of his knowledge touching and		
14	concerning the matters in controversy in this cause;		
15	that he was thereupon carefully examined upon his		
16	oath and his examination reduced to typewriting with		
17	computer-aided transcription; that the deposition is		
18	a true record of the testimony given by the witness;		
19	and that reading and signing was not waived.		
20	I further certify that I am neither		
21	attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed		
22	by any of the parties to the action in which this		
23	deposition is taken and further that I am not a		
24	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel		
25	employed by the parties hereto or financially		
	254		

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

\$	15 [24] - 4:13, 21:22, 26:5, 26:11, 35:1, 35:15, 37:6, 40:9, 41:16, 42:9, 68:6, 86:17, 86:19, 94:22, 99:13, 100:6, 121:6, 147:8, 157:2, 188:9, 188:13, 188:14, 190:3, 223:20	18:21, 19:15, 19:24, 20:20, 20:22, 21:13, 21:15, 21:19, 22:4, 24:2, 26:4, 26:10, 58:22, 58:25, 87:11, 87:12, 87:14, 88:8, 88:10, 90:10, 93:17, 161:3, 161:6, 170:6, 172:2, 172:11, 216:10, 238:4, 238:5, 238:8	26:5, 26:11, 32:21, 35:1, 35:16, 37:3, 37:7, 41:16, 41:17, 42:9, 42:10, 52:18, 55:5, 55:12, 56:16, 76:7, 76:8, 81:1, 83:10, 83:17, 86:5, 86:17, 87:21, 88:18, 91:25, 102:25, 104:25, 110:2, 111:25, 114:16, 115:10, 115:13, 115:22, 115:23, 116:23, 117:2, 120:2, 120:5, 120:19, 121:6, 121:10, 123:18, 124:7, 124:11, 126:3, 126:6, 126:11, 126:24, 127:2, 127:19, 128:20, 130:15, 130:24, 137:5, 137:16, 137:20, 137:22, 138:4, 139:9, 139:12, 141:15, 141:18, 141:22, 142:1, 142:12, 144:8, 144:9, 144:12, 144:17, 144:20, 146:11, 146:17, 146:23, 147:3, 199:18, 201:25, 211:24, 215:19, 215:22, 232:19, 232:23, 233:10, 250:22	128:19, 129:7, 130:15, 130:24, 137:16, 137:20, 137:22, 138:3, 139:9, 139:12, 140:7, 140:8, 141:15, 141:18, 141:22, 142:1, 142:12, 144:8, 144:9, 144:12, 144:17, 144:20, 146:11, 146:17, 146:23, 147:3, 199:18, 201:25, 211:24, 215:19, 215:22, 232:19, 232:23, 233:10, 250:22
\$5,000 [3] - 36:4, 86:15, 86:23	16 [12] - 4:14, 94:22, 101:16, 157:2, 190:24, 191:1, 191:3, 191:24, 192:13, 193:25, 194:1, 212:5	2/15/2011 [1] - 3:18 2/17/2011 [1] - 3:20 2/18/2011 [1] - 3:21 20 [11] - 1:20, 4:24, 72:8, 147:8, 187:17, 215:19, 215:21, 232:19, 232:23, 233:7, 234:3 2000 [4] - 25:2, 58:15, 58:17, 59:11 2001 [19] - 25:8, 58:22, 58:25, 59:18, 61:6, 61:9, 61:13, 62:8, 62:14, 65:13, 65:15, 65:21, 65:24, 66:9, 67:12, 69:13, 73:6, 77:12, 189:20 2002 [18] - 48:4, 58:15, 66:9, 69:14, 81:1, 83:10, 83:14, 85:24, 123:8, 123:16, 210:13, 210:14, 234:13, 234:18, 234:25, 243:23, 245:4, 245:14 2003 [2] - 58:3, 59:2 2003-2004 [2] - 57:25, 58:6 2004 [4] - 58:3, 67:16, 75:1, 75:3 2005 [3] - 55:24, 57:7, 57:19 2006 [2] - 56:2, 56:4 2009 [4] - 57:5, 75:8, 75:13, 75:24 2009-2010 [1] - 57:4 2010 [30] - 26:25, 40:3, 49:9, 54:9, 56:5, 57:6, 57:7, 57:19, 74:15, 74:23, 75:5, 75:11, 75:19, 76:5, 76:7, 76:17, 76:24, 77:5, 85:8, 85:17, 92:2, 93:3, 96:8, 96:20, 97:3, 97:8, 99:13, 124:23, 175:14 2011 [100] - 1:20, 5:3, 5:4, 6:13, 21:11, 23:16, 25:3, 25:16,	55:5, 55:12, 56:16, 76:7, 76:8, 81:1, 83:10, 83:17, 86:5, 86:17, 87:21, 88:18, 91:25, 102:25, 104:25, 110:2, 111:25, 114:16, 115:10, 115:13, 115:22, 115:23, 116:23, 117:2, 120:2, 120:5, 120:19, 121:6, 121:10, 123:18, 124:7, 124:11, 126:3, 126:6, 126:11, 126:24, 127:2, 127:19, 128:20, 130:15, 130:24, 137:5, 137:16, 137:20, 137:22, 138:4, 139:9, 139:12, 141:15, 141:18, 141:22, 142:1, 142:12, 144:13, 144:20, 159:17, 160:2, 160:8, 171:8, 174:8, 175:3, 189:18, 190:11, 194:19, 197:19, 199:18, 201:21, 201:25, 202:11, 203:6, 217:19, 222:10, 233:9, 233:11, 235:3, 242:10, 250:23, 254:7, 255:4 2012 [2] - 36:6, 86:19 20th [2] - 6:13, 254:7 21 [4] - 5:3, 191:6, 232:23, 233:23 2100 [1] - 7:11 22 [78] - 5:4, 14:24, 15:5, 15:6, 15:10, 30:24, 31:7, 31:8, 33:11, 40:12, 41:17, 42:10, 81:2, 81:4, 87:23, 87:25, 88:17, 102:25, 111:3, 112:9, 113:2, 114:5, 114:8, 115:3, 115:10, 115:22, 116:23, 117:2, 117:18, 117:19, 118:24, 120:2, 120:5, 120:18, 120:19, 121:7, 126:3, 126:6, 126:11, 126:23, 127:1, 127:19, 128:8,	224 [1] - 3:5 22nd [1] - 255:3 23 [2] - 21:7, 211:24 232 [3] - 4:24, 5:3, 5:4 25 [1] - 55:12 252 [1] - 3:6 26 [2] - 14:24, 156:7 26(a) [2] - 4:4, 91:10 26(a)(1) [1] - 93:17 262 [1] - 7:24 28 [1] - 194:19 29 [2] - 211:23, 211:24 2A [17] - 3:16, 19:8, 19:10, 19:13, 19:20, 25:23, 25:25, 26:6, 26:9, 31:14, 47:23, 48:14, 168:7, 168:13, 169:21, 170:2
1	1 [19] - 3:12, 12:15, 12:16, 12:19, 12:25, 13:7, 13:13, 13:14, 13:24, 15:22, 15:25, 16:18, 17:7, 17:10, 19:17, 87:7, 161:14, 163:7, 163:9 1-19 [1] - 5:7 1-22 [1] - 5:6 1/25/2011 [1] - 3:25 10 [15] - 4:4, 91:2, 91:5, 91:13, 91:16, 91:21, 96:4, 96:5, 98:14, 156:6, 156:8, 156:13, 156:16, 159:3 10/6/2013 [1] - 255:8 1000 [1] - 7:10 104 [1] - 4:6 106 [1] - 4:8 108 [1] - 4:10 11 [13] - 4:6, 92:23, 93:13, 97:6, 104:15, 104:18, 104:22, 105:1, 107:7, 157:1, 157:8, 157:9, 240:5 11-CV-1011 [1] - 2:11 11-CV-562 [1] - 1:12 12 [10] - 3:13, 4:7, 93:24, 94:14, 97:11, 106:16, 106:20, 157:1, 159:4, 207:11 12/13/2011 [1] - 3:12 12/2/2011 [1] - 4:20 13 [19] - 4:9, 13:7, 13:10, 94:22, 94:25, 95:3, 97:23, 108:4, 108:7, 108:13, 108:18, 157:2, 197:19, 198:12, 201:21, 202:11, 203:5, 203:24 14 [10] - 4:11, 94:22, 98:23, 100:2, 157:2, 188:9, 188:12, 188:13, 188:15, 190:9	17 [17] - 3:15, 3:17, 4:17, 7:7, 21:21, 37:3, 37:7, 37:18, 94:22, 102:6, 157:2, 157:9, 194:9, 194:11, 194:14, 194:17, 195:19 18 [21] - 4:20, 21:20, 37:20, 104:25, 168:3, 168:4, 168:21, 168:23, 168:24, 169:4, 194:9, 194:11, 194:14, 195:25, 196:1, 196:8, 196:11, 196:21, 197:5, 212:5 188 [2] - 4:12, 4:13 19 [6] - 3:16, 4:22, 197:14, 202:19, 206:4, 207:4 190 [1] - 4:16 194 [1] - 4:19 195 [1] - 4:21 197 [1] - 4:23 1990 [5] - 63:14, 63:19, 63:20, 63:23, 69:7 1990s [3] - 64:4, 70:20, 72:5 1991 [4] - 25:9, 63:20, 69:7, 85:24 1991-1992 [1] - 71:21 1992 [3] - 25:9, 64:8, 64:14 1994 [2] - 58:17, 62:23 1995 [3] - 58:21, 63:2, 189:20 1996 [2] - 53:21, 63:6 1998 [1] - 63:6 1½ [1] - 210:13	128:19, 129:7, 130:15, 130:24, 137:16, 137:20, 137:22, 138:3, 139:9, 139:12, 140:7, 140:8, 141:15, 141:18, 141:22, 142:1, 142:12, 144:8, 144:9, 144:12, 144:17, 144:20, 146:11, 146:17, 146:23, 147:3, 199:18, 201:25, 211:24, 215:19, 215:22, 232:19, 232:23, 233:10, 250:22 224 [1] - 3:5 22nd [1] - 255:3 23 [2] - 21:7, 211:24 232 [3] - 4:24, 5:3, 5:4 25 [1] - 55:12 252 [1] - 3:6 26 [2] - 14:24, 156:7 26(a) [2] - 4:4, 91:10 26(a)(1) [1] - 93:17 262 [1] - 7:24 28 [1] - 194:19 29 [2] - 211:23, 211:24 2A [17] - 3:16, 19:8, 19:10, 19:13, 19:20, 25:23, 25:25, 26:6, 26:9, 31:14, 47:23, 48:14, 168:7, 168:13, 169:21, 170:2	224 [1] - 3:5 22nd [1] - 255:3 23 [2] - 21:7, 211:24 232 [3] - 4:24, 5:3, 5:4 25 [1] - 55:12 252 [1] - 3:6 26 [2] - 14:24, 156:7 26(a) [2] - 4:4, 91:10 26(a)(1) [1] - 93:17 262 [1] - 7:24 28 [1] - 194:19 29 [2] - 211:23, 211:24 2A [17] - 3:16, 19:8, 19:10, 19:13, 19:20, 25:23, 25:25, 26:6, 26:9, 31:14, 47:23, 48:14, 168:7, 168:13, 169:21, 170:2
	2		3	
	2 [35] - 3:14, 17:21, 17:23, 18:1, 18:11,		3 [15] - 3:17, 17:22, 17:23, 18:3, 18:11, 18:21, 19:15, 31:24, 32:3, 32:6, 56:2, 56:4, 68:1, 90:10, 207:16 30 [3] - 55:5, 147:8, 212:5 300 [1] - 6:23 30th [2] - 187:18, 187:19 31 [2] - 21:11, 242:25 34 [5] - 3:19, 3:20, 3:22, 63:3, 63:9 36 [1] - 215:18	
			4	
			4 [15] - 3:18, 34:5, 34:14, 34:17, 34:18, 34:20, 34:23, 34:25,	

<p>38:19, 67:24, 68:22, 84:16, 207:9, 207:21, 209:24</p> <p>40 [1] - 222:17</p> <p>400 [1] - 7:4</p> <p>417 [1] - 7:23</p> <p>43 [58] - 4:24, 5:4, 25:3, 25:17, 92:5, 96:11, 96:23, 109:10, 124:3, 124:14, 127:17, 127:21, 128:15, 128:21, 145:16, 154:11, 154:21, 155:4, 155:18, 155:21, 156:18, 175:3, 197:10, 205:19, 205:23, 212:12, 212:17, 212:19, 213:14, 214:13, 214:21, 215:11, 217:10, 217:13, 219:1, 219:20, 219:23, 220:4, 222:17, 223:6, 223:9, 233:8, 233:11, 235:3, 236:9, 236:16, 236:20, 239:24, 240:8, 240:14, 241:11, 241:12, 241:19, 242:7, 242:10, 242:14, 245:21, 247:2</p> <p>44 [32] - 5:3, 25:3, 25:17, 92:5, 96:11, 96:24, 109:10, 124:4, 125:6, 125:7, 125:9, 125:10, 125:15, 155:18, 155:22, 155:24, 156:4, 156:11, 156:18, 156:23, 157:4, 157:17, 157:24, 158:22, 197:10, 205:19, 205:20, 233:9, 233:23, 252:4, 252:9, 252:21</p> <p>447-2199 [1] - 7:24</p> <p>45 [1] - 242:25</p> <p>47 [2] - 68:11, 216:9</p> <p>48 [2] - 200:8, 230:25</p> <p>4m [1] - 188:19</p>	<p>223:20</p> <p>500 [1] - 6:20</p> <p>53 [1] - 3:23</p> <p>53021 [1] - 7:23</p> <p>53202 [3] - 6:24, 7:11, 7:14</p> <p>53703 [4] - 6:20, 7:4, 7:7, 7:18</p> <p>54 [3] - 3:25, 70:5, 70:7</p> <p>55 [1] - 71:11</p> <p>57 [2] - 164:4, 164:5</p> <p>58 [1] - 164:4</p> <p>5:36 [1] - 253:3</p> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p>	<p>801.50(4m [4] - 4:15, 4:19, 189:11, 190:1</p> <p>839 [1] - 6:23</p> <p>8th [15] - 224:18, 225:2, 226:18, 226:23, 226:25, 227:14, 227:16, 227:23, 228:1, 228:25, 229:9, 229:15, 230:2, 231:3, 232:4</p>	<p>117:8, 117:10, 139:18, 139:19, 145:10, 177:13, 184:23, 184:25, 211:5</p> <p>Accountability [8] - 1:14, 2:2, 2:13, 2:16, 6:5, 14:13, 105:25, 106:4</p> <p>accounts [1] - 182:1</p> <p>accuracy [2] - 236:22, 237:1</p> <p>accurate [2] - 53:14, 230:3</p> <p>accurately [1] - 231:1</p> <p>ACD [1] - 161:16</p> <p>acknowledge [1] - 187:25</p> <p>act [2] - 169:17, 218:20</p> <p>Act [77] - 4:24, 5:3, 5:4, 124:14, 125:6, 125:7, 125:9, 125:10, 125:15, 127:17, 127:21, 128:15, 128:21, 145:16, 150:23, 151:3, 151:6, 154:11, 154:21, 155:4, 155:18, 155:21, 155:22, 155:24, 156:4, 156:11, 156:23, 157:4, 157:17, 157:24, 158:22, 175:3, 205:20, 205:23, 208:24, 209:16, 209:17, 209:18, 210:25, 212:12, 212:17, 212:19, 213:14, 214:13, 214:21, 215:11, 217:10, 217:13, 219:1, 219:20, 219:23, 220:4, 222:17, 223:6, 223:9, 233:8, 233:9, 233:11, 233:23, 235:3, 236:9, 236:16, 236:20, 239:24, 240:8, 240:14, 241:11, 241:12, 241:19, 242:7, 242:10, 242:14, 245:21, 247:2, 252:4, 252:9, 252:21</p> <p>Action [2] - 4:11, 4:16</p> <p>action [11] - 187:11, 188:22, 189:1, 189:4, 191:5, 191:12, 195:3,</p>	<p>195:22, 196:3, 254:22, 255:1</p> <p>activity [1] - 218:23</p> <p>Acts [11] - 25:3, 25:17, 92:5, 96:11, 96:23, 109:10, 124:3, 156:18, 197:10, 205:19, 222:17</p> <p>acts [1] - 118:6</p> <p>Adam [11] - 42:3, 45:22, 50:6, 50:24, 101:9, 150:14, 151:18, 160:13, 231:10, 232:6, 252:16</p> <p>Adams [3] - 163:17, 163:19</p> <p>added [1] - 164:7</p> <p>addition [6] - 66:11, 110:11, 209:3, 209:18, 233:12, 233:13</p> <p>additional [3] - 110:16, 133:16, 169:14</p> <p>additionally [1] - 98:11</p> <p>address [6] - 138:11, 138:14, 138:15, 138:18, 138:23, 246:21</p> <p>addressed [1] - 69:6</p> <p>addressing [1] - 190:22</p> <p>Adjourning [1] - 253:3</p> <p>adopted [7] - 73:11, 225:18, 228:4, 229:8, 242:14, 242:15, 242:18</p> <p>adoption [1] - 85:15</p> <p>advance [1] - 215:24</p> <p>advice [12] - 85:7, 85:14, 85:18, 85:20, 90:2, 101:21, 109:5, 125:1, 148:17, 175:13, 177:2, 215:10</p> <p>affect [1] - 75:14</p> <p>affected [1] - 241:5</p> <p>affirmatively [1] - 12:7</p> <p>affixed [1] - 255:3</p> <p>African [2] - 168:15, 168:25</p> <p>African-American [2] - 168:15, 168:25</p> <p>age [8] - 6:2, 168:24, 169:1, 222:2, 222:6, 229:14, 229:20, 230:1</p> <p>ago [5] - 40:1, 128:14, 185:12,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <p>5 [15] - 3:20, 34:9, 34:14, 37:11, 37:12, 37:15, 37:17, 38:2, 38:20, 91:15, 96:6, 108:15, 156:8, 189:1,</p>	<p>6 [11] - 3:21, 34:9, 34:14, 37:11, 37:12, 37:16, 37:20, 38:2, 38:20, 86:9, 156:12</p> <p>61 [1] - 234:6</p> <p>62 [1] - 234:10</p> <p>63 [1] - 234:10</p> <p>64 [6] - 234:6, 234:11, 235:6, 235:17, 236:12, 239:20</p> <p>65 [2] - 234:6, 235:17</p> <p>66 [3] - 4:3, 72:11, 234:11</p> <p>67 [2] - 72:10, 73:17</p> <p>68 [3] - 72:9, 74:5, 74:6</p> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>7 [8] - 3:23, 53:1, 53:2, 53:5, 53:8, 159:10, 159:14, 216:10</p> <p>7/13/2011 [1] - 4:23</p> <p>700 [1] - 7:17</p> <p>751 [1] - 4:13</p> <p>751.035 [6] - 4:14, 4:19, 188:25, 190:5, 190:10, 190:14</p> <p>777 [1] - 7:14</p> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>9 [18] - 4:3, 56:16, 66:18, 66:20, 66:22, 67:1, 67:24, 69:25, 91:25, 151:9, 151:10, 207:10, 207:21, 221:23, 222:3, 222:8, 224:8, 224:13</p> <p>91 [2] - 4:5, 23:6</p> <p>9:25 [1] - 254:7</p> <p>9:26 [1] - 6:13</p> <p>9th [15] - 224:18, 225:3, 226:18, 226:24, 226:25, 227:14, 227:16, 227:23, 228:1, 229:1, 229:9, 229:15, 230:2, 231:3, 232:4</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A</p>	<p>abbreviated [1] - 10:25</p> <p>abbreviating [1] - 10:9</p> <p>abbreviation [1] - 161:19</p> <p>abilities [1] - 70:15</p> <p>ability [4] - 165:21, 166:1, 166:5, 212:7</p> <p>able [9] - 12:6, 71:2, 71:9, 166:11, 180:15, 184:2, 237:3, 246:20, 247:16</p> <p>absolute [2] - 210:18, 210:23</p> <p>acceptable [3] - 213:3, 213:11, 215:7</p> <p>accepted [1] - 38:6</p> <p>access [4] - 204:16, 204:20, 204:25, 217:16</p> <p>according [5] - 10:20, 72:3, 163:25, 240:1, 240:4</p> <p>account [13] - 117:6,</p>	<p>abbreviated [1] - 10:25</p> <p>abbreviating [1] - 10:9</p> <p>abbreviation [1] - 161:19</p> <p>abilities [1] - 70:15</p> <p>ability [4] - 165:21, 166:1, 166:5, 212:7</p> <p>able [9] - 12:6, 71:2, 71:9, 166:11, 180:15, 184:2, 237:3, 246:20, 247:16</p> <p>absolute [2] - 210:18, 210:23</p> <p>acceptable [3] - 213:3, 213:11, 215:7</p> <p>accepted [1] - 38:6</p> <p>access [4] - 204:16, 204:20, 204:25, 217:16</p> <p>according [5] - 10:20, 72:3, 163:25, 240:1, 240:4</p> <p>account [13] - 117:6,</p>

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

<p>211:1, 237:12 agree [4] - 74:2, 208:3, 216:2, 216:18 agreed [3] - 8:19, 158:5, 186:24 agreement [3] - 8:11, 188:3, 188:5 ahead [7] - 8:13, 34:7, 78:9, 104:14, 193:9, 223:21, 223:25 aided [1] - 254:17 airport [1] - 147:18 al [4] - 6:3, 6:5, 6:21, 6:25 allegations [1] - 107:6 Alliance [1] - 58:10 allocation [1] - 208:13 allowing [1] - 10:23 almost [1] - 72:8 Alternative [1] - 4:15 Alvin [2] - 6:3, 6:21 ALVIN [1] - 1:3 ambiguous [15] - 43:10, 43:23, 44:20, 49:22, 60:23, 84:6, 96:14, 97:19, 109:13, 111:11, 112:17, 113:9, 113:23, 116:2, 154:14 Amended [9] - 4:4, 4:6, 4:8, 4:21, 91:10, 104:23, 106:23, 107:8 amended [5] - 106:1, 106:5, 106:14, 196:2 amendment [1] - 225:16 American [2] - 168:15, 168:25 amount [1] - 211:25 AMY [1] - 1:7 analysis [12] - 72:20, 86:2, 86:4, 159:15, 159:21, 160:7, 160:12, 160:18, 160:21, 160:24, 165:15, 165:20 analytic [1] - 70:15 analyzing [1] - 212:13 Anesthesiologists [1] - 57:22 anesthesiologists [1] - 59:2 answer [187] - 12:1, 12:8, 20:15, 27:18, 30:2, 31:2, 31:4, 31:5, 33:7, 33:18, 40:19, 40:22, 40:23, 41:6,</p>	<p>41:9, 41:11, 41:14, 43:11, 43:13, 43:24, 49:21, 49:23, 60:25, 79:13, 79:15, 80:16, 80:17, 82:3, 82:6, 82:24, 83:1, 83:6, 84:8, 89:19, 89:21, 90:1, 90:22, 92:18, 92:20, 93:20, 93:22, 94:17, 95:21, 96:15, 97:1, 97:20, 98:10, 98:16, 98:21, 99:9, 99:11, 99:23, 99:25, 102:2, 102:4, 102:15, 102:17, 103:3, 103:15, 103:17, 105:12, 105:21, 105:22, 106:9, 106:11, 106:13, 107:13, 107:15, 109:4, 109:6, 110:25, 111:6, 111:12, 111:13, 111:14, 111:15, 112:7, 112:10, 112:18, 112:25, 113:6, 113:11, 113:12, 114:3, 114:6, 114:8, 115:4, 115:5, 115:8, 116:2, 119:12, 119:15, 119:17, 120:9, 121:1, 121:3, 122:19, 122:22, 122:23, 123:1, 126:3, 126:7, 127:10, 127:12, 127:14, 129:1, 129:2, 129:11, 129:17, 129:21, 131:6, 131:10, 131:15, 133:24, 134:3, 134:5, 134:14, 134:20, 135:1, 137:13, 137:15, 137:17, 137:19, 142:20, 145:23, 146:8, 154:14, 156:13, 161:12, 173:13, 177:1, 177:3, 181:11, 181:13, 182:13, 182:15, 183:19, 183:21, 185:7, 185:25, 186:6, 187:5, 191:22, 192:2, 192:5, 192:18, 192:22, 193:11, 193:17, 193:20, 195:9, 195:11, 196:17, 196:19, 199:2, 199:6, 199:8, 202:3, 202:5, 209:7, 210:20, 211:13,</p>	<p>213:24, 214:3, 214:7, 216:7, 216:20, 216:22, 216:24, 216:25, 217:7, 218:2, 218:7, 218:9, 219:13, 220:1, 225:5, 231:15, 236:22, 237:1, 237:3, 243:17, 250:21, 251:1, 251:4 Answer [3] - 4:7, 106:22, 216:23 answered [2] - 44:25, 130:1 answers [8] - 107:5, 145:20, 145:25, 146:1, 146:2, 146:5, 146:6, 248:4 anticipated [1] - 73:12 anticipation [1] - 9:22 apart [2] - 20:10, 235:12 apologize [2] - 112:11, 213:18 appeal [2] - 10:17, 187:7 appeals [1] - 190:6 appear [3] - 23:2, 23:4, 170:24 appearing [8] - 6:20, 6:24, 7:4, 7:8, 7:11, 7:14, 7:18, 10:18 appellate [1] - 187:12 appended [1] - 194:22 Appleton [9] - 244:2, 244:9, 244:14, 244:20, 245:3, 245:17, 245:20, 245:23 application [2] - 23:14, 166:2 apply [1] - 55:17 Appointment [2] - 4:14, 4:18 apportionment [3] - 124:22, 188:22, 189:2 appreciate [2] - 10:22, 224:1 approached [1] - 77:7 appropriate [9] - 92:3, 96:9, 96:21, 129:13, 156:21, 172:13, 187:2, 188:2, 211:3 April [6] - 27:2, 27:3, 222:10, 224:22, 225:7</p>	<p>archives [1] - 251:15 area [12] - 28:11, 28:13, 28:18, 29:1, 75:16, 75:18, 75:22, 76:21, 237:15, 237:16, 237:18, 246:1 areas [3] - 208:17, 225:25, 233:19 arguably [1] - 251:9 argue [3] - 73:3, 73:14, 74:18 arguments [2] - 11:6, 11:12 arise [1] - 75:7 arrangement [1] - 38:7 arrived [1] - 18:9 artisan [1] - 74:12 ascertain [1] - 235:11 Ashland [1] - 163:23 aside [4] - 37:10, 174:10, 178:25, 247:25 ASM [3] - 161:15, 161:16, 161:18 aspect [2] - 242:15, 242:17 aspects [1] - 208:25 aspirations [2] - 75:3, 75:7 assembled [1] - 237:13 assembly [85] - 9:21, 48:25, 58:17, 58:20, 58:25, 60:6, 60:7, 60:13, 62:21, 62:25, 63:17, 73:19, 73:21, 118:1, 122:6, 151:11, 151:15, 151:19, 151:23, 152:1, 152:12, 152:19, 152:24, 153:4, 154:6, 154:11, 154:20, 161:19, 164:20, 210:8, 210:10, 210:11, 221:19, 224:19, 225:3, 225:10, 225:11, 226:18, 226:24, 226:25, 227:16, 227:23, 228:1, 229:1, 229:9, 229:15, 230:2, 231:3, 232:4, 233:13, 234:5, 234:14, 234:18, 234:24, 235:20, 235:22, 236:1, 236:4, 236:7, 239:1, 239:18, 240:5, 240:12, 240:15,</p>	<p>240:21, 243:3, 243:9, 243:15, 243:20, 243:23, 244:3, 244:7, 244:10, 244:13, 244:15, 244:20, 246:4, 246:7, 246:11, 246:23, 247:1, 247:10, 247:18, 247:20 Assembly [19] - 4:24, 7:19, 35:9, 63:3, 84:22, 121:15, 151:9, 151:10, 221:23, 222:7, 224:7, 224:12, 233:8, 234:10, 235:5, 235:17, 236:12, 239:20, 242:25 assert [23] - 16:21, 27:12, 27:13, 29:23, 33:3, 33:8, 40:16, 41:21, 96:25, 97:17, 113:8, 115:25, 119:6, 122:14, 133:20, 134:11, 134:17, 181:6, 182:9, 183:15, 185:4, 187:1, 211:8 asserted [4] - 131:14, 185:18, 192:3, 193:4 asserting [1] - 10:7 assertion [3] - 199:9, 214:4, 215:16 assess [1] - 213:2 assessment [1] - 86:1 assigned [2] - 170:23, 246:17 Assignment [2] - 32:2, 190:5 Assignments [1] - 3:17 assist [12] - 29:18, 51:2, 59:10, 66:7, 85:20, 96:9, 96:21, 97:23, 98:23, 101:16, 102:6, 148:16 assistance [6] - 39:21, 84:11, 85:6, 100:4, 124:20, 124:24 Assistant [1] - 7:6 assistant [1] - 43:17 assistants [1] - 50:6 assisted [4] - 92:2, 95:6, 97:4, 101:20 assisting [5] - 9:19, 30:7, 50:23, 135:7, 225:23 associated [1] - 72:23 Association [7] -</p>
--	---	---	--	---

56:13, 56:19, 57:4, 57:12, 58:12, 205:15, 205:23 assume [3] - 13:9, 50:24, 239:6 assumption [1] - 187:6 attach [2] - 196:5, 196:7 attached [5] - 3:12, 4:20, 5:6, 21:20, 191:7 attempt [3] - 246:17, 247:10, 247:13 attempted [2] - 246:22, 247:6 attended [1] - 53:25 attention [19] - 15:21, 36:3, 70:4, 70:8, 72:9, 73:16, 74:4, 91:15, 159:4, 188:17, 190:4, 207:10, 207:21, 211:23, 215:18, 216:9, 242:23, 244:1, 246:2 attorney [88] - 8:23, 9:16, 9:17, 10:10, 27:16, 29:25, 30:1, 30:25, 33:5, 36:23, 40:18, 41:10, 89:18, 89:25, 92:17, 93:19, 94:16, 95:20, 98:8, 98:12, 99:7, 99:21, 101:25, 102:14, 103:1, 103:13, 105:10, 105:18, 106:7, 107:11, 109:2, 110:23, 112:5, 113:5, 114:24, 119:9, 119:11, 120:7, 120:24, 122:17, 125:24, 127:5, 128:24, 131:4, 134:1, 137:11, 176:21, 181:8, 182:11, 183:17, 185:5, 185:15, 187:3, 187:8, 191:20, 192:16, 195:6, 196:15, 196:23, 198:22, 199:9, 202:1, 213:22, 214:5, 214:10, 217:25, 250:19, 251:2, 251:10 attorneys [1] - 8:21 Attorneys [9] - 6:11, 6:19, 6:23, 7:3, 7:3, 7:10, 7:13, 7:17, 254:9 attractive [1] - 71:5 attributing [1] - 70:25 audibly [4] - 12:1, 12:9, 161:12, 173:13 August [3] - 21:11, 91:25, 124:2 authored [1] - 67:6 autoBound [23] - 24:16, 24:18, 24:19, 24:21, 24:23, 24:24, 25:1, 25:5, 25:12, 25:16, 25:19, 26:1, 62:7, 152:2, 152:12, 152:16, 166:4, 166:6, 166:9, 168:9, 169:7, 172:7, 220:10 automatically [1] - 169:10 available [4] - 25:12, 26:22, 26:24, 187:20 Avenue [1] - 7:14 avoidable [1] - 212:2 aware [20] - 14:19, 45:3, 100:12, 173:3, 173:7, 173:9, 173:20,	7:13, 7:16, 133:22 attorney-client [65] - 9:16, 10:10, 27:16, 29:25, 30:25, 33:5, 40:18, 41:10, 89:18, 89:25, 92:17, 93:19, 94:16, 95:20, 98:8, 98:12, 99:7, 99:21, 101:25, 102:14, 103:1, 103:13, 105:10, 105:18, 106:7, 107:11, 109:2, 110:23, 112:5, 113:5, 114:24, 119:9, 119:11, 120:7, 120:24, 122:17, 125:24, 127:5, 128:24, 131:4, 134:1, 137:11, 176:21, 181:8, 182:11, 183:17, 185:5, 185:15, 187:3, 187:8, 191:20, 192:16, 195:6, 196:15, 196:23, 198:22, 199:9, 202:1, 213:22, 214:5, 214:10, 217:25, 250:19, 251:2, 251:10 attorneys [1] - 8:21 Attorneys [9] - 6:11, 6:19, 6:23, 7:3, 7:3, 7:10, 7:13, 7:17, 254:9 attractive [1] - 71:5 attributing [1] - 70:25 audibly [4] - 12:1, 12:9, 161:12, 173:13 August [3] - 21:11, 91:25, 124:2 authored [1] - 67:6 autoBound [23] - 24:16, 24:18, 24:19, 24:21, 24:23, 24:24, 25:1, 25:5, 25:12, 25:16, 25:19, 26:1, 62:7, 152:2, 152:12, 152:16, 166:4, 166:6, 166:9, 168:9, 169:7, 172:7, 220:10 automatically [1] - 169:10 available [4] - 25:12, 26:22, 26:24, 187:20 Avenue [1] - 7:14 avoidable [1] - 212:2 aware [20] - 14:19, 45:3, 100:12, 173:3, 173:7, 173:9, 173:20,	185:11, 190:17, 194:4, 195:21, 197:1, 197:8, 198:5, 198:11, 199:14, 220:18, 220:24, 221:1, 249:17 B Baldus [2] - 6:3, 6:21 BALDUS [1] - 1:3 BALDWIN [1] - 1:10 ballpark [4] - 44:10, 135:13, 135:15, 147:25 Barbara [3] - 123:10, 123:12, 123:13 BARBERA [1] - 1:3 BARLAND [2] - 1:16, 2:15 Barron [1] - 163:23 based [8] - 72:22, 98:7, 98:13, 98:15, 99:6, 99:20, 219:4, 226:12 basis [7] - 89:24, 94:15, 95:19, 112:4, 130:9, 201:25, 202:3 Bay [1] - 164:13 Bayfield [1] - 163:23 Bear [1] - 57:12 became [6] - 26:22, 63:25, 70:15, 75:13, 124:14, 125:15 BECHEN [1] - 1:3 become [2] - 26:24, 175:3 becoming [1] - 75:10 beforehand [1] - 121:13 began [2] - 55:24, 86:17 begin [4] - 9:5, 11:15, 56:1, 109:9 beginning [3] - 36:5, 75:8, 87:20 begins [2] - 21:6, 72:18 behalf [20] - 6:2, 6:20, 6:24, 7:4, 7:8, 7:11, 7:14, 7:18, 8:15, 8:21, 44:2, 57:3, 57:8, 58:5, 64:1, 83:23, 91:23, 93:2, 94:2, 95:6 BELL [1] - 1:7 Beloit [8] - 242:24, 243:2, 243:6, 243:9, 243:19, 243:22, 244:7 belong [1] - 206:17	below [1] - 163:16 BEST [1] - 7:17 Best [94] - 15:19, 27:20, 28:2, 29:17, 30:11, 30:14, 30:18, 31:10, 31:18, 32:10, 32:13, 32:15, 33:24, 34:22, 38:14, 39:10, 39:19, 40:14, 41:18, 42:11, 43:6, 43:19, 44:8, 44:12, 46:18, 47:3, 47:12, 49:11, 49:15, 49:18, 51:16, 51:21, 51:24, 59:9, 59:18, 59:21, 60:10, 61:7, 61:17, 62:2, 62:16, 65:18, 84:10, 87:3, 88:4, 100:22, 110:10, 113:17, 114:21, 116:9, 119:2, 119:21, 120:14, 121:10, 122:12, 124:20, 125:13, 131:20, 132:7, 132:11, 133:14, 133:19, 134:10, 135:5, 135:10, 142:16, 143:9, 144:5, 146:13, 146:20, 148:9, 148:21, 149:12, 154:19, 160:15, 160:19, 172:19, 174:14, 174:21, 175:5, 175:7, 175:10, 178:12, 178:19, 178:22, 179:13, 179:19, 180:1, 182:20, 183:6, 200:11, 204:19, 204:21, 231:1 best [7] - 52:1, 53:15, 86:10, 110:5, 124:23, 150:6, 212:7 Best's [12] - 32:17, 32:22, 33:1, 136:23, 137:1, 146:24, 155:3, 166:16, 167:10, 178:5, 182:24, 204:24 between [30] - 24:7, 27:15, 37:6, 38:25, 40:11, 41:16, 58:24, 83:9, 115:16, 139:4, 153:3, 169:16, 171:24, 176:22, 189:20, 208:10, 219:23, 222:10, 224:22, 225:6, 234:14, 234:20, 235:16, 235:20, 236:1, 238:12, 242:3,	242:24, 244:7 beyond [2] - 54:3, 80:17 BIENDSEIL [1] - 1:3 bill [1] - 225:14 bills [7] - 88:24, 142:25, 143:12, 143:17, 201:1, 201:5, 201:9 bio [5] - 53:9, 53:11, 53:14, 53:17, 54:5 Bio [1] - 3:23 bit [4] - 34:4, 155:16, 156:9, 248:1 Black [5] - 168:3, 168:12, 168:14, 168:21 black [1] - 168:23 BlackBerry [4] - 177:20, 177:22, 248:21, 249:15 Block [2] - 3:17, 32:1 block [1] - 233:4 blocks [9] - 170:7, 170:10, 171:3, 171:8, 219:4, 230:6, 230:11, 230:14, 230:20 Board [8] - 1:14, 2:2, 2:13, 2:16, 6:5, 14:13, 105:25, 106:4 bodies [5] - 91:22, 93:1, 94:1, 95:5, 159:16 BOERNER [1] - 7:10 Boerner [1] - 14:6 book [16] - 4:3, 66:12, 66:15, 66:24, 66:25, 67:5, 67:19, 68:8, 68:20, 68:23, 69:6, 70:1, 72:3, 75:1, 83:19 BOONE [2] - 1:4 Born [2] - 4:3, 67:5 bottom [5] - 24:13, 55:4, 170:6, 170:24, 190:10 boundaries [15] - 92:4, 95:10, 95:11, 96:10, 96:22, 98:1, 98:3, 125:18, 126:13, 126:25, 129:14, 136:8, 156:22, 158:17, 184:7 boundary [2] - 170:17, 170:19 break [7] - 69:22, 138:2, 155:7, 155:10, 155:11, 185:22, 186:15 BRENNAN [2] - 1:15,
---	---	--	--	--

2:14 BRETT [1] - 1:5 briefly [1] - 252:3 bring [4] - 13:21, 16:9, 16:11, 16:12 broad [1] - 191:17 broader [1] - 225:5 broke [2] - 69:24, 174:11 broken [3] - 50:11, 50:13, 50:15 brought [6] - 16:22, 17:17, 31:23, 90:8, 143:1, 238:2 Brown [1] - 164:12 BS [1] - 53:17 building [2] - 117:17, 117:22 built [1] - 215:20 BUMPUS [1] - 1:4 burden [1] - 9:25 Bureau [1] - 79:22 Burnett [1] - 163:23 bye [2] - 178:25, 232:17	case [12] - 15:1, 77:19, 77:23, 81:8, 103:25, 104:10, 104:12, 105:15, 107:19, 108:1, 114:2, 190:22 Case [1] - 2:11 categories [9] - 15:22, 15:24, 16:5, 16:16, 16:20, 96:3, 168:1, 169:19, 170:13 category [4] - 168:18, 192:8, 199:20 CATES [1] - 7:3 caucus [1] - 73:18 cavern [1] - 191:14 cc [1] - 37:24 cc'd [1] - 39:14 CD [5] - 3:17, 17:22, 18:4, 31:25, 136:25 CECELIA [1] - 1:7 cell [6] - 116:16, 140:18, 140:21, 140:24, 250:9, 251:17 Census [3] - 24:4, 24:14, 235:21 census [39] - 26:20, 26:22, 26:24, 26:25, 27:4, 27:19, 28:3, 49:9, 59:11, 63:23, 75:5, 75:12, 75:20, 76:17, 76:24, 77:5, 85:8, 85:17, 92:2, 93:3, 93:4, 96:8, 96:20, 97:3, 97:7, 97:8, 99:14, 100:7, 124:23, 170:10, 171:3, 171:8, 175:14, 219:4, 230:6, 230:11, 230:13, 230:20, 235:25 central [2] - 248:16, 249:1 centralized [1] - 249:19 certain [3] - 132:21, 164:6, 211:25 certainly [3] - 16:25, 41:19, 103:23 certify [2] - 254:5, 254:20 cetera [2] - 10:11, 246:19 chair [5] - 55:22, 56:1, 56:3, 63:22, 76:16 chairman [2] - 75:23, 76:11 challenge [2] - 188:22, 189:1	challenges [1] - 155:21 chance [2] - 68:21, 185:16 change [2] - 95:25, 186:15 changed [6] - 96:2, 239:24, 242:4, 242:5, 242:6, 242:8 changes [1] - 187:15 changing [1] - 185:22 Chapter [4] - 4:11, 4:13, 67:24, 68:22 chapter [2] - 67:23, 68:22 charge [1] - 130:6 charging [1] - 86:14 check [2] - 88:20, 88:21 Chicago [1] - 231:17 choice [1] - 211:20 chose [1] - 76:23 CINDY [1] - 1:3 Circuit [1] - 194:19 circumstances [2] - 72:24, 187:24 cities [1] - 237:7 citizen [1] - 229:19 citizens [2] - 229:20, 230:1 citizenship [2] - 229:13, 229:17 City [2] - 6:11, 254:9 city [22] - 28:22, 29:2, 161:22, 164:24, 164:25, 221:20, 234:17, 234:23, 235:4, 235:16, 236:1, 236:3, 236:8, 236:11, 238:16, 242:23, 242:24, 245:3, 246:2, 247:7, 247:15 civil [3] - 161:18, 161:20, 162:22 claiming [1] - 199:15 claims [2] - 91:20, 155:18 CLARENCE [1] - 1:5 clarification [6] - 9:11, 11:8, 87:10, 128:7, 186:20, 252:8 clarify [1] - 104:12 class [1] - 211:4 cleaned [1] - 22:21 cleaner [1] - 34:4 cleanup [1] - 119:24 clear [4] - 14:22, 19:9, 226:17, 229:24 CLEEREMAN [1] -	1:4 clerk [2] - 189:3, 189:5 clicking [1] - 45:12 client [70] - 9:16, 10:10, 27:16, 29:25, 30:25, 33:5, 39:21, 39:23, 40:18, 41:10, 84:13, 84:25, 89:18, 89:25, 92:17, 93:19, 94:16, 95:20, 98:8, 98:12, 99:7, 99:21, 101:25, 102:14, 103:1, 103:13, 105:10, 105:18, 106:7, 107:11, 109:2, 110:23, 111:3, 112:5, 113:5, 114:24, 119:9, 119:11, 120:7, 120:24, 122:17, 125:24, 127:5, 128:24, 131:4, 134:1, 137:11, 176:21, 181:8, 182:11, 183:17, 185:5, 185:15, 187:3, 187:8, 191:20, 192:16, 195:6, 196:15, 196:23, 198:22, 199:9, 202:1, 213:22, 214:5, 214:10, 217:25, 250:19, 251:2, 251:10 Client [1] - 84:19 clients [5] - 8:22, 9:21, 54:11, 54:12, 85:22 clipped [1] - 21:4 CLVS [1] - 7:22 COCHRAN [1] - 1:4 collecting [1] - 79:18 collection [3] - 18:2, 21:3, 21:14 column [10] - 48:20, 48:21, 48:22, 49:2, 49:5, 49:6, 168:11, 168:14, 168:16, 169:4 columns [4] - 168:2, 168:12, 168:20, 170:2 combine [1] - 236:10 commence [1] - 191:4 Commence [1] - 4:15 commenced [2] - 77:14, 195:22 Commencement [1] - 4:11 commencing [1] - 6:13	comment [3] - 105:25, 106:4, 158:7 commentary [3] - 105:14, 105:20, 125:15 comments [3] - 82:16, 105:7, 239:22 commission [1] - 255:8 commissioned [1] - 254:4 Committee [2] - 222:19, 222:23 committee [3] - 201:2, 203:12, 203:14 communicate [30] - 116:19, 116:24, 117:2, 137:3, 138:21, 139:6, 139:11, 139:17, 140:3, 140:10, 140:12, 141:6, 141:9, 141:11, 141:17, 142:2, 142:5, 142:6, 144:4, 144:16, 144:20, 144:25, 145:3, 181:15, 181:18, 181:24, 184:9, 184:12, 184:21, 221:7 communicated [1] - 138:25 communicating [2] - 45:25, 46:4 communication [2] - 179:10, 183:18 communications [17] - 27:14, 137:23, 138:4, 139:22, 139:25, 158:21, 182:6, 185:1, 221:4, 248:2, 248:7, 248:10, 249:4, 249:5, 249:9, 251:3, 251:8 communities [3] - 94:6, 97:16, 102:8 community [5] - 228:12, 228:14, 229:14, 229:20, 231:7 compact [3] - 99:16, 100:9, 207:25 compactness [2] - 100:14, 100:23 Company [1] - 7:22 compare [5] - 153:3, 172:12, 173:1, 225:6, 237:3 compared [1] - 224:14 comparison [1] - 153:9
--	--	--	---	---

<p>compel [1] - 188:1</p> <p>compensated [1] - 60:21</p> <p>Complaint [7] - 4:6, 4:8, 4:17, 4:21, 104:23, 106:23, 107:8</p> <p>complaint [15] - 81:8, 81:11, 103:24, 104:8, 104:10, 106:1, 106:5, 106:14, 191:10, 191:11, 194:18, 194:24, 195:18, 196:2, 197:1</p> <p>complaints [2] - 104:3, 104:5</p> <p>completed [1] - 225:23</p> <p>completing [1] - 215:24</p> <p>completion [1] - 219:5</p> <p>computer [19] - 45:8, 50:21, 148:24, 152:5, 163:13, 166:21, 173:2, 173:11, 173:16, 173:19, 174:3, 203:21, 248:20, 249:6, 249:9, 249:11, 249:13, 254:17</p> <p>computer-aided [1] - 254:17</p> <p>concerning [6] - 9:12, 41:3, 186:19, 187:3, 187:8, 254:14</p> <p>concerns [1] - 207:25</p> <p>conclusion [1] - 211:10</p> <p>conclusions [1] - 247:16</p> <p>conditions [2] - 38:7, 211:3</p> <p>conduct [1] - 67:18</p> <p>conducting [1] - 145:16</p> <p>conducts [1] - 82:22</p> <p>Conduit [1] - 74:7</p> <p>confined [2] - 239:17, 244:14</p> <p>confirmed [1] - 211:10</p> <p>confirms [1] - 35:5</p> <p>confused [1] - 130:6</p> <p>congressional [21] - 96:10, 96:23, 155:24, 156:3, 156:17, 156:22, 157:4, 157:13, 157:16, 157:22, 158:3,</p>	<p>158:14, 158:18, 158:22, 188:23, 188:24, 189:2, 208:13, 252:11, 252:17, 252:21</p> <p>Congressional [1] - 92:4</p> <p>congressmen [1] - 158:5</p> <p>conjunction [1] - 156:13</p> <p>connection [1] - 35:6</p> <p>consider [2] - 229:13, 229:17</p> <p>considerations [1] - 217:12</p> <p>considered [2] - 154:8, 229:25</p> <p>consist [1] - 167:21</p> <p>consists [2] - 194:23, 196:1</p> <p>consolidated [1] - 104:12</p> <p>constitutes [4] - 119:7, 181:8, 182:10, 183:16</p> <p>constitutional [5] - 85:17, 92:3, 96:9, 96:22, 156:21</p> <p>consult [3] - 198:1, 198:8, 198:14</p> <p>consultant [4] - 35:6, 73:20, 189:23, 218:20</p> <p>consultants [1] - 176:24</p> <p>consultation [1] - 35:24</p> <p>consulting [5] - 35:20, 59:17, 74:13, 74:22, 189:22</p> <p>contact [3] - 228:11, 228:13, 241:7</p> <p>contained [11] - 19:14, 21:19, 22:4, 90:10, 107:6, 169:24, 170:1, 234:24, 235:5, 236:4, 247:20</p> <p>containing [1] - 32:7</p> <p>content [1] - 228:6</p> <p>contest [2] - 74:20, 74:24</p> <p>context [2] - 81:7, 83:18</p> <p>contiguity [1] - 226:14</p> <p>contiguous [1] - 207:25</p> <p>continuation [1] - 187:22</p> <p>continue [2] - 75:23,</p>	<p>232:12</p> <p>Continued [4] - 1:17, 4:1, 5:1, 7:1</p> <p>continues [1] - 70:24</p> <p>continuing [2] - 9:6, 36:6</p> <p>continuous [1] - 237:14</p> <p>contract [4] - 56:21, 56:22, 56:25, 59:12</p> <p>contracted [1] - 73:19</p> <p>control [3] - 16:4, 16:17, 17:6</p> <p>controlled [1] - 60:12</p> <p>controversy [1] - 254:14</p> <p>conversation [12] - 128:4, 128:7, 128:11, 176:17, 200:12, 200:18, 200:20, 201:3, 201:15, 215:15, 228:8, 246:16</p> <p>conversations [72] - 94:12, 111:2, 113:20, 114:1, 114:12, 114:16, 114:19, 115:2, 115:9, 115:17, 115:23, 116:4, 116:16, 120:18, 120:22, 126:20, 127:8, 127:11, 127:20, 128:19, 129:3, 129:6, 129:7, 129:9, 131:8, 131:12, 131:13, 136:12, 142:10, 142:15, 142:18, 146:11, 146:12, 147:12, 150:24, 157:15, 158:2, 174:12, 176:22, 178:18, 180:15, 181:3, 191:23, 193:12, 193:15, 193:20, 195:17, 196:21, 198:24, 199:5, 199:16, 199:19, 199:22, 201:24, 202:6, 202:9, 213:25, 214:9, 214:11, 214:15, 218:4, 218:10, 218:13, 220:20, 227:9, 227:11, 227:14, 228:19, 243:5, 244:19, 245:1, 246:9</p> <p>conveyed [4] - 80:6, 80:13, 80:14, 81:23</p>	<p>copied [1] - 37:23</p> <p>copies [14] - 5:7, 17:20, 107:17, 139:3, 172:21, 223:4, 232:23, 232:25, 248:6, 249:4, 249:8, 249:10, 250:1, 250:4</p> <p>copy [27] - 12:25, 13:24, 15:17, 21:4, 21:21, 22:10, 22:15, 23:21, 23:24, 32:2, 50:21, 50:22, 54:21, 84:17, 100:25, 103:24, 104:9, 104:17, 105:1, 106:13, 106:18, 108:6, 108:13, 190:23, 191:1, 191:4, 206:14</p> <p>core [7] - 94:5, 97:14, 207:23, 208:22, 208:23, 209:3, 209:19</p> <p>corner [3] - 24:15, 162:2, 164:3</p> <p>correct [198] - 12:12, 14:7, 14:10, 14:16, 15:7, 15:14, 18:24, 19:1, 21:3, 21:16, 21:17, 22:1, 22:24, 26:7, 26:8, 30:11, 30:16, 31:19, 32:14, 32:23, 35:21, 36:7, 36:10, 36:11, 37:18, 37:21, 38:22, 39:5, 40:1, 44:14, 47:24, 48:1, 48:2, 48:5, 48:23, 48:24, 49:12, 50:25, 51:17, 52:8, 52:20, 52:21, 52:23, 53:14, 53:19, 53:23, 53:24, 54:6, 54:17, 55:13, 55:22, 55:25, 57:23, 58:17, 58:18, 60:10, 60:13, 60:17, 60:19, 60:21, 62:23, 63:4, 63:6, 63:14, 64:2, 64:5, 64:9, 64:19, 65:25, 66:2, 66:5, 66:6, 66:13, 67:6, 67:21, 68:4, 68:14, 69:2, 70:21, 71:8, 71:22, 71:25, 72:6, 73:2, 75:2, 76:13, 77:12, 83:15, 85:25, 86:15, 86:16, 86:17, 87:8, 87:9, 88:12, 88:13, 88:15, 88:16, 88:18, 88:19, 88:24, 88:25, 94:10,</p>	<p>95:14, 109:21, 111:17, 113:18, 113:19, 119:21, 120:15, 122:2, 122:6, 125:11, 131:21, 131:24, 132:9, 132:12, 132:14, 132:22, 138:23, 140:19, 146:25, 152:7, 153:17, 154:8, 155:25, 161:4, 162:9, 162:11, 162:12, 163:1, 163:2, 164:21, 165:1, 165:2, 165:4, 165:5, 165:10, 165:11, 165:16, 165:18, 166:13, 167:12, 168:4, 170:3, 170:18, 171:4, 172:22, 172:23, 173:24, 174:5, 174:24, 176:15, 179:3, 179:16, 180:7, 190:2, 197:24, 197:25, 198:12, 204:22, 204:23, 205:2, 211:1, 220:7, 220:22, 221:10, 221:21, 221:24, 223:9, 225:10, 233:25, 234:1, 234:15, 234:16, 235:1, 235:2, 235:6, 235:13, 235:17, 237:17, 238:10, 238:11, 238:20, 238:21, 239:2, 239:9, 240:9, 240:21, 242:7, 242:10, 242:15, 242:16, 242:25, 244:3, 246:4, 247:4, 252:5</p> <p>correction [2] - 87:13, 252:11</p> <p>correspondence [2] - 139:3, 145:13</p> <p>Counsel [2] - 2:1, 2:16</p> <p>counsel [73] - 5:7, 8:17, 8:24, 9:19, 10:3, 14:2, 16:23, 17:13, 18:10, 27:15, 30:7, 30:8, 41:8, 46:5, 46:6, 46:7, 61:16, 61:22, 78:21, 78:23, 84:11, 85:6, 85:15, 89:3, 97:4, 98:16, 105:15, 105:24, 106:3, 111:2, 113:2, 113:3, 113:11, 114:2, 114:5, 115:3, 115:18, 124:21,</p>
---	--	--	--	--

124:24, 127:8, 127:9, 127:25, 129:4, 129:9, 131:8, 131:10, 131:13, 133:22, 145:22, 148:16, 149:15, 150:3, 150:4, 155:5, 176:22, 191:14, 191:25, 192:1, 192:21, 193:12, 193:16, 198:25, 214:1, 214:2, 218:5, 225:24, 226:3, 226:4, 227:1, 227:3, 254:21, 254:24 counsel's [52] - 41:11, 79:14, 82:25, 83:7, 85:14, 89:20, 90:2, 92:19, 93:21, 94:18, 95:22, 98:20, 99:10, 99:24, 102:3, 102:16, 103:16, 106:10, 107:14, 109:5, 113:12, 115:7, 119:16, 120:10, 121:2, 122:25, 127:13, 129:5, 129:10, 131:15, 134:4, 134:13, 134:19, 135:1, 137:18, 177:2, 181:12, 182:14, 183:20, 186:3, 186:5, 193:13, 193:18, 195:10, 196:18, 199:1, 199:7, 202:4, 214:6, 218:6, 218:8, 251:4 count [1] - 123:15 Counties [2] - 236:15, 236:21 counties [7] - 24:6, 161:24, 161:25, 162:17, 163:25, 171:11, 171:24 county [2] - 162:19, 189:4 COUNTY [1] - 254:2 County [32] - 6:12, 151:8, 151:12, 151:15, 151:20, 151:23, 152:2, 152:9, 152:13, 152:19, 152:22, 152:25, 154:7, 154:20, 163:5, 163:19, 164:12, 164:22, 164:25, 190:19, 194:6, 194:18, 195:3, 195:21, 196:3, 196:22, 234:4, 234:5,	234:9, 238:10, 254:10 couple [7] - 69:4, 70:11, 135:13, 145:17, 167:2, 167:4, 251:25 course [7] - 10:5, 11:1, 215:15, 228:21, 228:24, 230:2, 231:8 COURT [2] - 1:1, 161:8 Court [10] - 4:13, 6:6, 11:12, 48:5, 185:12, 190:18, 190:22, 191:6, 194:19, 210:13 court [21] - 11:25, 12:2, 12:14, 34:11, 53:4, 66:20, 71:5, 73:8, 73:11, 73:12, 91:5, 106:19, 123:9, 187:12, 188:12, 189:5, 191:2, 194:13, 210:14, 245:4 Court's [4] - 10:17, 119:14, 122:21, 186:22 courtesy [2] - 224:2, 232:16 courts [3] - 71:18, 73:23, 189:3 cover [3] - 13:6, 115:5, 196:1 covered [10] - 30:25, 79:10, 80:8, 82:23, 92:16, 105:17, 127:5, 128:23, 214:10, 251:9 craft [4] - 71:3, 71:13, 71:16, 73:8 crafting [2] - 70:13, 73:10 create [9] - 23:8, 23:10, 23:12, 24:9, 24:11, 51:6, 51:8, 51:12, 216:5 created [14] - 23:16, 23:18, 26:10, 26:13, 26:15, 26:19, 26:20, 51:5, 73:13, 165:16, 166:24, 171:16, 171:20, 171:21 creating [3] - 51:3, 61:8, 61:12 creation [1] - 85:21 criteria [5] - 50:14, 183:11, 183:14, 226:1, 226:11 crossed [1] - 163:12 current [4] - 55:1, 55:9, 55:18, 56:25 curriculum [1] - 40:5 custody [3] - 16:4,	16:17, 17:6 cuts [1] - 88:20 CV [1] - 52:19 D Dan [1] - 15:4 DANE [1] - 254:2 Dane [3] - 6:12, 164:25, 254:10 Daniel [1] - 8:15 DANIEL [1] - 7:9 data [43] - 26:22, 26:24, 27:5, 27:19, 28:3, 47:20, 47:22, 48:14, 48:15, 48:16, 48:17, 48:19, 48:20, 78:18, 79:1, 79:5, 79:7, 79:18, 79:25, 80:24, 81:4, 81:6, 81:11, 86:2, 86:4, 93:3, 94:4, 96:8, 97:7, 97:13, 99:14, 100:7, 169:6, 169:19, 169:20, 169:24, 170:1, 217:16, 217:21, 218:5, 218:15, 247:17 date [31] - 26:5, 26:7, 26:11, 27:1, 36:5, 38:10, 40:12, 53:14, 62:22, 63:1, 67:16, 77:16, 82:15, 115:20, 117:23, 123:25, 127:17, 127:20, 128:15, 128:20, 157:23, 189:12, 202:20, 202:21, 206:1, 214:20, 226:10, 227:10, 228:3 dated [13] - 3:25, 13:7, 21:6, 21:10, 21:20, 21:21, 21:22, 37:2, 37:17, 37:20, 55:5, 104:24, 197:18 dates [2] - 52:15, 142:25 David [1] - 203:14 DAVID [2] - 1:15, 2:14 DAVIS [1] - 1:5 days [3] - 38:9, 148:8, 189:1 DC [1] - 222:11 De [2] - 6:25, 164:14 DE [1] - 2:8 dealing [1] - 20:19 December [10] - 1:20, 6:13, 13:7,	13:10, 40:3, 54:9, 76:5, 187:19, 254:7, 255:4 decennial [13] - 26:25, 63:23, 75:5, 75:19, 76:17, 92:2, 93:4, 96:8, 96:20, 97:3, 97:8, 99:14, 100:7 decide [2] - 222:1, 235:25 decided [7] - 76:16, 76:20, 155:1, 219:3, 236:6, 241:1, 245:17 decision [10] - 154:10, 186:19, 219:7, 222:5, 230:13, 235:19, 236:10, 240:17, 243:19, 245:20 decisions [1] - 154:2 Declaratory [7] - 4:6, 4:8, 4:16, 4:17, 4:21, 104:24, 106:24 deem [1] - 188:1 deemed [1] - 38:6 defendants [20] - 8:15, 14:25, 90:19, 91:19, 105:15, 106:14, 114:2, 127:9, 129:4, 131:9, 191:15, 191:25, 192:1, 192:21, 193:13, 193:16, 197:23, 198:25, 221:1, 233:6 Defendants [8] - 2:3, 2:6, 2:17, 6:5, 7:8, 7:11, 7:15, 159:12 Defendants' [4] - 4:4, 4:7, 91:10, 106:22 defense [1] - 102:24 defenses [2] - 91:20, 159:13 Defenses [2] - 4:8, 106:23 deferred [1] - 155:5 deferring [1] - 230:7 defined [2] - 54:12, 84:19 defining [1] - 50:10 definition [1] - 84:19 degree [6] - 53:23, 73:23, 132:24, 133:3, 133:12, 237:1 degrees [1] - 133:13 DEININGER [2] - 1:15, 2:14 delay [1] - 10:14 delayed [1] - 215:2	democratic [3] - 123:4, 123:19, 124:6 democrats [1] - 123:6 demographic [1] - 35:24 demonstrated [1] - 73:9 denoted [1] - 231:11 Department [1] - 206:13 DEPARTMENT [1] - 7:7 depicts [1] - 233:11 deposed [1] - 90:16 DEPOSITION [2] - 1:18, 6:1 deposition [27] - 5:24, 9:5, 9:7, 10:4, 10:6, 10:14, 11:2, 11:20, 11:24, 12:19, 12:22, 13:22, 13:25, 31:24, 53:5, 66:4, 89:2, 89:6, 90:5, 90:13, 106:19, 108:22, 110:9, 187:13, 187:22, 254:17, 254:23 deprived [1] - 99:1 describe [3] - 19:3, 41:4, 225:21 Description [3] - 3:11, 4:2, 5:2 design [1] - 73:12 desire [1] - 75:14 desk [1] - 251:16 determine [1] - 26:18 determining [5] - 92:3, 96:9, 96:21, 156:21, 158:17 DEUREN [1] - 7:10 Deuren [1] - 14:6 develop [8] - 44:4, 44:6, 60:9, 60:15, 73:22, 74:13, 74:21 developing [3] - 44:17, 124:13, 175:2 development [5] - 85:16, 124:22, 175:13, 189:10, 190:13 deviation [7] - 93:5, 97:9, 167:25, 210:17, 210:22, 226:13 device [3] - 177:19, 248:23, 249:16 Diaz [6] - 221:1, 221:2, 221:4, 221:7, 221:11, 221:15 differ [1] - 236:19
--	---	--	--	--

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

<p>Difference [4] - 48:21, 49:3, 49:5, 49:6</p> <p>difference [2] - 167:25, 208:10</p> <p>differences [4] - 23:5, 219:23, 220:2, 220:3</p> <p>different [23] - 76:22, 152:25, 155:17, 164:19, 219:9, 228:9, 229:7, 234:5, 235:20, 235:22, 236:1, 236:15, 238:19, 241:12, 241:18, 241:21, 243:2, 243:9, 243:15, 243:20, 243:23, 246:10, 246:18</p> <p>difficulties [1] - 216:5</p> <p>dilution [1] - 101:18</p> <p>direct [5] - 51:8, 51:11, 148:10, 176:25, 217:2</p> <p>directed [2] - 17:3, 114:4</p> <p>direction [20] - 44:5, 44:6, 113:3, 115:3, 115:17, 129:5, 176:5, 183:10, 183:12, 184:6, 192:1, 192:22, 193:13, 193:17, 199:1, 214:2, 218:6, 226:3, 226:8</p> <p>directly [2] - 75:21, 175:2</p> <p>Director [3] - 2:1, 2:15, 105:17</p> <p>director [2] - 56:12, 56:18</p> <p>disagree [3] - 74:3, 208:5, 209:1</p> <p>disagreement [2] - 208:16, 208:18</p> <p>disclosing [1] - 79:12</p> <p>disclosure [2] - 40:20, 93:17</p> <p>disclosures [2] - 156:7, 206:12</p> <p>Disclosures [2] - 4:5, 91:11</p> <p>discontinuous [1] - 246:19</p> <p>discovery [2] - 107:17, 107:21</p> <p>discrepancies [1] - 173:6</p> <p>discuss [31] - 89:15,</p>	<p>89:23, 92:11, 93:11, 98:5, 101:6, 103:10, 115:1, 117:16, 118:19, 119:3, 122:12, 123:3, 123:6, 123:7, 126:12, 126:16, 126:24, 130:17, 130:20, 144:6, 145:6, 147:16, 226:25, 227:16, 227:23, 228:1, 229:19, 231:3, 237:9, 237:11</p> <p>discussed [25] - 69:11, 69:15, 89:1, 89:6, 89:9, 110:19, 112:2, 112:22, 120:4, 123:18, 125:17, 126:17, 130:11, 130:25, 142:24, 153:11, 186:18, 191:11, 192:9, 193:25, 195:2, 196:11, 224:12, 231:7, 248:1</p> <p>discussing [3] - 31:6, 124:7, 230:10</p> <p>Discussion [4] - 96:1, 159:1, 197:16, 232:18</p> <p>discussion [7] - 8:17, 70:2, 158:8, 200:24, 213:13, 214:24, 228:6</p> <p>discussions [9] - 95:16, 119:24, 135:21, 135:25, 145:13, 179:1, 230:5, 237:6, 242:20</p> <p>disenfranchise [1] - 215:12</p> <p>disenfranchised [3] - 99:1, 213:10, 213:14</p> <p>disenfranchisemen [5] - 212:1, 212:20, 213:2, 214:12, 214:19</p> <p>disk [2] - 18:3, 32:7</p> <p>displacement [2] - 212:8, 212:13</p> <p>distinction [1] - 38:25</p> <p>DISTRICT [2] - 1:1, 1:1</p> <p>District [7] - 6:6, 6:7, 63:3, 63:9, 235:6, 236:12, 239:20</p> <p>district [29] - 48:23, 50:13, 50:14, 95:9, 125:17, 126:12, 126:25, 167:23,</p>	<p>170:11, 175:17, 175:22, 176:2, 184:7, 188:24, 189:3, 211:18, 212:17, 224:19, 234:25, 236:5, 236:7, 239:18, 241:1, 244:15, 246:23, 247:1, 247:11, 247:18, 247:20</p> <p>districting [2] - 99:14, 100:8</p> <p>districts [111] - 24:8, 48:1, 48:3, 48:4, 48:25, 49:8, 71:3, 72:4, 92:4, 93:6, 94:6, 96:11, 96:23, 97:9, 97:15, 98:25, 99:15, 100:8, 102:7, 136:4, 136:9, 151:7, 151:11, 151:15, 151:19, 151:23, 152:1, 152:8, 152:13, 152:19, 152:24, 153:4, 154:6, 154:11, 154:20, 155:25, 156:3, 156:17, 156:22, 157:4, 157:13, 157:16, 157:17, 157:22, 158:3, 158:14, 158:18, 158:22, 164:20, 166:10, 171:25, 176:6, 178:7, 207:25, 208:15, 210:9, 210:10, 210:12, 211:5, 216:12, 217:4, 219:4, 221:20, 222:3, 222:20, 225:3, 225:11, 226:18, 226:24, 227:1, 227:16, 227:24, 228:2, 229:1, 229:9, 229:15, 230:3, 231:3, 232:4, 233:12, 233:13, 234:6, 234:15, 234:18, 234:20, 235:20, 235:23, 236:2, 240:5, 240:12, 240:15, 240:21, 242:1, 242:2, 243:3, 243:10, 243:15, 243:20, 243:23, 244:3, 244:7, 244:10, 244:13, 244:17, 244:21, 245:6, 246:4, 246:7, 246:11</p> <p>Districts [14] - 4:24, 22:21, 151:9, 151:10, 221:23, 222:2, 222:7,</p>	<p>222:8, 224:8, 224:12, 233:8, 234:10, 235:17, 242:25</p> <p>divided [2] - 24:7, 171:24</p> <p>division [2] - 161:18, 161:20</p> <p>divisions [1] - 162:22</p> <p>doctrine [42] - 31:1, 79:11, 80:4, 80:9, 80:18, 81:21, 82:9, 82:20, 82:23, 98:9, 98:15, 99:8, 99:22, 102:1, 102:14, 103:2, 103:14, 105:11, 105:19, 106:8, 107:12, 109:3, 110:24, 112:6, 113:4, 114:25, 120:8, 120:25, 125:25, 127:6, 128:25, 131:5, 137:12, 191:21, 192:17, 195:7, 196:16, 198:23, 202:2, 213:23, 218:1, 250:20</p> <p>document [53] - 12:18, 12:23, 13:9, 18:14, 19:14, 19:18, 19:20, 20:6, 20:7, 20:8, 20:9, 20:22, 20:24, 21:1, 21:25, 22:5, 22:19, 22:22, 24:5, 24:13, 24:14, 25:22, 30:20, 31:12, 31:13, 54:21, 66:21, 91:4, 91:9, 104:17, 104:21, 104:22, 105:1, 106:18, 106:21, 107:2, 107:4, 108:6, 108:18, 159:2, 159:5, 168:6, 191:2, 194:23, 194:25, 196:9, 197:17, 197:22, 206:6, 206:9, 207:4, 248:13</p> <p>Documents [3] - 4:10, 108:10, 108:16</p> <p>documents [45] - 3:14, 13:21, 15:23, 15:24, 16:5, 16:9, 16:11, 16:12, 16:16, 16:17, 16:19, 16:22, 17:2, 17:6, 17:9, 17:12, 17:16, 18:5, 18:7, 18:8, 18:11, 18:20, 18:22, 20:2, 20:4, 20:19, 22:3, 23:6, 34:12, 87:7,</p>	<p>90:4, 90:7, 90:8, 90:9, 90:12, 107:25, 108:23, 159:6, 159:12, 188:11, 194:13, 248:17, 249:2, 250:2, 250:4</p> <p>DOJ [1] - 111:3</p> <p>Don [5] - 3:18, 3:20, 3:22, 34:21, 37:16</p> <p>done [8] - 30:23, 76:10, 83:16, 86:24, 154:1, 172:18, 212:6, 245:13</p> <p>donors [1] - 122:5</p> <p>dot [7] - 138:20, 138:23, 139:19, 145:9, 177:13, 181:25, 184:23</p> <p>Doty [1] - 7:4</p> <p>Doug [5] - 9:4, 11:4, 185:8, 232:12, 232:14</p> <p>Douglas [2] - 3:12, 5:25</p> <p>DOUGLAS [1] - 6:19</p> <p>down [26] - 11:25, 12:3, 36:14, 40:8, 50:12, 50:13, 50:15, 65:6, 70:9, 71:1, 72:15, 73:4, 74:6, 75:25, 92:23, 138:2, 159:9, 159:14, 163:6, 165:9, 174:10, 188:18, 204:12, 204:13, 205:6, 238:22</p> <p>dozen [5] - 135:13, 135:14, 167:2, 167:3, 167:4</p> <p>dozens [4] - 44:11, 44:13, 46:25, 47:8</p> <p>DPW [1] - 2:12</p> <p>draft [5] - 68:21, 81:18, 82:13, 82:17, 159:17</p> <p>Draft [2] - 3:17, 32:1</p> <p>drafting [6] - 127:16, 189:24, 189:25, 192:13, 194:1, 197:4</p> <p>draw [29] - 70:8, 72:8, 151:22, 151:25, 152:8, 152:12, 154:7, 159:4, 188:17, 190:4, 207:10, 207:21, 211:22, 215:18, 215:23, 216:9, 217:2, 219:3, 219:9, 219:11, 220:10, 222:5, 224:18, 224:21, 225:2, 242:23, 244:1, 246:2, 247:16</p> <p>drawing [42] - 44:23,</p>
---	---	---	--	--

45:2, 45:3, 45:5, 45:6,
45:8, 64:7, 72:4,
73:16, 91:24, 148:17,
151:14, 151:24,
152:16, 156:3,
157:12, 157:16,
158:13, 158:22,
166:10, 175:15,
175:16, 175:21,
176:1, 184:7, 211:5,
216:13, 216:16,
217:5, 217:13,
219:14, 221:8, 222:3,
225:17, 229:15,
230:2, 230:7, 230:11,
232:3, 233:24,
239:19, 246:24
drawn [10] - 119:5,
152:5, 152:6, 153:4,
175:17, 224:14,
224:15, 228:10,
236:7, 239:20
drew [27] - 44:22,
148:13, 151:7,
151:11, 151:18,
151:19, 152:1,
152:18, 152:22,
152:24, 153:1, 217:8,
219:16, 219:19,
219:21, 219:25,
220:5, 221:19, 229:7,
230:16, 236:14,
236:19, 236:23,
244:6, 245:24,
245:25, 252:20
driving [2] - 216:20,
217:1
dropped [1] - 183:7
drove [1] - 216:15
du [2] - 162:7, 163:5
DUFFY [1] - 2:5
duly [3] - 8:2, 254:4,
254:12
during [33] - 11:1,
32:25, 33:1, 41:16,
42:9, 43:4, 57:18,
65:15, 67:11, 77:11,
110:17, 143:14,
143:15, 155:10,
174:7, 174:22, 175:6,
178:22, 182:18,
187:20, 200:20,
217:15, 220:21,
221:5, 221:12,
221:15, 227:11,
227:14, 228:18,
228:21, 228:24,
229:21
DVD [3] - 17:22,
18:4, 31:25

E

e-mail [42] - 137:5,
137:19, 137:23,
138:5, 138:7, 138:11,
138:14, 138:17,
138:23, 139:1, 139:3,
139:12, 139:18,
139:21, 139:25,
141:12, 141:17,
144:22, 145:2, 145:4,
145:13, 146:3, 146:7,
177:5, 177:12, 178:1,
181:16, 181:19,
181:25, 182:6, 184:9,
184:13, 184:22,
184:23, 184:25,
185:2, 248:3, 248:6,
248:10, 249:5, 249:8
e-mailed [3] - 145:6,
177:9, 181:22
e-mailing [2] - 177:8,
177:16
e-mails [10] - 138:10,
138:13, 177:19,
177:24, 179:4, 179:5,
228:21, 228:24,
248:17, 248:19
EARLE [11] - 6:22,
6:23, 185:8, 185:11,
185:14, 188:6,
223:17, 223:23,
224:1, 232:7, 232:14
Earle [4] - 3:5, 185:9,
223:14, 224:5
earliest [1] - 114:15
early [5] - 27:3, 64:4,
70:20, 72:5, 206:3
earned [1] - 53:21
easier [2] - 68:9,
215:23
easiest [1] - 115:21
East [5] - 6:11, 6:20,
7:4, 7:14, 254:9
EASTERN [1] - 1:1
Eastern [1] - 6:7
easy [2] - 105:23,
123:13
ECKSTEIN [1] - 1:5
EDL [1] - 162:3
education [1] - 54:3
effective [4] -
127:17, 127:20,
128:15, 128:20
effort [5] - 64:1, 64:8,
67:12, 75:4, 77:12
efforts [1] - 64:4
either [18] - 17:21,
18:4, 31:25, 47:14,

66:4, 111:2, 113:2,
129:3, 142:10, 179:9,
185:20, 190:18,
198:17, 199:23,
201:5, 201:8, 250:9,
251:16
elect [1] - 211:19
elected [4] - 62:21,
62:23, 63:2, 63:6
elections [8] -
125:18, 126:13,
127:1, 129:15, 130:8,
217:17, 217:22,
218:16
electoral [2] - 72:19,
74:12
electronic [3] -
160:22, 248:12, 249:2
eliminated [1] -
237:23
ELVIRA [1] - 1:4
embodied [1] -
109:10
employed [6] - 14:5,
14:9, 59:3, 59:6,
254:21, 254:25
employee [4] - 39:4,
42:24, 64:17, 254:24
employer [2] - 44:2,
50:7
employers [1] -
89:10
employment [1] -
76:21
enacted [9] - 189:14,
214:22, 217:10,
217:13, 219:20,
219:24, 221:9,
236:19, 242:7
enactment [2] -
189:12, 189:13
enclosure [1] - 38:8
end [11] - 73:5,
124:25, 187:10,
187:16, 189:15,
189:19, 206:21,
206:22, 206:23, 207:3
ended [4] - 64:5,
212:12, 236:16,
241:10
ending [1] - 87:23
ends [1] - 86:19
engage [2] - 177:6,
179:9
engaged [6] - 75:13,
83:16, 110:18,
111:21, 111:24,
218:19
engagement [14] -
35:5, 36:5, 38:17,

38:21, 38:22, 38:23,
39:8, 40:9, 54:12,
77:22, 78:1, 84:14,
86:7, 87:20
engaging [5] -
148:23, 148:24,
149:2, 178:6, 179:15
enter [3] - 87:15,
87:25, 109:20
entered [1] - 109:24
entire [3] - 25:6,
42:9, 66:24
entitled [4] - 4:24,
5:3, 5:4, 67:5
entity [3] - 56:23,
83:24, 88:20
entries [2] - 88:3,
88:14
enumerated [3] -
15:25, 49:8, 216:15
equal [10] - 162:8,
162:11, 162:15,
207:24, 209:23,
210:2, 210:9, 210:11,
210:22, 243:18
equals [2] - 163:4,
163:17
equivalent [1] -
172:14
ERIC [1] - 7:16
Eric [13] - 3:14, 3:19,
3:20, 3:21, 37:15,
42:3, 46:7, 61:20,
174:20, 186:17,
227:6, 231:10, 251:21
ERICA [1] - 2:9
Erpenbach [1] -
216:11
error [1] - 206:8
Esenberg [1] -
227:17
established [3] -
40:8, 83:13, 127:17
estimate [3] - 101:4,
147:9, 218:25
et [6] - 6:3, 6:5, 6:21,
6:25, 10:11, 246:19
EVANJELINA [1] -
1:4
eventually [1] -
125:15
evident [1] - 70:15
exact [6] - 47:7,
65:13, 77:16, 123:25,
128:13, 135:12
exactly [6] - 13:5,
49:16, 50:11, 56:20,
135:17, 229:11
examination [2] -
232:13, 254:16

EXAMINATION [4] -
8:5, 224:4, 233:15,
252:1
Examination [3] -
3:4, 3:5, 3:6
examined [1] -
254:15
example [5] - 84:16,
163:22, 168:8, 172:1,
172:3
excerpt [1] - 66:23
Excerpts [1] - 4:3
excerpts [2] - 66:25,
67:5
exchanged [1] -
177:24
excuse [1] - 94:16
Executive [1] -
105:16
exhibit [9] - 17:18,
17:20, 24:3, 34:3,
67:15, 68:10, 104:14,
196:7, 223:3
Exhibit [141] - 12:15,
12:16, 12:19, 12:25,
13:7, 13:13, 13:14,
13:15, 13:24, 15:22,
15:25, 16:18, 17:7,
17:10, 17:21, 17:22,
17:23, 18:1, 18:3,
18:11, 18:21, 19:8,
19:10, 19:13, 19:17,
19:20, 19:24, 20:20,
20:22, 21:13, 21:15,
21:19, 22:4, 24:2,
25:23, 25:25, 26:4,
26:9, 26:10, 31:14,
31:24, 32:3, 32:6,
34:5, 34:9, 34:17,
34:18, 34:20, 34:23,
34:25, 37:15, 37:16,
37:17, 37:20, 38:19,
47:23, 48:14, 53:1,
53:2, 53:5, 53:8,
54:19, 54:22, 66:18,
66:20, 66:22, 67:1,
67:24, 69:25, 84:16,
86:9, 87:7, 87:11,
87:12, 87:14, 88:8,
88:10, 91:2, 91:5,
91:13, 91:16, 96:4,
98:14, 104:15,
104:18, 104:22,
105:1, 106:16,
106:20, 107:7, 108:4,
108:7, 108:13, 156:6,
159:3, 161:3, 161:6,
161:14, 168:7,
168:13, 169:21,
170:2, 172:2, 172:11,

188:9, 188:15, 190:3, 190:9, 190:24, 191:1, 191:3, 191:24, 192:13, 193:25, 194:1, 194:9, 194:17, 195:19, 195:25, 196:1, 196:8, 196:11, 196:21, 197:5, 197:14, 202:19, 206:4, 207:4, 232:19, 233:7, 233:10, 233:23, 234:3, 238:4, 238:5, 238:8 Exhibits [11] - 5:6, 5:7, 19:15, 34:14, 37:11, 37:12, 38:2, 38:20, 90:10, 188:12, 194:14 exhibits [7] - 18:1, 34:8, 34:12, 191:7, 191:8, 194:23, 196:5 exist [1] - 136:15 existing [4] - 50:13, 222:14, 230:16, 230:20 expect [1] - 103:19 expediting [1] - 115:15 Expert [1] - 159:14 expert [6] - 77:18, 77:23, 176:23, 181:10, 220:24, 240:2 experts [1] - 176:23 expires [1] - 255:8 explain [1] - 216:24 exposed [1] - 70:13 expressed [1] - 209:15 extent [74] - 10:6, 14:22, 16:24, 27:13, 29:24, 30:22, 31:1, 33:4, 33:6, 40:19, 41:9, 43:12, 60:24, 80:15, 82:5, 82:20, 84:7, 92:15, 94:4, 95:8, 95:10, 96:14, 97:1, 97:14, 97:19, 97:25, 98:2, 98:11, 102:21, 105:8, 105:13, 106:6, 109:13, 110:21, 111:11, 112:17, 112:25, 113:6, 113:10, 113:25, 114:3, 114:22, 114:25, 122:16, 122:19, 125:23, 125:25, 126:4, 127:6, 128:22, 128:25, 131:2, 131:6, 131:7,	133:24, 137:10, 137:14, 142:20, 154:14, 191:19, 192:14, 193:14, 195:4, 196:13, 196:16, 198:20, 198:23, 201:22, 213:20, 213:24, 217:23, 218:1, 248:25, 250:17 F Facebook [2] - 117:10, 117:13 fact [7] - 76:14, 87:5, 97:7, 97:11, 101:16, 230:19, 245:13 factor [2] - 211:21, 217:12 failure [2] - 38:8, 41:4 fair [3] - 86:1, 220:9, 223:16 fall [5] - 193:24, 196:23, 199:19, 206:2, 206:3 falls [2] - 122:19, 192:7 fame [1] - 68:6 family [4] - 121:22, 122:1, 122:4, 122:9 fancy [1] - 107:23 far [13] - 71:9, 86:22, 105:12, 110:1, 111:1, 131:12, 172:8, 178:9, 242:11, 252:14, 252:15, 252:16, 252:19 fashion [2] - 10:25, 71:5 favorable [2] - 60:16, 73:24 FDL [7] - 162:4, 162:5, 162:9, 162:15, 163:4, 163:9 feature [1] - 117:13 features [1] - 220:16 February [27] - 21:20, 21:21, 21:22, 35:1, 35:15, 37:3, 37:6, 37:7, 37:18, 37:20, 40:9, 41:16, 42:9, 83:22, 86:17, 87:20, 110:2, 110:6, 110:18, 111:21, 121:6, 169:16, 176:15, 218:20, 219:1, 221:8	federal [4] - 71:17, 73:8, 123:8, 210:14 fee [1] - 86:11 feedback [1] - 152:21 fees [1] - 86:14 fell [1] - 16:4 few [7] - 128:13, 147:8, 147:10, 147:11, 185:12, 206:5, 237:12 fewer [2] - 215:12, 244:16 fiancee [2] - 75:16, 75:17 file [4] - 26:18, 31:17, 52:22, 249:22 File [1] - 1:12 filed [19] - 5:24, 9:25, 11:7, 11:9, 78:3, 81:8, 81:11, 104:3, 104:10, 106:14, 186:21, 189:3, 189:4, 191:5, 194:5, 194:18, 196:2, 197:2 Files [1] - 32:2 files [9] - 19:19, 22:11, 29:9, 29:14, 29:15, 29:18, 29:20, 29:22, 30:8 filing [4] - 189:6, 191:9, 192:9, 195:2 final [5] - 73:5, 81:16, 154:10, 219:10, 220:4 financially [1] - 254:25 finish [1] - 185:21 finished [1] - 231:15 finishing [1] - 223:15 firm [35] - 14:6, 14:10, 14:25, 15:18, 15:19, 27:11, 27:19, 27:20, 27:23, 28:6, 35:16, 36:24, 39:4, 39:11, 55:21, 62:5, 64:14, 73:20, 76:25, 78:24, 78:25, 79:1, 79:3, 84:9, 89:11, 132:4, 132:14, 132:20, 140:22, 204:17, 204:18, 226:6, 248:13, 250:10 firm's [1] - 52:22 First [4] - 4:9, 4:10, 108:9 first [21] - 8:2, 11:23, 13:1, 21:7, 24:13, 25:5, 31:8, 33:23, 35:3, 35:19, 73:17,	84:18, 93:15, 105:23, 109:23, 120:17, 191:16, 194:17, 233:21, 233:22, 250:15 fit [1] - 239:8 Fitzgerald [43] - 7:19, 7:19, 35:8, 35:10, 42:7, 42:8, 43:3, 43:17, 49:11, 49:12, 49:14, 49:17, 50:18, 50:20, 51:4, 51:8, 51:15, 51:20, 51:22, 51:23, 52:3, 52:4, 52:6, 52:13, 84:21, 84:22, 136:12, 137:4, 137:24, 141:9, 141:12, 141:17, 141:21, 142:1, 142:2, 142:6, 142:11, 143:5, 153:16, 154:2 five [5] - 13:18, 69:21, 147:25, 148:1, 223:24 five-minute [1] - 69:21 fix [2] - 69:22, 247:4 flat [1] - 86:21 flip [5] - 21:9, 67:14, 68:7, 108:17, 156:11 fly [1] - 147:20 focus [2] - 74:11, 234:2 fold [1] - 233:17 folder [1] - 248:12 FOLEY [1] - 7:13 follow [46] - 79:14, 82:25, 83:7, 89:20, 90:2, 92:19, 93:21, 94:18, 95:22, 98:20, 99:10, 99:24, 102:3, 102:16, 103:16, 106:10, 107:14, 109:5, 113:12, 115:7, 119:16, 120:10, 121:2, 122:25, 127:13, 129:10, 131:15, 134:4, 134:13, 134:19, 134:25, 165:6, 168:20, 181:12, 182:14, 183:20, 186:3, 186:5, 189:16, 192:4, 195:10, 196:18, 199:7, 202:4, 218:8, 251:3 followed [1] - 59:10 following [15] - 41:11, 49:8, 63:23, 75:11, 75:19, 77:5,	85:8, 85:16, 124:22, 157:1, 159:12, 175:14, 187:21, 193:18, 254:11 follows [3] - 8:3, 71:1, 73:7 Foltz [85] - 42:3, 45:22, 46:1, 46:14, 46:18, 46:22, 47:3, 47:11, 47:14, 50:25, 51:2, 51:11, 52:2, 52:7, 52:11, 119:19, 120:13, 120:19, 121:5, 121:9, 121:13, 121:24, 122:1, 122:4, 122:8, 122:9, 122:11, 137:4, 137:24, 139:11, 139:17, 140:3, 140:10, 140:12, 140:15, 141:2, 141:4, 141:6, 150:15, 152:11, 153:1, 153:6, 160:25, 165:17, 165:20, 166:17, 167:19, 169:13, 172:16, 175:20, 175:21, 175:25, 176:5, 178:5, 180:10, 180:13, 180:19, 183:24, 184:3, 184:6, 198:11, 198:14, 198:17, 199:23, 200:3, 200:4, 200:9, 200:13, 200:17, 201:16, 201:19, 202:10, 204:12, 224:7, 224:13, 225:2, 230:24, 231:10, 232:6, 244:24, 245:16, 245:19, 252:16 Foltz's [2] - 121:21, 203:12 fond [1] - 162:7 Fond [2] - 162:9, 163:5 forenoon [2] - 6:14, 254:8 forget [1] - 31:22 forgot [1] - 231:20 form [36] - 33:16, 33:17, 41:5, 43:8, 43:22, 44:18, 49:20, 60:22, 84:6, 90:21, 93:15, 96:13, 97:18, 109:11, 112:16, 113:8, 113:23, 116:1, 124:15, 125:8, 129:16, 129:20,
---	---	---	--	---

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

<p>142:19, 154:12, 160:22, 165:23, 209:6, 209:22, 210:19, 211:7, 212:21, 216:6, 217:6, 219:12, 243:16</p> <p>formal [1] - 54:3</p> <p>format [2] - 166:20, 169:10</p> <p>former [1] - 73:18</p> <p>formerly [1] - 132:11</p> <p>forms [1] - 179:9</p> <p>formulating [1] - 212:11</p> <p>forth [2] - 9:24, 181:22</p> <p>forward [3] - 15:7, 111:4, 146:7</p> <p>forwarded [1] - 71:17</p> <p>four [3] - 164:13, 168:1</p> <p>fracturing [1] - 236:24</p> <p>frame [22] - 27:2, 33:22, 57:7, 57:19, 58:1, 58:3, 58:16, 58:19, 66:9, 67:12, 69:8, 78:7, 78:8, 87:19, 116:22, 116:23, 123:16, 125:20, 135:16, 137:7, 141:14, 142:5</p> <p>frames [1] - 52:16</p> <p>Fredonia [1] - 7:23</p> <p>Free [1] - 58:9</p> <p>frequent [1] - 184:15</p> <p>Friday [1] - 187:18</p> <p>Friedrich [62] - 15:19, 27:21, 28:2, 29:17, 30:11, 30:14, 30:18, 31:10, 31:18, 33:24, 34:22, 38:14, 39:10, 39:19, 40:14, 41:18, 42:11, 43:6, 43:19, 44:8, 46:18, 47:3, 47:12, 49:11, 49:15, 49:18, 51:17, 51:21, 51:24, 59:9, 59:21, 60:10, 61:7, 61:17, 62:17, 65:19, 84:10, 87:3, 88:5, 100:22, 110:10, 113:18, 119:21, 122:12, 124:20, 125:13, 131:20, 132:7, 132:12, 133:14, 133:19, 134:10, 135:6, 148:9, 149:12, 174:14,</p>	<p>175:6, 175:7, 175:10, 178:22, 179:14, 200:11</p> <p>FRIEDRICH [1] - 7:17</p> <p>Friedrich's [14] - 32:11, 114:21, 119:2, 120:14, 142:16, 143:9, 144:5, 146:13, 146:20, 148:21, 154:19, 160:15, 160:19, 172:19</p> <p>front [14] - 11:11, 12:20, 18:5, 34:13, 53:6, 54:23, 84:17, 86:10, 91:6, 108:8, 159:3, 194:14, 220:5, 238:5</p> <p>FRONTERA [1] - 2:8</p> <p>Frontera [1] - 6:25</p> <p>full [4] - 70:6, 72:18, 218:23, 232:25</p> <p>full-time [1] - 218:23</p> <p>fully [1] - 149:4</p> <p>functions [1] - 82:21</p> <p>future [2] - 74:13, 74:22</p> <p>G</p> <p>GAB [1] - 105:16</p> <p>Gaddie [60] - 4:3, 42:17, 46:13, 64:19, 64:21, 64:22, 64:24, 65:1, 65:3, 65:11, 65:18, 65:21, 65:24, 66:7, 66:11, 66:12, 67:6, 67:8, 67:19, 68:23, 69:6, 69:15, 70:23, 70:25, 77:11, 77:17, 77:22, 78:5, 78:14, 78:17, 78:25, 79:5, 79:8, 79:18, 80:1, 80:25, 81:4, 81:10, 81:13, 83:9, 83:14, 83:18, 146:10, 146:12, 146:16, 146:22, 147:2, 147:6, 147:13, 147:17, 148:2, 148:10, 149:9, 150:5, 150:7, 150:19, 220:20, 220:21, 240:4</p> <p>Gaddie's [9] - 70:1, 71:12, 71:24, 72:3, 78:1, 82:13, 82:16, 83:4, 240:2</p> <p>galleys [3] - 68:17, 68:18</p> <p>gather [1] - 108:23</p> <p>general [1] - 39:11</p>	<p>General [3] - 2:1, 2:16, 7:6</p> <p>generally [15] - 69:5, 78:16, 78:17, 122:13, 126:20, 142:23, 143:22, 143:24, 144:2, 145:12, 147:16, 151:12, 159:25, 200:23, 250:15</p> <p>generate [2] - 228:21, 228:24</p> <p>generated [1] - 172:7</p> <p>geographical [1] - 50:16</p> <p>geographically [2] - 99:16, 100:9</p> <p>Geography [2] - 24:4, 24:14</p> <p>geography [7] - 170:15, 170:22, 170:24, 171:2, 171:6, 171:7, 171:10</p> <p>GERALD [2] - 1:15, 2:14</p> <p>given [17] - 11:20, 15:17, 102:23, 108:13, 110:8, 118:5, 118:12, 118:16, 167:7, 167:15, 193:25, 200:2, 201:20, 218:5, 226:9, 227:13, 254:18</p> <p>GLADYS [1] - 1:6</p> <p>glean [1] - 72:21</p> <p>GLORIA [1] - 1:7</p> <p>Gmail [1] - 138:18</p> <p>goal [6] - 10:13, 124:13, 124:23, 125:3, 125:5, 125:6</p> <p>GODFREY [1] - 6:19</p> <p>Godfrey [2] - 6:10, 254:8</p> <p>good-bye [1] - 178:25</p> <p>Google [1] - 117:8</p> <p>Government [8] - 1:13, 2:2, 2:12, 2:16, 6:4, 14:13, 105:25, 106:4</p> <p>government [6] - 54:5, 77:1, 95:11, 98:3, 210:7, 230:7</p> <p>governments [1] - 216:5</p> <p>great [2] - 12:11, 21:18</p> <p>greatly [1] - 130:6</p> <p>Green [1] - 164:13</p> <p>Greg [1] - 62:1</p>	<p>GRON [1] - 123:14</p> <p>Gronemus [2] - 123:10, 123:12</p> <p>grounds [11] - 9:23, 10:1, 10:8, 40:17, 89:17, 93:15, 96:13, 109:12, 111:10, 176:20, 187:5</p> <p>group [3] - 17:20, 83:24, 205:14</p> <p>guess [1] - 249:3</p> <p>guidance [2] - 183:10, 183:12</p> <p>GWENDOLYNNE [1] - 1:10</p> <p>H</p> <p>half [1] - 148:6</p> <p>halfway [3] - 70:9, 72:14, 188:18</p> <p>hand [14] - 17:25, 32:2, 34:7, 45:11, 48:20, 48:22, 66:20, 70:7, 88:9, 162:2, 164:3, 188:11, 233:18, 255:3</p> <p>handed [9] - 18:8, 54:21, 66:21, 91:4, 106:18, 108:6, 191:1, 194:12, 197:17</p> <p>handful [3] - 147:23, 147:24, 167:2</p> <p>handheld [1] - 177:19</p> <p>handing [4] - 12:18, 19:12, 53:4, 104:17</p> <p>Handrick [59] - 3:12, 3:14, 3:17, 3:19, 3:23, 7:20, 8:7, 9:14, 9:18, 11:18, 12:18, 15:2, 16:23, 17:2, 17:25, 19:12, 27:15, 32:1, 33:18, 34:11, 35:6, 40:21, 53:4, 53:17, 54:21, 66:20, 68:12, 69:24, 70:12, 72:19, 73:9, 73:17, 74:12, 82:21, 89:1, 91:4, 96:2, 103:25, 104:17, 104:25, 106:18, 108:6, 109:8, 155:10, 159:2, 181:9, 187:14, 187:20, 188:11, 188:15, 190:17, 194:12, 197:8, 197:17, 202:14, 211:11, 224:6, 233:17, 248:1</p> <p>HANDRICK [5] -</p>	<p>1:19, 3:3, 6:1, 8:1, 254:11</p> <p>Handrick's [1] - 3:24</p> <p>handwritten [14] - 22:5, 161:7, 161:14, 165:23, 172:1, 172:3, 172:10, 172:13, 172:25, 173:2, 238:1, 238:6, 238:7, 238:18</p> <p>hard [2] - 250:1, 250:4</p> <p>Harder [2] - 1:21, 6:8</p> <p>HARDER [1] - 254:3</p> <p>HASSETT [6] - 7:3, 8:25, 188:8, 251:25, 252:10, 252:23</p> <p>Hassett [2] - 3:6, 252:2</p> <p>head [4] - 11:23, 12:2, 234:22</p> <p>headed [2] - 48:21, 49:2</p> <p>header [1] - 13:14</p> <p>heading [5] - 70:5, 72:15, 74:5, 159:5, 233:10</p> <p>headings [1] - 170:2</p> <p>hear [6] - 44:25, 69:18, 180:2, 180:15, 184:2, 231:1</p> <p>heard [4] - 90:23, 91:1, 176:1, 224:11</p> <p>hearing [16] - 145:16, 197:9, 197:12, 197:24, 198:2, 198:3, 198:6, 198:9, 198:12, 198:15, 198:19, 200:7, 201:21, 215:19, 225:15, 225:16</p> <p>Hearing [1] - 4:22</p> <p>Heather [1] - 7:23</p> <p>held [8] - 54:8, 56:16, 57:16, 57:18, 58:2, 130:8, 130:14, 197:9</p> <p>hello [1] - 178:25</p> <p>help [2] - 143:25, 224:23</p> <p>helping [1] - 85:19</p> <p>hereby [1] - 254:5</p> <p>hereto [1] - 254:25</p> <p>hereunto [1] - 255:2</p> <p>high [2] - 54:3, 73:23</p> <p>hired [1] - 39:25</p> <p>Hispanic [6] - 168:3, 168:4, 168:12, 168:18, 168:21, 169:4</p> <p>Hispanics [2] -</p>
--	--	--	--	--

<p>222:2, 222:7 historical [1] - 245:13 History [1] - 190:11 Hodan [6] - 89:14, 89:23, 128:2, 128:4, 128:12, 128:16 hold [5] - 56:15, 130:17, 130:25, 132:24, 169:3 holding [2] - 133:13, 168:6 home [1] - 249:13 hop [1] - 232:15 Hotmail [1] - 138:19 HOUGH [1] - 1:5 hours [3] - 200:8, 218:18, 230:25 Howard [1] - 164:13 Hubbard [3] - 62:1, 62:2, 62:5 Hunters [1] - 57:12</p>	<p>127:7, 173:11, 173:12 III [1] - 1:5 image [1] - 136:21 imagine [1] - 45:15 implicit [1] - 72:22 impossible [2] - 220:1, 220:6 impressions [1] - 82:2 improve [3] - 225:25, 226:11, 226:20 Inc [1] - 6:25 INC [1] - 2:8 include [3] - 133:11, 221:23, 247:17 included [7] - 67:23, 151:7, 154:21, 155:4, 169:21, 172:11, 236:16 includes [3] - 151:10, 234:5, 234:10 including [2] - 91:25, 139:14 incorporate [1] - 11:6 incorporates [1] - 98:14 incorporating [1] - 11:12 incorrect [1] - 224:16 increase [2] - 125:3, 125:6 incumbent [2] - 241:12, 241:18 incumbents [7] - 240:6, 240:13, 240:15, 240:20, 240:24, 241:3, 241:6 independent [1] - 73:19 index [1] - 206:22 indicate [4] - 158:12, 163:19, 171:23, 238:19 indicated [2] - 173:20, 237:12 indicates [2] - 24:6, 238:16 indicating [8] - 20:6, 20:7, 20:8, 20:11, 20:13, 47:21, 194:11 indicating) [11] - 20:9, 20:16, 20:24, 21:1, 25:22, 30:20, 31:12, 161:2, 188:13, 188:14, 194:12 individual [1] - 10:7 individually [1] - 143:5</p>	<p>Individuals [4] - 91:21, 92:25, 93:25, 95:4 individuals [7] - 91:23, 92:1, 93:1, 94:2, 94:25, 95:5, 113:1 inevitable [1] - 212:1 inform [1] - 78:17 information [64] - 9:8, 9:13, 10:19, 29:25, 33:6, 40:20, 41:10, 41:13, 53:13, 72:20, 80:3, 81:20, 82:8, 82:19, 92:16, 93:18, 101:25, 102:13, 102:22, 103:13, 105:9, 107:10, 109:1, 110:22, 111:5, 112:5, 112:8, 113:3, 113:25, 114:4, 114:7, 114:23, 120:7, 120:24, 122:16, 125:23, 126:1, 126:5, 127:4, 128:23, 131:3, 137:10, 137:16, 166:3, 172:9, 173:4, 173:5, 173:18, 176:22, 182:12, 185:6, 191:19, 192:15, 195:6, 196:14, 198:21, 201:23, 204:16, 205:1, 213:21, 217:24, 221:11, 221:14, 250:18 Initial [2] - 4:4, 91:10 initial [1] - 93:17 Injunctive [4] - 4:6, 4:8, 104:24, 106:24 input [10] - 83:3, 107:5, 125:14, 148:13, 153:25, 192:12, 192:20, 194:1, 228:12, 228:14 inquired [1] - 215:1 inside [1] - 67:14 insofar [1] - 225:4 instant [13] - 117:3, 117:5, 117:12, 139:7, 140:4, 141:6, 142:7, 144:18, 144:22, 177:5, 179:8, 182:3, 186:11 instead [2] - 230:6, 230:20 instruct [53] - 31:3, 33:7, 40:21, 79:12, 82:24, 89:18, 90:1,</p>	<p>92:17, 93:19, 94:17, 95:21, 98:9, 99:8, 99:22, 102:2, 102:15, 103:2, 103:15, 105:11, 105:21, 106:9, 107:12, 109:3, 110:24, 112:7, 113:6, 114:5, 115:4, 119:12, 120:9, 121:1, 122:18, 126:6, 127:10, 129:1, 131:5, 134:2, 137:12, 139:24, 181:11, 182:12, 183:18, 185:7, 187:4, 191:22, 192:17, 195:8, 196:17, 199:2, 202:3, 213:24, 218:1, 250:20 instructed [5] - 41:8, 82:3, 98:16, 113:10, 193:10 instruction [38] - 41:11, 79:14, 82:25, 83:7, 89:20, 92:19, 93:21, 94:18, 98:20, 99:10, 99:24, 102:16, 103:16, 105:12, 107:14, 111:1, 114:1, 115:8, 119:16, 121:2, 127:9, 131:9, 134:4, 134:14, 134:20, 135:1, 181:12, 182:14, 186:4, 186:5, 192:5, 193:19, 195:10, 196:18, 202:4, 214:7, 218:8, 250:21 instructions [16] - 95:22, 102:3, 106:10, 113:12, 120:11, 123:1, 127:13, 129:11, 131:15, 137:18, 180:22, 183:20, 199:1, 199:7, 227:13, 251:4 insure [6] - 93:4, 97:8, 98:24, 99:15, 100:8, 102:7 INSURE [1] - 93:5 insuring [2] - 95:7, 97:24 intended [2] - 104:4, 173:22 interaction [1] - 228:22 interactions [1] - 228:25 interchange [1] - 123:9 interest [5] - 75:10, 94:7, 97:16, 102:8,</p>	<p>231:7 interested [1] - 255:1 interpose [1] - 116:22 interposed [1] - 98:12 interposing [1] - 8:18 Interrogatories [2] - 4:9, 108:9 Intervenor [4] - 1:11, 2:6, 7:5, 7:15 Intervenor- Defendants [2] - 2:6, 7:15 Intervenor- Plaintiffs [2] - 1:11, 7:5 interviewed [1] - 67:21 interviews [3] - 67:18, 69:10, 83:11 introduced [1] - 225:14 introductory [2] - 94:23, 159:11 invade [3] - 80:18, 82:8, 202:1 invades [4] - 89:25, 102:25, 106:7, 107:10 invoice [3] - 21:6, 21:10 invoices [9] - 21:3, 21:14, 87:2, 87:6, 88:4, 88:8, 88:11, 88:17 involve [5] - 112:25, 114:4, 126:4, 127:7, 218:4 involved [23] - 63:25, 72:2, 72:4, 75:10, 75:15, 75:18, 77:21, 77:25, 79:7, 79:17, 79:20, 91:24, 93:2, 94:3, 127:16, 157:12, 189:9, 190:13, 212:10, 212:13, 229:25, 247:13, 247:15 involvement [2] - 111:18, 252:17 involves [1] - 35:12 iPhone [1] - 177:20 issue [6] - 99:5, 130:11, 155:19, 159:18, 174:4, 232:9 issued [4] - 55:12, 140:21, 185:12, 187:1 issues [5] - 69:22, 72:23, 94:14, 185:15,</p>
--	--	--	---	---

187:15 item [1] - 31:22 items [1] - 157:5 itself [7] - 28:23, 110:20, 138:18, 191:10, 194:24, 200:7, 248:20 J	JUDY [1] - 1:7 July [9] - 118:8, 197:9, 197:19, 198:12, 201:21, 202:11, 203:5, 203:24, 222:10 jump [2] - 159:9, 159:14 June [8] - 26:5, 26:11, 52:18, 81:8, 81:11, 135:20, 224:22, 225:7 jurisdiction [2] - 191:5, 192:10 Justice [1] - 206:13 JUSTICE [1] - 7:7 justification [9] - 238:23, 239:4, 239:6, 239:13, 239:19, 243:8, 245:5, 245:8, 245:11 justifications [1] - 239:10	112:24, 113:24, 114:22, 115:14, 116:21, 117:18, 120:6, 120:23, 124:15, 125:8, 125:19, 125:22, 127:3, 128:6, 128:22, 129:16, 129:20, 130:12, 131:2, 137:6, 137:9, 140:6, 141:14, 144:7, 191:13, 191:18, 192:14, 193:7, 193:10, 195:4, 196:13, 198:20, 199:4, 199:13, 201:22, 209:6, 209:12, 209:22, 210:19, 211:7, 212:21, 213:17, 213:20, 216:6, 217:6, 217:23, 223:6, 243:16, 250:12, 250:16, 252:25 Kelly's [1] - 33:12 KENNEDY [2] - 2:1, 2:15 Kenosha [24] - 234:4, 234:5, 234:24, 235:5, 235:15, 235:16, 235:19, 235:22, 235:25, 236:1, 236:3, 236:8, 236:11, 236:15, 236:21, 236:25, 237:7, 238:7, 238:10, 238:13, 238:16, 238:25, 239:7, 239:11 kept [3] - 95:8, 97:25, 204:5 KEVIN [2] - 2:1, 2:15 keyboard [1] - 45:12 kind [12] - 68:25, 71:3, 92:12, 108:16, 125:14, 136:21, 166:3, 170:1, 174:4, 248:16, 249:1, 249:18 KIND [1] - 1:10 kinds [3] - 170:13, 171:15, 171:19 knack [1] - 71:2 knowing [1] - 229:10 knowledge [20] - 51:12, 53:15, 72:21, 91:19, 96:4, 128:14, 132:25, 133:5, 167:14, 178:8, 205:4, 210:24, 216:8, 225:3, 229:12, 229:24, 230:4, 232:2, 235:2, 254:13	known [1] - 65:1 KRESBACH [1] - 1:6 L LA [1] - 2:8 label [1] - 32:1 labeled [1] - 3:17 Lac [2] - 162:7, 163:5 Lane [1] - 7:23 LANGE [1] - 1:6 language [9] - 38:11, 70:19, 72:25, 94:7, 94:23, 95:12, 96:19, 159:18, 189:7 LARDNER [1] - 7:13 large [5] - 47:20, 236:4, 239:8, 239:17, 244:14 last [12] - 13:3, 13:12, 13:14, 15:21, 21:11, 38:1, 38:3, 70:6, 159:9, 164:14, 185:25, 223:2 late [2] - 105:4, 195:16 Latino [4] - 229:14, 229:20, 230:1, 231:7 latter [1] - 119:10 law [41] - 14:6, 14:9, 14:25, 15:18, 15:19, 27:11, 27:19, 27:20, 27:23, 35:16, 36:23, 39:4, 39:11, 53:23, 53:25, 55:21, 62:5, 64:14, 73:20, 76:25, 78:24, 78:25, 79:1, 79:3, 84:9, 89:11, 91:25, 132:4, 132:14, 132:20, 132:24, 133:2, 133:4, 133:11, 133:13, 140:22, 143:13, 215:21, 226:6, 248:13, 250:10 LAW [1] - 6:23 Law [8] - 6:11, 6:19, 6:23, 7:3, 7:10, 7:13, 7:17, 254:9 lawful [1] - 6:2 lawsuit [7] - 14:16, 78:2, 90:16, 90:19, 155:19, 194:5, 196:22 lawsuits [2] - 190:18, 194:5 LAWTON [1] - 7:3 lawyer [5] - 62:2, 107:23, 132:17, 182:17, 211:12 lawyers [7] - 61:19,	131:20, 133:12, 150:8, 150:11, 174:13, 174:17 LAZAR [12] - 7:6, 33:19, 87:10, 98:18, 104:11, 206:7, 206:11, 206:16, 207:1, 207:6, 223:10, 223:14 Lazar [1] - 8:16 Leader [4] - 7:18, 35:8, 42:7, 84:20 leaders [4] - 153:11, 153:24, 231:11, 232:5 leadership [2] - 42:4, 42:5 learned [1] - 166:7 least [4] - 155:17, 189:17, 189:24, 206:14 leave [3] - 134:3, 211:12, 223:19 Leave [1] - 4:15 Ledgeview [2] - 164:16, 164:17 left [12] - 24:15, 48:20, 48:22, 58:25, 70:7, 162:2, 163:7, 165:8, 209:19, 223:21, 250:8, 251:11 left-hand [4] - 48:20, 48:22, 70:7, 162:2 legal [29] - 9:20, 30:7, 30:8, 46:5, 46:6, 46:7, 61:16, 61:22, 78:21, 78:23, 85:6, 85:14, 85:18, 85:20, 97:4, 101:20, 105:24, 124:24, 127:25, 145:22, 148:16, 149:15, 150:3, 155:5, 211:9, 225:24, 226:3, 226:4 Legal [1] - 7:22 legislation [1] - 100:19 Legislative [1] - 79:21 legislative [87] - 9:16, 19:6, 23:19, 24:8, 40:10, 42:4, 42:5, 43:5, 43:19, 44:13, 48:1, 48:3, 50:6, 54:14, 55:2, 55:10, 55:19, 56:12, 56:17, 57:4, 57:25, 58:6, 60:9, 60:16, 61:12, 62:13, 63:22, 69:6, 69:14, 71:13, 71:16, 72:4, 73:22,
--	---	--	---	---

<p>76:24, 77:4, 77:8, 95:9, 98:1, 119:7, 119:13, 120:1, 122:20, 135:8, 153:23, 159:17, 160:1, 160:8, 171:25, 175:17, 175:22, 176:2, 176:6, 176:9, 176:12, 178:2, 178:7, 178:10, 179:6, 179:15, 180:1, 180:23, 181:1, 181:4, 181:16, 182:4, 182:7, 182:19, 183:5, 184:7, 184:10, 185:2, 186:9, 186:12, 188:24, 189:3, 211:5, 212:17, 222:14, 222:20, 225:18, 231:11, 232:5, 250:5, 250:11, 251:11, 252:6, 252:9</p> <p>Legislature [7] - 91:22, 92:25, 94:1, 95:4, 95:7, 123:20, 159:16</p> <p>legislature [26] - 9:21, 42:25, 58:16, 58:21, 84:12, 85:15, 97:24, 98:23, 101:17, 101:21, 102:6, 123:4, 124:6, 124:21, 125:2, 125:4, 133:23, 135:7, 143:1, 143:17, 148:17, 154:16, 157:25, 159:21, 159:22, 167:16</p> <p>Legislature's [4] - 91:23, 93:2, 94:2, 95:6</p> <p>legislatures [4] - 154:18, 175:13, 239:23, 241:25</p> <p>LESLIE [1] - 1:5</p> <p>less [7] - 147:24, 147:25, 148:1, 183:1, 184:19, 218:23, 236:24</p> <p>letter [20] - 3:12, 3:18, 3:20, 3:21, 4:20, 13:6, 21:20, 21:21, 21:22, 34:21, 35:5, 36:5, 37:15, 37:17, 37:20, 38:8, 38:10, 38:18, 39:14, 196:1</p> <p>letters [9] - 37:23, 38:2, 38:4, 38:15, 38:19, 39:15, 54:13, 84:14, 86:8</p> <p>level [3] - 170:15, 171:2, 210:7</p>	<p>levels [2] - 171:7, 171:10</p> <p>license [4] - 3:24, 55:12, 55:15, 55:17</p> <p>licensed [6] - 54:16, 55:1, 55:9, 57:2, 57:5, 57:8</p> <p>Life [1] - 68:1</p> <p>light [1] - 119:14</p> <p>likely [2] - 75:6, 75:8</p> <p>likewise [1] - 11:6</p> <p>limit [3] - 111:23, 126:10, 250:13</p> <p>limitation [1] - 92:1</p> <p>limited [1] - 33:20</p> <p>limiting [1] - 80:25</p> <p>line [9] - 36:11, 36:13, 36:15, 163:14, 163:18, 185:9, 206:6, 207:21, 232:15</p> <p>lines [16] - 50:13, 50:14, 207:10, 211:24, 212:5, 215:19, 215:20, 215:21, 216:10, 230:8, 230:17, 230:20, 232:3, 236:7, 252:20, 252:21</p> <p>list [6] - 110:8, 112:19, 112:21, 113:15, 153:14, 231:6</p> <p>listed [4] - 92:9, 93:8, 164:7, 165:4</p> <p>listing [3] - 161:15, 161:23, 161:25</p> <p>litigation [18] - 9:22, 35:12, 59:19, 59:22, 60:2, 64:5, 66:2, 66:8, 77:14, 78:6, 81:7, 81:14, 82:22, 83:10, 83:15, 155:13, 195:21, 197:23</p> <p>live [4] - 28:11, 28:21, 28:22, 28:25</p> <p>lived [1] - 75:16</p> <p>living [2] - 58:24, 75:17</p> <p>LLC [1] - 6:23</p> <p>LLP [2] - 7:13, 7:17</p> <p>lobbied [1] - 58:6</p> <p>lobby [1] - 55:1</p> <p>lobbyist [6] - 3:24, 54:16, 57:2, 57:5, 57:8, 57:21</p> <p>Lobbyists [2] - 205:15, 205:23</p> <p>local [4] - 95:11, 98:2, 216:5, 230:7</p> <p>locals [1] - 215:24</p> <p>location [1] - 248:21</p>	<p>logistics [1] - 147:19</p> <p>longest [1] - 148:7</p> <p>look [49] - 12:5, 16:3, 17:5, 18:13, 34:2, 34:16, 35:3, 35:18, 37:11, 38:3, 55:4, 56:20, 68:21, 69:4, 69:25, 70:6, 84:16, 86:8, 86:10, 86:11, 88:7, 93:24, 104:19, 108:23, 136:7, 156:6, 157:6, 166:20, 185:20, 189:15, 194:17, 195:24, 207:9, 207:16, 207:20, 212:4, 225:24, 233:1, 233:19, 233:20, 234:4, 234:8, 237:13, 238:7, 246:17, 247:3, 247:12</p> <p>looked [11] - 16:15, 16:16, 30:10, 54:13, 84:15, 86:7, 87:5, 87:6, 207:11, 247:8, 247:14</p> <p>looking [10] - 48:12, 63:1, 136:18, 159:2, 189:18, 190:3, 196:8, 210:8, 247:16</p> <p>looks [3] - 162:2, 162:4, 164:4</p> <p>Louis [1] - 4:20</p> <p>lower [1] - 24:15</p> <p>lunch [4] - 155:9, 155:10, 155:11, 174:11</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <p>Madden [1] - 4:20</p> <p>Madison [26] - 1:20, 6:12, 6:20, 7:4, 7:7, 7:17, 28:4, 28:5, 28:8, 29:7, 29:10, 32:14, 32:15, 32:16, 32:22, 33:1, 53:18, 59:7, 62:10, 62:19, 63:11, 63:14, 147:21, 148:2, 242:3, 254:10</p> <p>mail [43] - 137:5, 137:19, 137:23, 138:5, 138:7, 138:11, 138:14, 138:17, 138:19, 138:23, 139:1, 139:3, 139:12, 139:18, 139:21, 139:25, 141:12, 141:17, 144:22, 145:2, 145:4, 145:13,</p>	<p>146:3, 146:7, 177:5, 177:12, 178:1, 181:16, 181:19, 181:25, 182:6, 184:9, 184:13, 184:22, 184:23, 184:25, 185:2, 248:3, 248:6, 248:10, 249:5, 249:8</p> <p>mailed [3] - 145:6, 177:9, 181:22</p> <p>mailing [2] - 177:8, 177:16</p> <p>mails [10] - 138:10, 138:13, 177:19, 177:24, 179:4, 179:5, 228:21, 228:24, 248:17, 248:19</p> <p>Main [4] - 6:11, 6:20, 7:7, 254:9</p> <p>maintain [1] - 29:9</p> <p>Majority [4] - 7:18, 35:8, 42:7, 84:20</p> <p>makeup [2] - 216:12, 217:4</p> <p>man [1] - 67:11</p> <p>management [1] - 248:14</p> <p>Mandy [1] - 227:19</p> <p>MANZANET [1] - 1:6</p> <p>Map [3] - 4:24, 5:3, 5:4</p> <p>map [64] - 19:6, 24:6, 47:20, 50:3, 50:4, 71:13, 71:17, 73:10, 73:13, 85:16, 102:24, 124:13, 130:7, 130:8, 161:15, 163:20, 164:1, 164:17, 165:13, 173:22, 216:16, 217:9, 223:7, 223:9, 224:14, 224:18, 224:21, 224:25, 225:1, 225:2, 225:5, 225:6, 225:17, 225:22, 225:24, 225:25, 226:12, 228:4, 228:16, 229:7, 229:8, 229:11, 229:12, 230:16, 233:10, 233:20, 233:25, 235:11, 235:12, 237:12, 242:5, 242:7, 242:13, 242:14, 242:15, 242:17, 242:21, 245:4, 245:23, 245:25, 246:23</p> <p>mapmakers [1] - 70:16</p> <p>mapping [1] - 85:23</p>	<p>maps [74] - 32:7, 32:17, 44:5, 44:6, 44:17, 44:22, 44:23, 45:2, 45:3, 45:5, 45:6, 45:9, 45:14, 45:18, 45:21, 45:24, 46:2, 46:15, 48:13, 51:9, 51:13, 60:9, 60:16, 61:9, 61:12, 64:8, 70:13, 73:8, 73:22, 74:13, 85:8, 91:24, 95:8, 97:25, 99:15, 100:8, 123:8, 125:15, 136:8, 136:15, 136:18, 136:22, 136:25, 148:11, 148:13, 151:7, 152:18, 152:21, 159:17, 175:3, 175:16, 175:17, 175:22, 176:2, 178:6, 215:23, 216:13, 217:2, 217:5, 217:8, 219:9, 219:14, 219:15, 220:5, 220:11, 225:9, 229:22, 229:25, 230:11, 233:4, 233:5, 241:22, 246:25, 247:25</p> <p>Maps [2] - 3:17, 32:1</p> <p>March [3] - 21:7, 27:2, 56:16</p> <p>Maria [4] - 8:16, 87:13, 206:24, 207:3</p> <p>MARIA [1] - 7:6</p> <p>Marie [1] - 223:18</p> <p>mark [13] - 12:14, 17:18, 17:19, 17:21, 19:7, 34:8, 34:11, 53:1, 66:17, 104:14, 190:23, 232:21</p> <p>marked [42] - 12:16, 12:19, 17:23, 18:1, 18:3, 18:20, 19:10, 19:13, 25:23, 26:6, 31:14, 31:24, 34:5, 34:9, 34:13, 53:2, 53:5, 54:19, 54:22, 66:18, 66:22, 67:1, 91:2, 91:5, 104:15, 104:18, 106:16, 106:19, 108:4, 108:7, 168:7, 188:9, 188:12, 190:24, 191:2, 194:9, 194:13, 197:14, 232:19, 233:3, 233:7, 233:10</p> <p>married [2] - 75:21, 76:15</p>
--	--	--	---	---

<p>Marshfield [12] - 246:3, 246:6, 246:10, 246:22, 246:25, 247:7, 247:10, 247:15, 247:18, 247:19</p> <p>Mart [2] - 56:9, 57:16</p> <p>master [1] - 72:19</p> <p>material [3] - 79:10, 126:2, 218:3</p> <p>materials [5] - 16:3, 17:5, 19:24, 21:19, 23:22</p> <p>matter [9] - 29:19, 35:11, 54:14, 87:5, 87:16, 109:24, 118:2, 133:21, 159:13</p> <p>matters [24] - 14:23, 35:24, 41:18, 93:12, 101:21, 133:23, 133:25, 139:8, 139:15, 140:16, 141:13, 141:19, 141:23, 142:3, 142:8, 142:12, 144:17, 144:21, 145:4, 145:7, 147:3, 183:25, 184:10, 254:14</p> <p>MAXINE [1] - 1:5</p> <p>MCD [3] - 161:15, 161:16, 161:18</p> <p>McLeod [49] - 3:14, 3:19, 3:20, 3:21, 11:9, 14:3, 17:14, 18:10, 18:12, 18:22, 19:15, 37:15, 38:13, 39:15, 42:3, 46:7, 61:20, 79:2, 89:4, 89:15, 131:23, 133:9, 134:7, 134:8, 149:16, 149:18, 150:4, 150:20, 174:20, 182:18, 182:23, 183:4, 183:9, 183:13, 183:23, 184:2, 184:5, 184:9, 184:12, 184:21, 185:2, 186:8, 186:11, 186:17, 227:6, 227:9, 229:3, 231:10</p> <p>MCLEOD [54] - 7:16, 9:1, 9:4, 11:13, 16:21, 27:12, 29:23, 33:3, 33:15, 40:16, 41:1, 41:21, 43:7, 43:12, 43:21, 44:18, 49:19, 60:22, 83:25, 84:4, 89:16, 96:12, 96:25, 97:17, 109:11, 111:9, 112:11, 112:15,</p>	<p>113:7, 113:22, 115:25, 119:6, 122:14, 133:20, 134:11, 134:17, 134:23, 142:19, 154:12, 155:8, 160:3, 176:19, 180:2, 181:6, 182:9, 183:15, 185:4, 186:17, 188:4, 188:7, 211:6, 211:8, 219:12, 251:23</p> <p>McLeod's [8] - 11:5, 15:18, 27:11, 35:16, 36:11, 38:18, 39:13, 78:24</p> <p>mean [8] - 27:20, 31:13, 48:3, 50:5, 50:24, 78:19, 78:21, 204:18</p> <p>meaning [2] - 161:21, 173:25</p> <p>means [1] - 162:24</p> <p>meant [2] - 75:22, 162:18</p> <p>measure [2] - 213:1, 213:9</p> <p>meet [12] - 65:7, 65:15, 65:18, 117:16, 117:21, 118:14, 120:13, 143:8, 146:16, 222:18, 231:17, 231:21</p> <p>Meet [1] - 68:12</p> <p>meetings [1] - 146:19</p> <p>member [3] - 121:14, 123:4, 123:19</p> <p>members [6] - 60:7, 106:3, 124:6, 141:11, 159:22, 167:15</p> <p>Members [4] - 1:13, 2:12, 6:4, 105:16</p> <p>membership [2] - 125:4, 125:7</p> <p>memorandum [1] - 21:22</p> <p>memorialized [5] - 92:5, 96:11, 96:23, 156:18, 156:23</p> <p>memory [1] - 110:14</p> <p>Menasha [3] - 164:23, 164:24, 164:25</p> <p>mental [1] - 82:2</p> <p>mentioned [26] - 42:13, 42:22, 47:9, 49:10, 51:15, 52:19, 57:17, 64:19, 77:11, 87:5, 100:3, 109:19, 113:16, 119:20,</p>	<p>131:18, 131:23, 132:8, 132:16, 132:22, 133:8, 174:19, 179:13, 182:17, 199:21, 210:25, 220:19</p> <p>mentions [2] - 209:4, 209:23</p> <p>message [4] - 182:3, 186:8, 186:11</p> <p>messages [6] - 72:23, 179:8, 229:5, 249:15, 249:20, 250:8</p> <p>messaging [21] - 116:20, 116:25, 117:4, 117:5, 117:13, 139:7, 140:4, 140:5, 140:13, 141:7, 141:18, 142:7, 144:18, 144:22, 144:23, 177:5, 177:6, 179:8</p> <p>met [8] - 65:9, 65:11, 67:11, 77:11, 77:15, 121:9, 146:22, 224:6</p> <p>method [1] - 144:25</p> <p>methods [1] - 228:9</p> <p>Michael [106] - 15:19, 27:20, 28:2, 29:17, 30:11, 30:14, 30:18, 31:10, 31:18, 32:10, 32:13, 32:15, 32:17, 32:22, 33:1, 33:24, 34:22, 38:14, 39:10, 39:19, 40:14, 41:18, 42:11, 43:6, 43:19, 44:8, 44:12, 46:18, 47:3, 47:12, 49:11, 49:15, 49:18, 51:16, 51:21, 51:24, 59:9, 59:18, 59:21, 60:10, 61:7, 61:17, 62:2, 62:16, 65:18, 84:10, 87:3, 88:4, 100:22, 110:10, 113:17, 114:21, 116:9, 119:2, 119:21, 120:14, 121:10, 122:12, 124:20, 125:13, 131:20, 132:7, 132:11, 133:14, 133:19, 134:10, 135:5, 135:10, 136:23, 137:1, 142:16, 143:9, 144:5, 146:13, 146:20, 146:24, 148:9, 148:21, 149:12, 154:19, 155:3, 160:15, 160:19,</p>	<p>166:16, 167:10, 172:19, 174:14, 174:21, 175:5, 175:7, 175:10, 178:5, 178:12, 178:19, 178:22, 179:13, 179:19, 180:1, 182:20, 182:24, 183:6, 200:11, 204:19, 204:21, 204:24, 231:1</p> <p>MICHAEL [3] - 1:15, 2:14, 7:17</p> <p>microphone [2] - 69:18, 69:22</p> <p>might [3] - 91:19, 131:13, 223:14</p> <p>mike [1] - 183:7</p> <p>Millis [10] - 3:18, 3:20, 3:22, 34:21, 36:22, 36:23, 36:25, 37:16, 38:19, 39:15</p> <p>Millis's [1] - 36:19</p> <p>Milwaukee [38] - 6:24, 7:11, 7:14, 28:3, 28:7, 28:11, 28:13, 28:18, 28:22, 28:25, 29:7, 29:11, 32:14, 32:17, 75:16, 75:18, 75:22, 76:21, 76:23, 151:8, 151:12, 151:15, 151:20, 151:23, 152:2, 152:9, 152:13, 152:19, 152:22, 152:25, 154:6, 154:7, 154:20, 158:5, 221:20, 228:10, 242:3</p> <p>Min [1] - 206:22</p> <p>Min-U-Script [1] - 206:22</p> <p>minimize [1] - 212:7</p> <p>minimized [1] - 212:19</p> <p>minimum [2] - 93:5, 97:8</p> <p>Minocqua [13] - 28:16, 29:4, 55:22, 56:3, 56:10, 59:4, 68:2, 75:23, 76:11, 121:24, 122:1, 122:4, 122:9</p> <p>minor [3] - 161:18, 161:20, 162:21</p> <p>minorities [1] - 211:19</p> <p>minority [2] - 101:18, 207:24</p> <p>minute [6] - 58:24, 69:21, 96:5, 104:19,</p>	<p>157:6, 211:1</p> <p>minutes [4] - 68:6, 223:20, 223:24, 237:12</p> <p>mischaracterizes [1] - 93:16</p> <p>misstates [1] - 49:21</p> <p>misunderstanding [1] - 16:24</p> <p>misunderstood [1] - 203:2</p> <p>moment [2] - 22:18, 25:15</p> <p>moments [1] - 128:14</p> <p>monitor [1] - 44:21</p> <p>month [10] - 36:4, 86:15, 86:23, 86:25, 110:5, 117:24, 117:25, 118:8, 124:1</p> <p>MOORE [2] - 1:6, 1:10</p> <p>morning [9] - 8:7, 11:18, 13:22, 16:10, 16:13, 17:17, 18:9, 19:25, 23:25</p> <p>Morrison [1] - 230:22</p> <p>most [2] - 104:9, 239:24</p> <p>motion [8] - 9:10, 9:11, 9:12, 9:24, 11:8, 186:20, 186:22, 186:23</p> <p>mouse [1] - 45:11</p> <p>move [3] - 75:25, 76:4, 188:1</p> <p>moved [3] - 76:11, 212:17, 215:22</p> <p>MR [224] - 8:8, 8:10, 8:11, 8:13, 8:14, 8:25, 9:1, 9:2, 9:3, 9:4, 11:3, 11:13, 11:14, 14:21, 15:3, 15:6, 15:8, 15:11, 15:12, 15:14, 15:15, 16:21, 18:16, 27:12, 29:23, 30:4, 30:21, 33:3, 33:8, 33:15, 40:16, 41:1, 41:21, 43:7, 43:12, 43:21, 44:18, 49:19, 52:25, 60:22, 69:17, 78:7, 78:9, 78:11, 79:9, 80:2, 80:5, 80:8, 80:10, 80:11, 80:12, 80:15, 80:20, 81:19, 81:22, 81:25, 82:5, 82:18, 83:5, 83:25, 84:4, 87:12, 87:18, 87:22,</p>
--	---	---	---	---

89:16, 89:24, 90:21, 92:15, 93:14, 94:15, 95:19, 96:12, 96:25, 97:17, 98:7, 98:17, 98:19, 99:6, 99:20, 101:24, 102:12, 102:21, 103:6, 103:12, 104:13, 105:8, 106:6, 107:9, 108:25, 109:11, 110:21, 111:9, 112:3, 112:11, 112:15, 112:24, 113:7, 113:22, 113:24, 114:22, 115:14, 115:19, 115:25, 116:21, 117:18, 119:6, 120:6, 120:23, 122:14, 124:15, 125:8, 125:19, 125:22, 127:3, 128:6, 128:22, 129:16, 129:20, 129:23, 130:12, 131:2, 133:20, 134:11, 134:17, 134:23, 137:6, 137:9, 140:6, 141:14, 142:19, 144:7, 154:12, 154:23, 155:6, 155:8, 158:24, 160:3, 176:19, 180:2, 181:6, 182:9, 183:15, 185:4, 185:8, 185:10, 185:11, 185:13, 185:14, 185:19, 186:2, 186:14, 186:17, 188:3, 188:4, 188:6, 188:7, 188:8, 191:13, 191:16, 191:18, 192:14, 192:24, 193:7, 193:9, 193:10, 195:4, 196:13, 198:20, 199:4, 199:13, 201:22, 202:24, 206:10, 206:15, 206:19, 207:2, 207:7, 209:6, 209:9, 209:12, 209:22, 210:19, 211:6, 211:7, 211:8, 212:21, 212:22, 213:5, 213:17, 213:20, 216:6, 216:21, 217:6, 217:23, 219:12, 223:1, 223:6, 223:8, 223:12, 223:16, 223:17, 223:18, 223:23, 223:25, 224:1, 232:7, 232:11,	232:14, 232:17, 232:21, 235:8, 243:16, 250:12, 250:14, 250:16, 251:19, 251:23, 251:24, 251:25, 252:8, 252:10, 252:23, 252:25, 253:1 MS [11] - 33:19, 87:10, 98:18, 104:11, 206:7, 206:11, 206:16, 207:1, 207:6, 223:10, 223:14 MSN [8] - 138:20, 138:21, 138:23, 139:19, 145:9, 177:13, 181:25, 184:23 multiple [6] - 244:2, 244:10, 244:12, 245:3, 245:6 municipal [1] - 226:13 municipalities [19] - 24:7, 95:9, 98:1, 162:19, 162:24, 163:20, 163:25, 164:7, 164:9, 164:13, 164:19, 165:4, 165:9, 165:10, 171:11, 171:23, 236:24, 238:24, 239:23 municipality [4] - 162:18, 173:23, 173:25, 238:20	244:25, 246:15 necessarily [2] - 116:15, 208:4 necessary [3] - 10:6, 11:1, 237:17 need [8] - 8:22, 12:1, 12:8, 31:21, 84:16, 110:14, 233:20, 237:2 needed [3] - 76:20, 215:11 needs [2] - 11:15, 78:18 never [2] - 149:4, 166:7 new [17] - 56:21, 93:6, 95:7, 97:9, 97:24, 99:15, 100:8, 102:7, 178:7, 187:21, 212:17, 220:13, 220:16, 222:20, 240:5, 240:12, 240:21 next [8] - 74:14, 74:22, 92:23, 162:9, 163:10, 163:24, 187:16, 187:18 nice [1] - 201:1 NICHOL [2] - 1:15, 2:14 nine [1] - 165:1 non [12] - 129:6, 150:8, 176:23, 181:10, 186:20, 193:23, 195:17, 199:12, 202:8, 218:12, 218:13, 237:14 non-continuous [1] - 237:14 non-lawyers [1] - 150:8 non-parties [1] - 186:20 non-privileged [6] - 129:6, 193:23, 195:17, 202:8, 218:12, 218:13 non-testifying [2] - 176:23, 181:10 none [3] - 58:4, 131:11, 158:23 North [2] - 6:23, 7:10 Nos [5] - 17:23, 34:9, 188:9, 194:9, 232:19 notarial [1] - 255:3 Notary [3] - 6:9, 254:4, 255:6 noted [1] - 180:6 notes [21] - 22:5, 22:8, 22:9, 22:10, 22:13, 22:15, 161:7,	161:14, 172:10, 189:16, 189:18, 204:1, 204:4, 204:5, 204:8, 204:10, 227:11, 228:18, 238:1, 238:6, 238:8 nothing [8] - 80:17, 125:10, 233:24, 252:4, 252:13, 252:24, 252:25, 254:13 notice [1] - 26:3 notify [1] - 189:5 November [78] - 14:24, 15:5, 15:6, 15:10, 30:24, 31:7, 31:8, 33:11, 40:12, 41:17, 42:10, 55:5, 81:2, 81:4, 87:23, 87:24, 87:25, 88:17, 102:25, 104:25, 105:4, 111:3, 112:9, 113:2, 114:5, 114:8, 115:3, 115:10, 115:22, 116:23, 117:2, 117:18, 117:19, 118:24, 120:2, 120:5, 120:18, 120:19, 121:7, 126:3, 126:6, 126:11, 126:23, 127:1, 127:19, 128:8, 128:19, 129:7, 130:15, 130:24, 137:16, 137:20, 137:22, 138:3, 139:9, 139:12, 140:7, 140:8, 141:15, 141:18, 141:22, 142:1, 142:12, 144:8, 144:9, 144:12, 144:17, 144:20, 146:11, 146:17, 146:23, 147:3, 191:6, 194:19, 199:18, 201:25, 250:22 number [21] - 23:6, 46:24, 47:7, 65:14, 108:17, 131:20, 135:12, 147:7, 164:7, 165:7, 165:8, 167:23, 167:24, 168:15, 170:23, 204:11, 213:9, 213:11, 213:13, 215:1, 215:6 numbered [1] - 13:18 numbers [11] - 22:20, 72:21, 148:23, 148:25, 149:2,	204:13, 205:3, 205:5, 205:7, 205:11, 234:21 O oath [2] - 8:3, 254:16 Obey's [1] - 203:14 object [35] - 30:21, 33:15, 33:19, 38:9, 43:7, 43:8, 43:21, 44:18, 49:19, 60:22, 82:18, 84:5, 89:16, 89:24, 96:12, 106:6, 107:9, 108:25, 109:11, 111:9, 112:15, 113:22, 113:24, 125:22, 142:19, 154:12, 176:19, 191:18, 195:4, 196:13, 201:22, 211:7, 213:20, 219:12, 250:17 objected [2] - 38:14, 129:10 objection [94] - 8:19, 8:20, 8:23, 9:7, 9:24, 10:4, 10:9, 10:23, 16:22, 17:1, 29:24, 33:4, 33:9, 33:12, 33:17, 33:18, 40:17, 78:7, 79:9, 80:2, 81:19, 83:5, 84:6, 87:18, 90:21, 92:15, 93:14, 94:15, 95:19, 97:1, 97:18, 98:7, 98:13, 98:15, 99:6, 99:20, 101:24, 102:12, 102:21, 103:12, 104:11, 105:8, 110:21, 112:3, 112:4, 112:24, 113:8, 114:22, 115:14, 116:1, 116:21, 119:7, 120:6, 120:23, 122:15, 124:15, 125:8, 125:19, 127:3, 128:6, 128:22, 129:16, 129:20, 130:12, 131:2, 133:21, 134:12, 134:18, 134:23, 137:6, 137:9, 140:6, 141:14, 144:7, 181:7, 182:10, 183:16, 185:5, 191:13, 192:14, 193:4, 198:20, 209:6, 209:12, 209:13, 209:22, 210:19,
N				
name [6] - 92:9, 93:8, 156:12, 157:2, 205:14, 207:13 named [2] - 90:24, 254:11 names [7] - 110:8, 110:12, 110:14, 110:16, 112:19, 112:21, 113:15 narrow [1] - 130:13 National [2] - 222:19, 222:23 nature [25] - 93:16, 120:22, 128:3, 128:4, 128:11, 135:25, 142:18, 145:12, 148:19, 150:24, 153:8, 158:2, 158:4, 160:24, 176:17, 181:3, 182:6, 183:12, 185:1, 200:23, 204:8, 204:10, 214:24,				

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

211:9, 212:21, 216:6, 217:6, 217:23, 243:16, 250:12 objections [9] - 8:18, 10:7, 10:12, 10:16, 11:10, 41:2, 41:22, 185:18, 187:2 objective [5] - 183:10, 204:10, 204:13, 225:25, 226:11 observe [16] - 44:3, 44:21, 45:6, 47:11, 47:14, 51:19, 149:11, 175:19, 176:4, 178:13, 178:15, 179:24, 180:5, 180:9, 183:4, 183:23 observed [3] - 45:17, 175:25, 183:9 obstruct [1] - 10:13 obtain [1] - 55:15 obtained [3] - 102:23, 114:4, 218:5 obviously [5] - 10:18, 12:4, 66:24, 187:23, 232:24 occasionally [4] - 131:25, 132:1, 132:2, 132:3 occupational [1] - 53:19 Occupational [4] - 56:13, 56:18, 57:3, 58:11 occupied [1] - 219:1 occur [4] - 116:4, 142:15, 146:19, 187:16 occurred [7] - 31:6, 52:11, 52:16, 114:20, 115:2, 143:2, 202:20 occurring [2] - 114:1, 201:24 odd [1] - 98:24 OF [6] - 1:1, 6:23, 7:7, 254:1, 254:2 OFFICE [1] - 6:23 office [19] - 28:4, 28:5, 28:7, 28:8, 29:6, 29:11, 29:13, 32:18, 62:10, 62:14, 62:19, 65:6, 116:9, 177:16, 204:6, 249:23, 250:2 Office [1] - 121:14 offices [34] - 6:10, 30:9, 32:11, 32:13, 32:15, 32:22, 33:1, 114:21, 116:11, 119:2, 120:14,	136:23, 137:1, 142:16, 143:9, 144:6, 146:13, 146:20, 146:24, 148:22, 154:19, 155:3, 160:16, 160:19, 166:16, 167:10, 172:19, 175:8, 178:5, 178:12, 182:24, 204:21, 204:25, 254:8 official [2] - 1:14, 2:13 officials [1] - 222:19 often [12] - 138:7, 140:15, 145:6, 147:5, 174:3, 178:1, 180:12, 181:18, 182:23, 183:1, 184:12, 184:19 Oklahoma [3] - 64:23, 65:7, 147:20 old [3] - 19:5, 47:25, 48:3 OLGA [1] - 2:9 Olson [1] - 4:20 OLSON [1] - 7:3 omitted [1] - 209:19 once [5] - 143:11, 173:4, 204:24, 205:5, 231:25 One [4] - 6:11, 6:20, 7:17, 254:9 one [50] - 8:19, 9:15, 12:7, 18:1, 20:23, 23:6, 24:8, 25:15, 29:3, 29:4, 29:13, 31:22, 36:10, 42:1, 42:2, 57:22, 72:11, 74:11, 84:4, 86:10, 94:23, 95:2, 119:24, 123:13, 141:10, 154:7, 156:9, 159:9, 164:14, 164:15, 164:23, 167:22, 170:6, 171:24, 181:7, 196:5, 215:7, 221:1, 223:2, 229:24, 233:6, 233:20, 236:4, 237:3, 239:8, 241:22, 244:5, 247:1, 247:10, 247:13 ones [11] - 20:11, 51:6, 152:25, 154:21, 155:3, 209:4, 216:14, 216:15, 223:10, 223:12 ongoing [1] - 56:25 oops [1] - 69:17 open [1] - 206:4 operate [1] - 166:9 opinion [10] - 105:14, 129:13,	129:19, 130:5, 130:9, 130:14, 130:17, 130:25, 212:19, 217:3 opinions [3] - 101:13, 130:4, 130:5 opportunities [2] - 74:14, 74:22 opportunity [5] - 15:23, 68:16, 99:2, 185:20, 211:19 opposed [6] - 27:23, 38:23, 76:22, 81:1, 141:10, 152:5 opposition [1] - 11:8 options [16] - 50:3, 50:4, 50:7, 50:17, 51:3, 51:9, 51:12, 52:3, 52:8, 52:12, 153:13, 153:20, 153:22, 153:25, 154:4, 155:2 order [11] - 10:17, 10:21, 119:14, 122:21, 184:17, 185:12, 186:22, 186:25, 187:14, 211:11, 231:17 orders [1] - 187:7 organization [1] - 55:18 organizations [4] - 54:25, 55:8, 57:9, 58:5 Original [1] - 4:15 original [10] - 5:6, 5:7, 5:24, 38:18, 39:13, 47:1, 104:7, 104:8, 191:5, 192:9 otherwise [1] - 105:21 Ottman [130] - 42:3, 42:22, 42:23, 42:24, 43:18, 45:17, 45:20, 46:1, 46:14, 46:19, 46:23, 46:25, 47:4, 47:9, 47:13, 47:15, 50:25, 51:2, 51:11, 52:2, 52:7, 52:11, 113:16, 113:21, 114:13, 114:16, 114:19, 115:9, 115:12, 115:23, 116:8, 116:13, 116:19, 116:24, 117:3, 117:16, 117:21, 118:15, 118:18, 118:23, 119:1, 119:25, 120:5, 137:3, 137:23, 138:3, 138:7, 138:13,	138:22, 139:1, 139:4, 139:6, 150:15, 152:11, 153:1, 153:6, 157:20, 157:21, 158:3, 158:7, 158:12, 158:16, 158:20, 160:25, 165:17, 165:20, 166:17, 167:18, 169:14, 172:16, 174:11, 175:20, 175:25, 176:5, 178:6, 180:10, 180:13, 180:19, 183:24, 184:3, 184:6, 198:5, 198:8, 198:18, 199:23, 200:3, 200:16, 200:17, 201:16, 201:19, 202:10, 203:11, 204:12, 207:17, 208:19, 209:4, 209:23, 211:24, 212:5, 214:17, 214:18, 214:25, 215:3, 215:10, 215:14, 215:19, 216:2, 216:11, 216:13, 216:19, 217:2, 224:7, 224:13, 225:2, 230:25, 231:10, 232:6, 237:10, 237:11, 237:20, 244:24, 245:16, 245:19, 246:14, 252:13 Ottman's [7] - 158:10, 207:22, 208:25, 209:20, 216:20, 216:25 outlined [2] - 210:12, 232:5 outside [24] - 81:6, 83:11, 83:18, 88:24, 103:3, 111:20, 114:20, 116:9, 121:18, 127:11, 136:23, 142:16, 143:8, 144:5, 146:12, 146:20, 160:19, 192:7, 193:15, 193:24, 199:5, 199:20, 204:24, 222:13 overhear [2] - 178:18, 180:18 oversee [1] - 45:5 oversized [1] - 233:4 own [14] - 15:19, 22:11, 23:22, 27:23, 28:6, 62:10, 62:14,	132:14, 165:22, 167:13, 205:4, 249:5, 249:9, 249:11 P p.m [1] - 253:3 package [1] - 23:13 packet [1] - 191:8 Packet [1] - 3:14 page [54] - 13:12, 13:14, 13:18, 15:22, 21:7, 21:9, 21:11, 24:13, 36:9, 38:1, 53:10, 67:14, 67:15, 68:1, 68:11, 70:5, 70:7, 70:8, 70:9, 71:11, 72:9, 72:10, 72:12, 73:4, 73:17, 74:5, 74:6, 91:9, 91:15, 92:8, 92:22, 94:9, 96:6, 98:23, 106:21, 108:8, 108:15, 156:8, 156:11, 156:12, 159:4, 170:6, 188:18, 206:6, 207:9, 207:16, 207:21, 209:24, 211:23, 211:24, 212:5, 215:18, 216:9 pages [14] - 19:1, 20:8, 22:4, 22:24, 22:25, 23:1, 23:8, 23:21, 68:8, 69:5, 101:4, 108:17, 206:11, 207:14 Pages [1] - 3:2 paid [4] - 36:4, 61:5, 86:23, 88:23 pair [1] - 241:2 paired [6] - 240:6, 240:13, 240:16, 240:21, 240:24, 241:7 pairing [5] - 241:23, 241:24, 242:4, 242:9, 242:21 pairings [5] - 240:18, 241:7, 241:11, 241:12, 241:18 panel [2] - 73:13, 190:5 Panel [2] - 4:14, 4:18 paper [10] - 17:20, 21:4, 31:17, 47:16, 47:19, 100:25, 136:19, 152:6, 152:9, 249:22 papers [2] - 11:7, 18:2
--	---	--	--	--

<p>paragraph [38] - 35:4, 35:18, 35:25, 36:3, 38:3, 70:7, 72:18, 73:5, 73:17, 73:25, 74:2, 74:10, 84:18, 91:16, 91:21, 92:22, 92:23, 93:13, 93:24, 94:14, 94:25, 95:3, 95:24, 96:5, 97:5, 97:6, 97:11, 97:23, 99:13, 100:2, 101:16, 102:6, 156:8, 156:13, 156:16, 159:10</p> <p>paragraphs [5] - 13:18, 94:22, 156:25, 157:1, 157:6</p> <p>parameters [3] - 103:4, 127:12, 199:6</p> <p>parcels [1] - 237:14</p> <p>pardon [1] - 227:25</p> <p>parens [1] - 35:10</p> <p>part [24] - 21:14, 23:18, 63:16, 77:2, 87:6, 96:7, 97:11, 97:12, 105:23, 150:25, 159:11, 159:22, 160:1, 160:7, 161:3, 161:6, 161:14, 166:24, 171:16, 217:19, 225:18, 226:3, 238:4, 238:8</p> <p>part-time [1] - 63:16</p> <p>partially [2] - 20:3, 203:9</p> <p>participate [10] - 70:14, 77:4, 78:1, 125:9, 149:8, 151:14, 190:12, 197:4, 225:17, 230:5</p> <p>participated [5] - 64:7, 151:17, 158:13, 158:17, 232:3</p> <p>participating [1] - 75:4</p> <p>participation [3] - 102:24, 225:21, 225:22</p> <p>particular [22] - 24:9, 48:17, 55:18, 68:22, 72:24, 75:9, 77:18, 83:24, 86:25, 89:13, 95:18, 157:23, 165:12, 165:19, 166:1, 189:10, 189:25, 190:14, 191:11, 197:1, 201:4, 246:1</p> <p>parties [5] - 107:18, 186:20, 187:25,</p>	<p>254:22, 254:25</p> <p>partisan [3] - 216:12, 217:3, 217:12</p> <p>parts [2] - 203:10, 234:23</p> <p>party [2] - 60:12, 123:19</p> <p>passages [1] - 206:5</p> <p>passed [11] - 100:20, 124:4, 143:13, 143:16, 157:24, 169:17, 218:21, 219:1, 222:17, 241:13, 241:19</p> <p>past [4] - 111:18, 217:17, 217:21, 218:15</p> <p>patience [1] - 10:22</p> <p>Patrick [2] - 89:14, 128:2</p> <p>PAUL [1] - 2:4</p> <p>pending [4] - 6:5, 11:11, 129:14, 190:17</p> <p>people [29] - 12:5, 41:25, 42:12, 42:13, 42:22, 61:23, 61:25, 78:19, 91:18, 110:9, 111:18, 112:20, 131:19, 133:8, 133:12, 150:10, 168:24, 170:7, 170:23, 174:13, 193:3, 193:23, 193:24, 195:13, 214:1, 231:6, 232:1, 246:18, 248:3</p> <p>per [3] - 36:4, 86:15, 86:23</p> <p>percent [10] - 167:25, 168:3, 168:4, 168:21, 168:23, 168:25, 169:4, 210:13, 215:2</p> <p>percentage [7] - 179:21, 215:6, 218:25, 219:2, 229:13, 229:19, 230:1</p> <p>percentages [2] - 222:1, 222:6</p> <p>Pere [1] - 164:14</p> <p>PEREZ [1] - 2:9</p> <p>Perez [1] - 227:19</p> <p>perform [3] - 32:16, 33:24, 111:25</p> <p>performed [4] - 32:10, 32:20, 32:21, 61:5</p> <p>performing [2] - 47:13, 82:21</p> <p>perhaps [5] - 115:15,</p>	<p>184:17, 185:16, 224:11, 230:18</p> <p>period [4] - 25:6, 41:4, 42:9, 112:9</p> <p>person [7] - 8:19, 83:24, 119:19, 142:13, 146:16, 207:13, 254:11</p> <p>personal [4] - 130:10, 138:16, 167:14, 205:4</p> <p>personally [8] - 14:17, 16:12, 79:25, 80:7, 141:10, 141:12, 219:9, 219:21</p> <p>persons [1] - 167:24</p> <p>pertain [1] - 155:18</p> <p>pertained [1] - 165:13</p> <p>pertaining [3] - 250:5, 250:10, 251:11</p> <p>pertains [3] - 164:1, 164:18, 250:21</p> <p>pertinent [1] - 185:17</p> <p>PETER [2] - 6:22, 6:23</p> <p>Peter [7] - 185:9, 185:10, 188:5, 223:14, 223:16, 230:22, 232:17</p> <p>Petition [1] - 4:14</p> <p>petition [2] - 191:4, 192:9</p> <p>PETRI [1] - 2:4</p> <p>phone [16] - 116:7, 116:17, 118:23, 140:18, 140:21, 140:24, 147:6, 176:12, 226:22, 231:22, 231:23, 250:9, 250:10, 251:16, 251:17</p> <p>phrase [1] - 74:21</p> <p>physical [3] - 47:15, 47:18, 249:22</p> <p>physically [9] - 44:16, 45:6, 45:13, 85:23, 109:8, 116:10, 148:20, 148:23, 152:8</p> <p>pick [1] - 147:18</p> <p>piece [3] - 31:16, 152:6, 152:9</p> <p>pieces [2] - 47:15, 47:18</p> <p>Pinckney [1] - 7:17</p> <p>place [2] - 48:4, 165:7</p> <p>Plaintiff's [1] - 4:9</p> <p>plaintiffs [6] - 11:4,</p>	<p>59:25, 60:1, 60:3, 60:6, 130:6</p> <p>Plaintiffs [8] - 1:9, 1:11, 2:10, 6:3, 6:4, 6:21, 6:24, 7:5</p> <p>Plaintiffs' [2] - 107:7, 108:8</p> <p>plaintiffs' [1] - 10:3</p> <p>plan [17] - 146:24, 158:6, 207:24, 211:25, 217:13, 219:24, 234:14, 234:18, 234:25, 236:18, 236:23, 237:2, 241:10, 243:24, 244:6, 245:7, 245:14</p> <p>planned [2] - 74:13, 74:21</p> <p>plans [25] - 74:25, 77:4, 82:2, 109:9, 110:11, 110:13, 119:4, 120:15, 124:22, 135:22, 148:18, 157:13, 175:14, 197:10, 208:7, 208:9, 212:12, 218:19, 219:17, 219:18, 219:21, 221:8, 222:15, 236:14, 241:17</p> <p>play [3] - 216:12, 217:4, 217:9</p> <p>playing [1] - 133:17</p> <p>pleasantries [1] - 178:25</p> <p>point [5] - 57:23, 119:24, 215:22, 223:19, 250:25</p> <p>pointed [1] - 237:20</p> <p>pointing [1] - 206:24</p> <p>Poland [9] - 3:4, 3:12, 5:25, 8:6, 11:4, 11:17, 115:14, 232:12, 233:16</p> <p>POLAND [62] - 6:19, 8:10, 8:13, 9:3, 11:3, 11:14, 15:3, 15:8, 15:12, 15:15, 18:16, 30:4, 52:25, 69:17, 78:11, 80:5, 80:10, 80:12, 80:20, 81:22, 87:12, 98:19, 103:6, 104:13, 115:19, 129:23, 154:23, 155:6, 158:24, 185:10, 185:13, 185:19, 186:2, 186:14, 188:3, 191:16, 192:24,</p>	<p>193:9, 202:24, 206:10, 206:15, 206:19, 207:2, 207:7, 209:9, 212:22, 213:5, 216:21, 223:1, 223:8, 223:12, 223:16, 223:18, 223:25, 232:11, 232:17, 232:21, 235:8, 250:14, 251:19, 251:24, 253:1</p> <p>political [2] - 64:25, 71:4</p> <p>pondering [1] - 231:15</p> <p>population [31] - 19:5, 49:7, 93:3, 93:5, 94:3, 94:5, 97:7, 97:8, 97:13, 97:15, 167:20, 167:21, 167:23, 167:25, 168:8, 169:1, 169:7, 169:14, 170:4, 171:14, 207:24, 209:23, 210:2, 210:10, 210:11, 210:23, 222:2, 222:6, 226:13, 239:8, 243:18</p> <p>Population [1] - 3:16</p> <p>populations [2] - 247:12, 247:15</p> <p>Port [5] - 29:2, 29:3, 75:25, 76:4, 76:12</p> <p>portion [14] - 18:19, 18:22, 20:16, 20:17, 21:1, 24:2, 66:15, 88:7, 88:10, 190:9, 203:11, 203:13, 225:4, 238:6</p> <p>portions [9] - 201:4, 201:8, 202:16, 202:18, 203:2, 203:5, 234:10, 235:4, 236:11</p> <p>posed [1] - 146:3</p> <p>position [7] - 9:13, 11:5, 54:8, 55:24, 56:15, 56:16, 76:10</p> <p>positions [2] - 56:6, 57:17</p> <p>possesses [1] - 133:2</p> <p>possession [4] - 16:4, 16:17, 17:6, 159:15</p> <p>possible [6] - 94:5, 95:8, 95:10, 97:14, 97:25, 98:2</p> <p>possibly [3] - 100:21, 136:2, 177:8</p> <p>postdates [1] - 15:5</p> <p>Postlegislative [1] -</p>
--	---	--	--	--

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

72:16 potential [4] - 35:12, 90:19, 90:25, 129:14 Potentially [1] - 159:6 potentially [2] - 99:4, 127:4 practicable [4] - 94:5, 97:14, 99:16, 100:9 practice [2] - 77:1, 77:2 practicing [1] - 133:4 pre [1] - 69:1 pre-publication [1] - 69:1 precise [1] - 214:20 precisely [4] - 168:18, 174:9, 200:6, 200:22 predate [1] - 88:17 predominant [1] - 211:21 preliminarily [1] - 10:24 premises [4] - 30:14, 30:17, 31:9, 31:18 preparation [3] - 85:7, 90:12, 148:11 prepare [7] - 87:2, 90:4, 159:20, 159:25, 160:7, 160:10, 172:25 prepared [1] - 237:2 preparing [1] - 66:7 present [58] - 7:22, 32:25, 40:13, 41:15, 41:20, 41:25, 42:6, 42:12, 42:15, 42:19, 43:4, 44:12, 46:9, 46:13, 46:17, 47:4, 47:5, 49:10, 49:14, 50:7, 50:17, 51:16, 52:3, 52:6, 110:9, 110:19, 113:17, 118:11, 119:1, 119:20, 131:19, 131:24, 132:1, 133:13, 135:9, 143:16, 148:21, 149:12, 154:18, 155:17, 174:23, 174:24, 175:16, 178:4, 178:12, 179:14, 179:18, 179:22, 179:25, 180:6, 182:18, 182:23, 197:12, 200:12, 200:15, 200:18, 204:21, 220:20	presentation [3] - 205:16, 205:22, 205:25 presented [16] - 50:18, 50:20, 50:22, 51:4, 52:2, 52:12, 153:10, 153:14, 153:15, 153:20, 153:22, 153:23, 154:1, 154:5, 155:3, 225:10 presenting [2] - 52:7, 201:1 preserve [2] - 94:4, 97:13 preserved [1] - 10:15 presume [1] - 71:10 pretty [1] - 94:23 prevent [1] - 101:17 previous [3] - 99:14, 100:7, 220:16 previously [4] - 34:23, 41:2, 181:7, 206:12 primarily [2] - 39:17, 167:20 primary [2] - 28:13, 28:15 principal [1] - 70:12 principle [4] - 208:22, 208:23, 209:16, 209:19 principles [4] - 207:23, 208:6, 209:3, 216:14 print [4] - 166:11, 167:19, 169:10, 169:14 printed [16] - 26:1, 48:13, 50:21, 50:22, 166:14, 166:20, 166:22, 166:23, 167:5, 168:8, 169:7, 169:22, 170:5, 171:16, 171:20, 172:16 printing [1] - 22:20 printout [2] - 55:4, 188:16 priorities [1] - 73:12 privilege [67] - 9:16, 9:17, 9:18, 10:9, 27:13, 27:17, 30:25, 33:17, 89:18, 89:25, 92:17, 93:19, 94:16, 95:20, 98:8, 98:12, 99:7, 99:21, 102:1, 102:14, 103:1, 103:14, 105:10, 105:18, 106:7,	107:11, 109:2, 110:23, 112:6, 113:5, 114:24, 119:8, 119:10, 119:13, 120:8, 120:25, 122:20, 125:24, 127:5, 128:24, 131:4, 131:14, 134:1, 137:11, 176:25, 185:15, 187:9, 191:20, 192:4, 192:16, 193:24, 195:7, 196:15, 198:22, 199:10, 199:15, 202:1, 213:22, 214:5, 214:6, 214:10, 214:11, 215:16, 217:25, 232:9, 250:19, 251:10 privileged [18] - 9:14, 10:8, 29:25, 41:10, 41:12, 79:11, 129:6, 131:12, 192:8, 193:19, 193:23, 195:17, 199:20, 202:5, 202:8, 218:9, 218:12, 218:13 privileges [6] - 9:15, 40:19, 40:21, 122:18, 187:4, 196:24 problem [2] - 41:5, 207:1 proceed [1] - 186:25 Proceedings [1] - 197:18 proceedings [4] - 202:22, 203:6, 203:8, 203:16 process [58] - 24:20, 78:2, 79:17, 79:20, 110:20, 111:16, 111:19, 112:2, 112:22, 113:21, 114:17, 114:20, 115:13, 115:24, 120:1, 123:19, 124:11, 135:5, 135:8, 135:11, 143:20, 148:15, 151:2, 151:17, 152:16, 155:12, 166:25, 169:16, 171:3, 171:17, 174:8, 175:6, 175:21, 176:1, 176:9, 176:12, 178:10, 179:2, 179:6, 181:1, 181:5, 212:11, 213:3, 213:10, 215:24, 217:16, 217:20, 219:5, 220:21, 221:5,	221:12, 221:15, 222:24, 225:19, 229:14, 231:8, 231:9, 231:18 produce [2] - 56:9, 107:25 produced [14] - 3:14, 9:9, 17:2, 19:24, 23:25, 48:11, 100:13, 100:15, 169:9, 197:22, 223:11, 223:13, 232:22, 233:6 product [64] - 9:17, 10:10, 27:17, 30:1, 31:1, 33:5, 40:18, 79:11, 80:3, 80:9, 80:18, 81:20, 82:9, 82:19, 98:8, 98:15, 99:7, 99:21, 102:1, 102:13, 103:2, 103:14, 105:11, 105:19, 106:8, 107:12, 109:2, 110:23, 112:6, 113:4, 114:25, 119:9, 119:11, 120:8, 120:25, 122:18, 125:25, 127:6, 128:25, 131:5, 134:2, 137:12, 176:21, 181:9, 182:11, 183:17, 185:6, 187:4, 187:9, 191:21, 192:17, 195:7, 196:16, 196:23, 198:23, 199:9, 202:2, 213:23, 214:5, 214:11, 217:25, 250:19, 251:3, 251:9 production [1] - 108:18 Production [3] - 4:10, 108:10, 108:16 products [2] - 85:20, 85:21 professional [1] - 56:6 Professional [4] - 1:22, 6:8, 254:3, 255:7 professor [2] - 64:22, 65:4 Professor [40] - 65:3, 65:11, 65:18, 67:8, 70:1, 70:23, 70:25, 71:12, 71:24, 72:3, 77:11, 77:17, 77:22, 78:1, 78:5, 78:14, 78:17, 78:25, 79:5, 79:8, 79:18, 80:1,	80:25, 81:4, 81:10, 81:13, 82:13, 82:16, 83:4, 83:9, 83:14, 83:18, 147:13, 147:17, 148:2, 149:9, 150:5, 150:7, 150:19, 240:4 program [3] - 23:14, 48:7, 166:2 proposal [1] - 71:17 proposals [1] - 73:10 proposed [6] - 71:13, 135:23, 136:7, 136:15, 197:9, 222:14 protected [27] - 80:3, 81:20, 82:8, 82:19, 93:18, 101:25, 102:13, 103:13, 105:9, 109:1, 110:22, 112:5, 113:4, 114:23, 120:7, 120:24, 125:23, 131:3, 137:11, 191:20, 192:16, 195:6, 196:14, 211:4, 213:22, 217:24, 250:18 provide [27] - 39:21, 79:5, 79:25, 80:24, 81:3, 81:6, 81:10, 82:16, 84:10, 84:11, 85:6, 100:3, 105:7, 105:14, 105:20, 107:5, 124:24, 146:6, 160:12, 160:14, 160:18, 205:7, 205:11, 205:13, 221:11, 221:14 provided [14] - 5:7, 17:13, 17:14, 85:7, 159:21, 160:13, 160:25, 161:1, 188:25, 204:11, 206:13, 217:19, 217:20, 218:14 provider [1] - 138:19 provides [1] - 188:21 providing [6] - 10:19, 35:23, 79:7, 85:14, 92:12, 94:13 provision [5] - 9:20, 101:20, 127:16, 173:21, 189:10 Public [4] - 4:22, 6:9, 254:4, 255:6 publication [3] - 67:16, 68:18, 69:1 published [2] - 68:20, 68:23 pull [1] - 84:15
---	--	--	--	--

<p>pulled [1] - 27:7 purely [1] - 130:10 purpose [13] - 9:19, 15:8, 40:10, 67:19, 83:17, 86:4, 111:23, 117:13, 121:10, 146:23, 165:19, 166:10, 169:6 purposes [2] - 10:16, 115:15 pursuant [6] - 3:15, 6:7, 9:15, 12:12, 38:18, 254:6 Pursuant [2] - 4:14, 4:18 pursuing [1] - 187:6 put [9] - 8:9, 48:4, 137:6, 163:14, 219:2, 247:10, 247:13, 251:21</p>	<p>Radtke [4] - 63:17, 63:21, 64:11, 64:17 raised [3] - 11:7, 41:2, 223:18 RAMIREZ [1] - 2:9 RAMIRO [1] - 2:9 Randy [1] - 63:17 range [1] - 121:6 rate [1] - 86:21 ratification [1] - 228:16 Ray [4] - 42:4, 46:8, 150:2, 174:20 ray [2] - 149:25, 179:13 RE [1] - 233:15 re [1] - 63:6 re-elected [1] - 63:6 RE-EXAMINATION [1] - 233:15 reaction [1] - 215:5 read [63] - 15:23, 18:16, 18:18, 30:4, 30:6, 33:13, 33:14, 38:4, 38:5, 40:25, 43:16, 44:1, 49:25, 61:3, 70:10, 78:11, 78:12, 80:20, 80:22, 82:11, 84:3, 103:6, 103:8, 109:17, 110:13, 111:8, 112:12, 112:14, 114:10, 124:18, 129:23, 129:25, 154:23, 154:24, 158:4, 159:11, 160:4, 160:5, 164:15, 180:4, 185:24, 186:1, 190:10, 192:24, 193:1, 202:13, 202:24, 203:1, 209:9, 209:11, 211:15, 211:17, 212:22, 212:24, 213:5, 213:6, 213:18, 213:19, 216:22, 216:23, 235:8, 235:10, 240:2 reading [2] - 71:15, 254:19 reads [2] - 73:7, 73:17 ready [1] - 207:8 really [2] - 96:18, 107:22 reapportionment [9] - 74:14, 74:23, 75:11, 148:18, 207:23, 208:7, 208:11, 208:12, 211:25 reason [4] - 11:22,</p>	<p>173:11, 173:16, 187:23 recalling [2] - 231:16, 251:13 receive [3] - 34:25, 179:5, 251:16 received [3] - 24:23, 27:4, 27:10 recent [1] - 104:9 Recess [3] - 69:23, 155:9, 186:16 recognize [1] - 98:2 recognized [1] - 95:10 recognizing [2] - 10:17, 122:21 recollection [37] - 35:14, 50:15, 52:1, 52:17, 60:3, 61:20, 62:9, 69:12, 79:24, 101:9, 118:21, 124:5, 128:2, 139:20, 145:21, 148:6, 148:8, 149:17, 149:20, 149:23, 150:1, 150:6, 177:15, 183:3, 184:24, 200:11, 201:14, 203:11, 206:3, 215:1, 227:6, 228:15, 236:17, 240:22, 240:25, 242:3, 245:2 record [25] - 8:12, 8:17, 9:6, 11:15, 14:21, 19:9, 31:25, 37:14, 66:23, 67:3, 95:25, 96:1, 104:22, 133:21, 158:25, 159:1, 186:18, 186:24, 197:16, 197:21, 232:18, 233:3, 251:22, 253:2, 254:18 records [1] - 19:19 recount [1] - 136:3 recycle [1] - 207:4 red [3] - 22:20, 23:7, 167:22 redistrict [1] - 241:1 Redistricting [1] - 4:23 redistricting [237] - 23:19, 24:20, 25:2, 25:6, 25:10, 25:17, 29:10, 29:14, 29:16, 30:15, 30:19, 31:11, 31:19, 32:21, 33:2, 33:25, 37:8, 39:19, 40:10, 40:15, 41:18, 43:5, 43:20, 44:13,</p>	<p>54:14, 59:10, 59:19, 60:2, 61:9, 61:12, 62:14, 63:22, 63:25, 64:4, 64:8, 64:15, 65:16, 65:22, 65:25, 66:8, 67:12, 69:7, 69:14, 71:22, 73:7, 73:21, 74:15, 74:23, 75:4, 75:15, 75:19, 76:17, 76:24, 77:4, 77:9, 77:12, 78:2, 81:1, 83:10, 83:14, 83:17, 83:22, 85:8, 85:16, 85:18, 85:25, 86:5, 87:16, 88:23, 91:24, 95:7, 96:7, 97:12, 97:24, 109:9, 109:24, 110:11, 110:13, 110:20, 111:16, 111:24, 112:2, 112:22, 113:21, 114:17, 114:20, 115:13, 115:24, 116:24, 117:3, 117:14, 117:17, 118:19, 118:23, 119:4, 120:1, 120:15, 120:20, 121:11, 122:13, 123:3, 123:7, 123:18, 124:7, 124:11, 125:14, 133:15, 133:18, 133:24, 134:9, 135:4, 135:11, 135:22, 136:7, 137:5, 138:5, 138:8, 138:22, 139:4, 139:8, 139:15, 140:1, 140:4, 140:16, 141:13, 141:19, 141:22, 142:3, 142:7, 142:12, 143:10, 143:20, 144:6, 144:12, 144:17, 144:21, 145:4, 145:7, 146:14, 146:17, 146:23, 147:3, 147:21, 148:3, 148:10, 148:15, 151:2, 155:12, 157:12, 159:23, 160:1, 160:8, 166:15, 166:25, 169:15, 171:3, 171:7, 171:17, 174:8, 174:15, 175:6, 175:14, 176:9, 176:12, 177:7, 177:10, 178:2, 178:10, 178:24, 179:2, 179:6, 179:11, 179:16, 180:1, 180:20, 180:23,</p>	<p>181:1, 181:5, 181:16, 182:4, 182:8, 182:20, 183:5, 183:11, 183:13, 183:24, 184:10, 185:3, 186:9, 186:12, 190:20, 194:6, 196:3, 197:10, 205:17, 208:9, 208:11, 208:14, 210:4, 212:12, 213:3, 213:10, 217:15, 217:20, 218:19, 219:17, 219:18, 219:21, 219:24, 220:11, 220:21, 221:5, 221:8, 221:12, 221:15, 222:15, 222:24, 231:8, 231:18, 234:13, 234:18, 234:25, 236:14, 236:18, 241:10, 241:17, 243:24, 244:6, 248:8, 250:5, 250:11, 251:12, 252:6, 252:9, 252:12, 252:18 reduced [1] - 254:16 refer [1] - 68:7 Reference [1] - 79:21 reference [3] - 35:20, 72:14, 189:18 referenced [1] - 190:9 referred [1] - 86:12 referring [4] - 21:2, 47:22, 70:20, 237:25 refers [2] - 156:17, 168:19 reflect [3] - 88:14, 172:9, 219:20 reflected [10] - 25:2, 53:13, 73:11, 88:3, 102:7, 136:22, 169:20, 172:4, 172:10, 245:21 reflective [1] - 148:18 reflects [1] - 47:25 refresh [2] - 35:14, 110:14 refuse [1] - 187:24 regard [1] - 229:8 regarding [14] - 85:18, 128:14, 139:25, 179:5, 182:4, 183:13, 184:10, 185:2, 186:9, 186:12, 197:9, 201:8, 202:10, 224:7</p>
Q				
<p>qualification [1] - 112:1 qualified [1] - 254:4 quash [3] - 9:10, 9:12, 186:22 questioning [1] - 224:10 questions [21] - 12:9, 14:22, 107:23, 121:6, 141:25, 143:25, 145:17, 145:18, 145:21, 146:2, 146:8, 174:16, 185:21, 209:14, 223:21, 223:22, 232:8, 232:9, 248:3, 251:20, 251:25 quickly [2] - 20:18, 100:2 quite [1] - 164:14 quotation [2] - 71:6, 71:8 quote [2] - 70:24, 71:1</p>				
R				
<p>race [2] - 211:4, 211:20 Racine [16] - 234:9, 234:14, 234:17, 234:23, 235:4, 236:11, 236:15, 236:20, 236:24, 237:7, 238:24, 239:14, 239:17</p>				

<p>regardless [2] - 86:23, 162:25</p> <p>regards [2] - 185:14, 227:13</p> <p>region [5] - 50:8, 50:9, 50:10, 153:12, 154:7</p> <p>regional [6] - 50:3, 50:4, 51:3, 136:15, 136:18, 136:22</p> <p>regionally [1] - 136:10</p> <p>regions [2] - 50:11, 136:11</p> <p>Registered [4] - 1:22, 6:8, 254:3, 255:7</p> <p>regular [1] - 181:21</p> <p>REID [1] - 2:5</p> <p>REINHART [1] - 7:10</p> <p>Reinhart [60] - 3:23, 14:6, 14:9, 27:23, 28:6, 29:6, 29:17, 36:23, 38:21, 39:4, 39:9, 39:11, 39:20, 39:23, 39:25, 53:10, 55:21, 56:5, 76:25, 77:3, 77:8, 78:25, 79:1, 79:3, 84:9, 86:14, 86:23, 87:2, 88:1, 88:21, 88:24, 89:11, 89:13, 110:2, 110:18, 111:2, 124:19, 138:11, 138:14, 139:18, 140:22, 145:10, 177:12, 177:16, 181:25, 184:22, 184:25, 189:23, 204:6, 248:13, 248:17, 248:22, 249:2, 249:11, 249:18, 249:23, 250:2, 250:10, 251:14</p> <p>Reinhart's [5] - 29:10, 38:22, 40:9, 109:20, 116:10</p> <p>reiterate [1] - 252:3</p> <p>relate [3] - 208:6, 208:8, 208:9</p> <p>related [10] - 29:14, 29:15, 31:10, 113:25, 122:9, 133:23, 201:23, 230:6, 231:18, 254:21</p> <p>relatedly [1] - 41:3</p> <p>relates [5] - 30:18, 31:18, 71:21, 112:8, 119:13</p> <p>relating [5] - 29:9,</p>	<p>30:14, 94:13, 120:20, 126:2</p> <p>relation [1] - 186:21</p> <p>relations [2] - 54:5, 77:1</p> <p>relationship [2] - 121:16, 121:19</p> <p>relationships [1] - 218:14</p> <p>relative [1] - 254:24</p> <p>relatively [1] - 220:13</p> <p>relaying [1] - 114:7</p> <p>relevant [2] - 159:6, 187:1</p> <p>Relief [7] - 4:6, 4:8, 4:16, 4:18, 4:21, 104:24, 106:24</p> <p>remainder [1] - 97:4</p> <p>remapping [1] - 231:8</p> <p>remarkable [1] - 73:9</p> <p>remember [12] - 27:7, 41:25, 42:18, 59:21, 60:5, 118:9, 135:16, 147:14, 147:22, 157:23, 161:11, 206:1</p> <p>remind [1] - 161:12</p> <p>remove [1] - 30:8</p> <p>repeat [9] - 43:14, 43:25, 47:1, 49:24, 78:10, 82:10, 103:5, 109:16, 227:25</p> <p>repeating [1] - 8:23</p> <p>report [41] - 24:6, 24:9, 25:25, 26:3, 26:6, 81:14, 81:16, 81:18, 82:14, 82:17, 83:4, 100:13, 100:15, 100:23, 101:6, 101:8, 101:11, 101:14, 165:15, 166:4, 166:14, 166:17, 167:20, 167:21, 167:23, 168:8, 169:7, 171:21, 171:22, 171:23, 172:4, 172:6, 172:13, 172:25, 173:1, 237:19, 238:18, 240:2, 240:5</p> <p>Reporter [4] - 1:22, 6:9, 254:3, 255:7</p> <p>reporter [11] - 11:25, 12:3, 12:14, 34:11, 53:5, 66:21, 91:5, 106:19, 188:12, 191:2, 194:13</p> <p>REPORTER [1] - 161:8</p>	<p>reports [27] - 25:18, 159:14, 159:20, 159:25, 165:21, 166:1, 166:2, 166:6, 166:7, 166:12, 166:19, 166:23, 167:6, 167:15, 167:18, 169:9, 169:14, 169:21, 170:4, 171:14, 171:15, 171:19, 172:7, 172:9, 172:15, 172:22, 172:24</p> <p>repository [1] - 249:1</p> <p>represent [10] - 18:8, 49:5, 54:25, 55:9, 55:17, 66:22, 91:17, 186:21, 191:3, 197:21</p> <p>representation [2] - 35:7, 48:14</p> <p>Representative [1] - 123:9</p> <p>representative [1] - 17:3</p> <p>representatives [2] - 211:19, 222:18</p> <p>represented [3] - 14:2, 161:13, 219:15</p> <p>representing [11] - 14:12, 14:17, 15:1, 15:13, 59:22, 59:24, 59:25, 63:3, 63:9, 73:21, 239:23</p> <p>represents [2] - 49:7, 168:14</p> <p>Republican [4] - 70:15, 73:18, 222:19, 222:23</p> <p>republican [13] - 60:12, 63:3, 63:9, 63:17, 63:22, 125:3, 125:7, 240:20, 240:23, 241:2, 241:6, 241:11, 241:18</p> <p>Republicans [2] - 71:17, 73:24</p> <p>republicans [5] - 60:17, 64:1, 72:5, 240:23, 241:6</p> <p>Request [3] - 4:10, 108:9, 108:15</p> <p>request [1] - 13:21</p> <p>requested [2] - 16:18, 30:8</p> <p>requests [7] - 19:18, 19:21, 107:18, 107:21, 107:24, 108:18, 108:24</p> <p>required [1] - 10:20</p>	<p>requirement [2] - 210:18, 210:23</p> <p>requires [4] - 31:2, 40:20, 115:1, 215:21</p> <p>reread [2] - 71:14, 84:1</p> <p>residence [4] - 28:13, 28:15, 28:17, 28:19</p> <p>residences [1] - 29:3</p> <p>residents [3] - 168:15, 212:16, 212:20</p> <p>resolved [1] - 232:10</p> <p>respect [45] - 8:18, 30:23, 31:2, 41:12, 69:13, 82:22, 95:24, 101:10, 102:23, 115:9, 122:13, 129:2, 129:9, 134:7, 134:16, 137:15, 137:19, 139:14, 141:25, 146:13, 151:2, 151:5, 154:10, 156:11, 157:3, 157:4, 170:20, 173:8, 175:1, 176:6, 177:7, 192:3, 193:3, 193:11, 193:19, 199:8, 202:5, 214:4, 218:9, 236:8, 236:20, 238:9, 248:7, 251:2, 251:7</p> <p>respond [2] - 109:14, 128:16</p> <p>responded [2] - 146:4, 215:21</p> <p>responding [2] - 12:7, 15:9</p> <p>responds [1] - 216:14</p> <p>response [8] - 9:9, 11:23, 19:17, 30:22, 112:8, 126:4, 127:7, 158:10</p> <p>responses [1] - 98:13</p> <p>responsible [1] - 39:18</p> <p>responsive [10] - 16:19, 17:7, 17:10, 19:21, 108:23, 111:4, 126:1, 193:14, 218:3, 250:25</p> <p>rest [2] - 35:25, 121:5</p> <p>restate [20] - 18:15, 30:3, 40:24, 41:2, 61:1, 80:19, 96:17, 111:7, 114:9, 124:16, 126:8, 129:22,</p>	<p>154:22, 192:23, 202:23, 209:8, 230:9, 235:7, 241:14, 245:18</p> <p>restating [2] - 10:1, 10:25</p> <p>result [5] - 187:13, 195:8, 236:23, 240:8, 240:14</p> <p>results [2] - 217:21, 218:15</p> <p>resume [2] - 40:5, 52:20</p> <p>retain [17] - 22:10, 23:21, 25:18, 29:14, 29:15, 29:18, 29:20, 29:22, 139:3, 139:21, 139:25, 141:1, 167:9, 167:10, 172:21, 249:4, 249:8</p> <p>retained [32] - 9:18, 14:25, 25:21, 26:19, 29:17, 33:23, 35:15, 37:7, 39:3, 39:10, 39:22, 39:23, 59:9, 59:14, 60:9, 64:14, 76:25, 83:21, 83:23, 84:9, 85:2, 85:4, 110:2, 124:19, 133:22, 167:6, 175:12, 176:14, 176:23, 181:10</p> <p>retention [2] - 36:7, 60:15</p> <p>reveal [1] - 41:9</p> <p>reversal [1] - 187:14</p> <p>review [11] - 68:16, 90:4, 90:7, 90:12, 96:8, 96:20, 97:7, 97:12, 99:13, 100:7, 185:16</p> <p>reviewed [2] - 92:1, 97:3</p> <p>reviewing [3] - 50:3, 93:3, 94:3</p> <p>reviews [2] - 18:14, 104:21</p> <p>RIBBLE [1] - 2:5</p> <p>Rich [7] - 42:16, 133:1, 144:21, 145:1, 145:3, 153:19, 154:3</p> <p>RICHARD [2] - 1:6</p> <p>Rick [1] - 227:17</p> <p>right-hand [1] - 164:3</p> <p>Rights [8] - 150:23, 151:3, 151:6, 208:23, 209:16, 209:17, 209:18, 210:25</p> <p>RISSEEUW [1] - 1:7</p> <p>RMD [1] - 2:12</p>
---	--	--	--	--

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

<p>Robert [1] - 123:23</p> <p>Robin [9] - 42:16, 132:22, 132:24, 137:25, 144:5, 144:11, 144:16, 153:17, 154:3</p> <p>ROBSON [1] - 1:7</p> <p>ROCHELLE [1] - 1:6</p> <p>Rodriguez [9] - 227:21, 227:24, 228:2, 228:11, 228:13, 228:19, 228:22, 231:13, 231:21</p> <p>ROGERS [1] - 1:7</p> <p>role [13] - 85:14, 133:17, 134:8, 135:3, 135:4, 148:15, 148:16, 175:5, 175:9, 178:9, 189:21, 225:23</p> <p>RON [1] - 1:4</p> <p>Ronald [2] - 4:3, 67:6</p> <p>RONALD [2] - 1:3, 1:10</p> <p>room [3] - 45:16, 45:20, 46:9</p> <p>roughly [6] - 27:2, 27:3, 52:15, 135:20, 147:24, 167:4</p> <p>RPR [1] - 1:21</p> <p>Rule [4] - 4:4, 91:10, 93:17, 156:7</p> <p>run [7] - 165:21, 166:1, 166:3, 166:4, 166:6, 166:7, 166:17</p> <p>Run [2] - 4:3, 67:5</p> <p>Running [1] - 74:7</p> <p>RYAN [1] - 2:4</p>	<p>249:19</p> <p>saw [21] - 12:25, 13:9, 27:19, 28:3, 44:17, 45:13, 46:1, 46:14, 81:23, 81:24, 100:17, 100:22, 101:8, 150:5, 158:8, 178:16, 202:21, 203:2, 203:13, 241:10, 241:17</p> <p>scan [1] - 136:21</p> <p>schedule [1] - 86:11</p> <p>SCHLIEPP [1] - 1:7</p> <p>school [2] - 53:25, 54:3</p> <p>scientist [1] - 64:25</p> <p>scope [6] - 33:20, 122:20, 130:13, 133:25, 176:24, 199:14</p> <p>SCOTT [1] - 7:3</p> <p>Scott [24] - 7:19, 35:8, 42:8, 43:3, 43:17, 49:12, 51:15, 51:20, 51:23, 52:4, 52:6, 52:13, 84:21, 136:12, 137:4, 137:24, 142:1, 142:2, 142:6, 142:11, 143:5, 153:16, 154:2, 188:7</p> <p>scratched [1] - 164:4</p> <p>screen [2] - 50:21, 166:21</p> <p>Script [1] - 206:22</p> <p>scrutiny [1] - 73:23</p> <p>seal [1] - 255:3</p> <p>SEAN [1] - 2:5</p> <p>searched [1] - 19:19</p> <p>seats [2] - 208:13, 239:1</p> <p>Second [5] - 4:6, 4:8, 104:23, 106:23, 107:7</p> <p>second [15] - 35:18, 67:15, 72:10, 72:18, 74:9, 83:12, 84:4, 91:9, 92:22, 106:1, 106:5, 106:14, 106:21, 158:25, 233:8</p> <p>seconds [1] - 185:12</p> <p>Section [2] - 188:18, 190:5</p> <p>section [1] - 190:8</p> <p>see [112] - 12:5, 12:20, 13:6, 13:7, 13:13, 13:17, 15:15, 17:19, 22:22, 24:5, 24:14, 34:14, 35:4, 35:12, 35:19, 36:3, 36:9, 38:10, 44:7, 44:23, 45:2, 45:20,</p>	<p>46:17, 46:22, 55:4, 55:5, 67:15, 67:16, 67:24, 68:10, 68:11, 70:17, 71:3, 71:6, 71:11, 71:19, 72:16, 72:25, 73:25, 74:5, 74:7, 74:16, 81:18, 82:13, 84:18, 84:23, 86:12, 91:9, 91:11, 92:5, 92:8, 93:6, 93:8, 93:25, 94:7, 94:9, 94:24, 95:11, 105:3, 106:21, 106:24, 107:17, 107:25, 108:8, 108:10, 108:15, 108:17, 108:19, 108:20, 148:20, 150:7, 152:15, 152:18, 156:12, 156:14, 156:16, 156:18, 159:5, 159:6, 159:18, 163:13, 163:20, 170:25, 173:2, 180:12, 188:19, 189:7, 189:16, 190:6, 190:10, 190:11, 194:19, 195:25, 197:19, 202:18, 203:10, 207:17, 207:20, 208:1, 209:23, 212:2, 212:8, 215:25, 216:10, 216:16, 233:17, 234:4, 234:6, 234:9, 235:15, 247:3</p> <p>seeing [2] - 104:7, 107:4</p> <p>seek [3] - 173:6, 228:12, 228:13</p> <p>Seeking [1] - 4:16</p> <p>segment [1] - 115:16</p> <p>selecting [1] - 155:2</p> <p>selection [1] - 154:17</p> <p>self [2] - 59:3, 59:6</p> <p>self-employed [2] - 59:3, 59:6</p> <p>senate [6] - 9:21, 98:25, 118:1, 125:18, 126:13, 126:25</p> <p>Senate [3] - 7:18, 35:8, 84:20</p> <p>Senator [4] - 43:3, 43:17, 123:23, 216:11</p> <p>send [6] - 107:24, 138:10, 138:13, 146:1, 179:4, 249:20</p> <p>sends [2] - 87:3, 88:24</p>	<p>SENSENBRENNER [1] - 2:4</p> <p>sensitivity [1] - 207:24</p> <p>sent [5] - 39:14, 136:23, 141:1, 249:5, 249:9</p> <p>sentence [6] - 35:19, 70:9, 73:6, 73:7, 74:9, 74:10</p> <p>sentences [1] - 70:11</p> <p>separate [6] - 22:25, 23:1, 28:1, 34:3, 56:22, 249:13</p> <p>separated [3] - 18:25, 19:4</p> <p>separately [2] - 19:7, 151:24</p> <p>serve [1] - 77:22</p> <p>served [2] - 15:10, 107:18</p> <p>service [1] - 138:19</p> <p>services [1] - 9:20</p> <p>serving [4] - 56:3, 56:17, 122:6, 123:20</p> <p>session [7] - 55:2, 55:10, 55:19, 57:4, 57:25, 58:6, 143:15</p> <p>set [15] - 9:24, 22:18, 25:14, 37:10, 73:10, 174:10, 190:16, 194:3, 197:7, 210:14, 233:11, 238:22, 247:25, 255:2</p> <p>Set [2] - 4:9, 108:9</p> <p>sets [1] - 153:3</p> <p>settling [1] - 219:10</p> <p>several [2] - 104:2, 207:14</p> <p>shakes [1] - 12:1</p> <p>shall [2] - 188:24, 189:4</p> <p>share [1] - 223:5</p> <p>SHEILA [1] - 1:4</p> <p>shifted [1] - 98:24</p> <p>short [4] - 11:10, 147:15, 203:13, 223:24</p> <p>shortcut [1] - 94:20</p> <p>shorter [1] - 196:6</p> <p>shortest [1] - 148:5</p> <p>shorthand [1] - 11:11</p> <p>shortly [1] - 200:7</p> <p>shoulders [1] - 233:2</p> <p>show [6] - 167:23, 170:8, 170:11, 170:14, 222:14, 224:25</p>	<p>showed [1] - 172:2</p> <p>shown [1] - 225:1</p> <p>side [10] - 22:18, 25:14, 70:7, 72:11, 107:24, 168:1, 190:16, 194:3, 197:7, 228:10</p> <p>sign [1] - 162:8</p> <p>signature [6] - 36:11, 36:13, 36:14, 36:17, 36:20, 37:2</p> <p>signatures [1] - 36:10</p> <p>signed [1] - 91:25</p> <p>significance [1] - 164:5</p> <p>significantly [1] - 239:24</p> <p>signifies [2] - 163:4, 165:8</p> <p>signify [4] - 162:16, 163:10, 168:12, 168:22</p> <p>signing [1] - 254:19</p> <p>simple [2] - 80:16, 82:6</p> <p>simply [4] - 10:14, 180:6, 194:23, 204:5</p> <p>single [5] - 239:17, 244:15, 246:23, 247:18, 247:20</p> <p>sitting [3] - 45:8, 148:24, 177:15</p> <p>six [2] - 240:22, 240:23</p> <p>size [2] - 162:25, 232:24</p> <p>skew [1] - 71:4</p> <p>skill [1] - 73:9</p> <p>skills [2] - 85:24, 86:2</p> <p>slipped [1] - 69:18</p> <p>smaller [1] - 196:6</p> <p>Smoke [1] - 58:9</p> <p>Society [1] - 57:22</p> <p>software [11] - 23:13, 24:19, 48:7, 62:8, 152:2, 152:12, 166:2, 166:8, 166:9, 247:9, 247:12</p> <p>solicit [2] - 239:22, 241:5</p> <p>someone [3] - 30:7, 75:21, 218:14</p> <p>Somers [2] - 238:13, 238:17</p> <p>sometime [3] - 37:6, 100:19, 214:21</p> <p>sometimes [3] - 45:19, 138:12, 207:14</p>
<p>S</p>				
<p>S.C [5] - 6:10, 6:19, 7:3, 7:10, 254:8</p> <p>SANCHEZ [1] - 1:7</p> <p>SANCHEZ-BELL [1] - 1:7</p> <p>Sarah [13] - 42:16, 46:8, 132:16, 132:17, 133:10, 134:22, 149:22, 149:24, 174:20, 178:4, 178:9, 179:4, 179:10</p> <p>sat [1] - 71:1</p> <p>save [2] - 248:6, 249:15</p> <p>saved [6] - 248:11, 248:19, 248:20, 248:23, 248:25, 249:1</p> <p>saves [2] - 248:17,</p>				

<p>somewhere [1] - 153:15</p> <p>sorry [26] - 8:8, 39:24, 43:8, 44:24, 69:20, 74:10, 84:1, 92:22, 95:2, 123:11, 146:2, 161:11, 161:16, 162:22, 170:20, 185:24, 188:6, 188:23, 199:3, 199:13, 202:23, 213:17, 216:21, 223:5, 226:22, 252:10</p> <p>sought [1] - 9:14</p> <p>source [1] - 173:24</p> <p>south [1] - 228:10</p> <p>South [1] - 7:17</p> <p>spatial [3] - 47:21, 48:14, 70:14</p> <p>Speaker [4] - 7:19, 35:9, 51:22, 84:22</p> <p>speaker [2] - 42:7, 135:8</p> <p>speaking [17] - 10:2, 17:1, 78:16, 78:17, 116:14, 149:14, 149:17, 149:20, 149:23, 150:5, 150:7, 150:10, 150:19, 150:22, 180:9, 180:12, 183:23</p> <p>specialist [1] - 54:6</p> <p>specialty [1] - 64:24</p> <p>specific [26] - 9:23, 23:14, 48:7, 51:9, 51:12, 51:13, 61:23, 85:9, 85:12, 117:23, 119:4, 134:8, 135:22, 136:4, 136:8, 145:5, 147:7, 177:15, 201:8, 206:1, 206:5, 222:1, 222:6, 233:19, 241:25</p> <p>specifically [34] - 23:15, 43:1, 51:6, 60:1, 60:15, 78:15, 91:16, 109:18, 109:25, 110:4, 114:18, 126:19, 128:1, 130:23, 138:9, 139:2, 139:14, 140:17, 142:22, 143:21, 145:8, 145:19, 147:14, 147:22, 149:3, 156:10, 177:11, 178:3, 180:14, 182:25, 184:14, 201:4, 207:22, 236:6</p> <p>specifics [4] - 111:13, 118:20,</p>	<p>136:2, 228:7</p> <p>speed [1] - 155:16</p> <p>spell [1] - 123:11</p> <p>spelling [1] - 123:15</p> <p>spend [3] - 10:11, 218:18, 222:10</p> <p>split [50] - 162:18, 162:20, 162:25, 163:21, 163:25, 164:10, 164:13, 164:18, 164:19, 164:23, 165:9, 173:12, 173:17, 173:19, 173:20, 173:24, 174:1, 174:5, 234:14, 234:17, 234:20, 235:15, 235:16, 235:19, 235:22, 235:25, 238:12, 238:15, 238:16, 238:17, 239:10, 242:24, 243:2, 243:6, 243:15, 243:19, 243:22, 244:2, 244:7, 244:9, 244:12, 244:16, 244:20, 245:3, 245:17, 245:20, 245:23, 246:3, 246:6, 246:20</p> <p>Splits [2] - 24:4, 24:14</p> <p>splits [31] - 161:15, 161:17, 165:1, 165:3, 171:21, 171:22, 172:4, 172:6, 172:7, 172:9, 172:13, 172:15, 172:24, 172:25, 173:1, 173:3, 173:5, 173:7, 173:8, 226:13, 237:7, 237:16, 237:18, 237:19, 237:22, 237:25, 238:9, 238:19, 246:18, 247:3, 247:4</p> <p>splitting [6] - 238:24, 239:6, 239:14, 243:9, 245:6, 246:10</p> <p>spoken [3] - 78:5, 119:25, 123:22</p> <p>Sportsmen's [1] - 58:10</p> <p>ss [1] - 254:1</p> <p>Stadtmiller [1] - 186:19</p> <p>Stadtmiller's [2] - 187:7, 211:11</p> <p>staff [3] - 73:18, 121:14, 141:11</p>	<p>stand [3] - 8:20, 73:22, 162:5</p> <p>standard [6] - 169:8, 210:1, 210:9, 210:11, 210:15, 213:8</p> <p>standing [1] - 10:4</p> <p>stands [1] - 161:18</p> <p>stapled [2] - 21:3, 21:4</p> <p>start [3] - 8:9, 11:19, 221:17</p> <p>started [4] - 56:5, 59:1, 62:25, 110:3</p> <p>Stat [2] - 4:14, 4:19</p> <p>state [34] - 9:6, 11:15, 19:5, 42:24, 50:8, 50:9, 54:16, 58:17, 58:20, 58:21, 62:21, 62:25, 84:11, 92:4, 96:10, 96:22, 117:17, 117:21, 154:16, 156:17, 164:6, 188:23, 188:24, 189:2, 193:5, 208:15, 210:21, 211:14, 213:4, 219:20, 219:24, 222:13, 249:7, 249:24</p> <p>State [15] - 4:24, 6:9, 6:12, 7:18, 35:7, 35:9, 84:20, 84:21, 121:15, 123:20, 188:16, 233:7, 254:5, 254:10, 255:6</p> <p>STATE [2] - 7:7, 254:1</p> <p>statement [22] - 11:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:24, 71:25, 72:19, 73:2, 73:14, 74:16, 74:18, 74:20, 74:24, 207:22, 208:18, 208:25, 212:2, 212:6, 216:2, 216:18, 217:1, 220:9, 230:3</p> <p>statements [1] - 208:3</p> <p>STATES [1] - 1:1</p> <p>states [11] - 35:4, 35:23, 36:4, 38:6, 53:17, 74:11, 91:21, 92:25, 93:25, 159:10, 208:14</p> <p>States [3] - 6:6, 58:10, 235:21</p> <p>statewide [2] - 219:17, 219:18</p> <p>statistic [1] - 204:11</p> <p>Statute [2] - 188:16, 188:17</p>	<p>statute [3] - 188:21, 189:25, 190:14</p> <p>statutes [1] - 215:20</p> <p>stay [4] - 148:3, 148:5, 148:7, 187:13</p> <p>staying [1] - 147:19</p> <p>sticker [2] - 24:3, 26:4</p> <p>still [7] - 69:17, 80:8, 82:1, 135:19, 136:15, 140:24, 159:3</p> <p>stipulation [1] - 251:21</p> <p>stocked [1] - 56:9</p> <p>stopped [1] - 200:25</p> <p>straight [1] - 15:16</p> <p>Street [8] - 6:11, 6:20, 6:23, 7:4, 7:7, 7:10, 7:17, 254:9</p> <p>strike [26] - 20:21, 46:21, 55:15, 63:11, 71:14, 76:7, 95:1, 108:12, 119:23, 140:10, 144:15, 160:11, 162:22, 169:25, 170:25, 172:6, 177:25, 182:22, 193:6, 195:14, 195:16, 212:10, 213:7, 219:19, 239:5, 240:1</p> <p>student [1] - 63:13</p> <p>studies [1] - 54:2</p> <p>sub [1] - 188:19</p> <p>subdivision [1] - 208:14</p> <p>subject [14] - 27:16, 27:17, 33:16, 40:20, 41:6, 89:17, 119:8, 122:16, 128:12, 190:19, 198:21, 215:15, 244:23, 251:20</p> <p>submitted [1] - 81:13</p> <p>subpoena [14] - 3:13, 3:15, 6:7, 9:9, 12:12, 12:15, 12:22, 13:25, 15:9, 15:18, 17:4, 19:18, 108:22, 254:6</p> <p>subpoenaed [1] - 103:21</p> <p>subsequent [10] - 9:11, 10:16, 14:23, 30:24, 113:1, 114:5, 115:2, 126:5, 199:17, 242:6</p> <p>substantive [1] - 179:1</p> <p>successful [1] -</p>	<p>124:25</p> <p>sufficient [1] - 10:5</p> <p>suggest [1] - 237:22</p> <p>Suite [6] - 6:20, 6:23, 7:4, 7:11, 7:17, 7:23</p> <p>summer [2] - 135:19, 206:2</p> <p>summons [1] - 196:2</p> <p>Summons [2] - 4:17, 4:21</p> <p>super [1] - 207:6</p> <p>supplied [2] - 112:19, 112:21</p> <p>support [4] - 9:23, 91:19, 118:5, 159:13</p> <p>Supreme [4] - 4:13, 190:18, 190:22, 191:6</p> <p>supreme [1] - 189:5</p> <p>suspect [1] - 243:14</p> <p>suspicion [1] - 243:12</p> <p>sworn [2] - 8:2, 254:12</p> <p>system [6] - 87:25, 109:21, 248:14, 248:16, 249:19, 251:15</p>
T				
<p>Tad [12] - 42:3, 42:22, 50:6, 50:23, 101:9, 150:12, 151:18, 157:20, 160:13, 231:10, 232:6, 252:13</p> <p>Taffora [26] - 42:4, 46:8, 132:1, 132:4, 133:9, 134:16, 149:25, 150:2, 174:20, 179:13, 179:18, 179:22, 179:24, 180:9, 180:12, 180:18, 180:22, 180:25, 181:4, 181:15, 181:18, 181:21, 181:24, 182:4, 182:7, 183:1</p> <p>talented [1] - 74:12</p> <p>TAMMY [1] - 1:10</p> <p>tangible [2] - 85:20, 85:21</p> <p>tape [3] - 95:25, 96:2, 186:15</p> <p>target [2] - 49:7, 167:24</p> <p>tasked [2] - 85:19, 222:23</p>				

<p>team [1] - 101:20</p> <p>technical [4] - 143:25, 166:11, 173:21, 174:4</p> <p>technology [2] - 70:14, 215:22</p> <p>telephone [12] - 6:24, 46:11, 115:13, 116:5, 118:18, 141:21, 142:2, 144:12, 144:22, 147:2, 175:24, 176:8</p> <p>temporally [2] - 33:20, 250:13</p> <p>temporarily [1] - 215:2</p> <p>ten [5] - 38:9, 65:2, 147:23, 179:23, 240:15</p> <p>Ten [1] - 7:4</p> <p>term [1] - 161:21</p> <p>terminal [1] - 71:2</p> <p>terminated [1] - 36:7</p> <p>terms [8] - 23:13, 38:7, 38:9, 38:15, 107:23, 153:25, 175:15</p> <p>terrible [1] - 241:15</p> <p>territory [1] - 246:19</p> <p>testified [10] - 8:3, 65:24, 198:5, 198:11, 198:14, 211:24, 221:17, 221:19, 224:6, 224:12</p> <p>testify [12] - 66:2, 77:18, 92:24, 93:12, 102:20, 103:11, 103:19, 103:21, 103:22, 197:24, 198:2, 254:12</p> <p>testifying [9] - 95:17, 98:6, 99:5, 99:18, 101:22, 102:11, 176:23, 181:10, 207:18</p> <p>testimony [38] - 66:8, 92:12, 94:13, 96:3, 118:5, 118:11, 118:16, 198:9, 198:15, 198:18, 199:17, 199:24, 200:1, 200:5, 200:10, 200:13, 200:21, 201:7, 201:17, 201:20, 202:10, 203:12, 203:14, 203:24, 204:2, 207:13, 208:1, 209:20, 209:24, 211:22, 211:23,</p>	<p>212:8, 215:25, 216:16, 224:8, 224:16, 230:25, 254:18</p> <p>text [18] - 116:19, 116:25, 139:7, 140:4, 140:12, 140:15, 141:4, 141:18, 142:6, 144:17, 144:22, 177:5, 179:8, 182:3, 186:8, 229:5, 249:15, 249:19</p> <p>texting [1] - 140:18</p> <p>texts [1] - 141:1</p> <p>THE [1] - 128:9</p> <p>themselves [1] - 248:24</p> <p>therapy [1] - 53:19</p> <p>Therapy [4] - 56:13, 56:18, 57:3, 58:11</p> <p>thereto [1] - 30:24</p> <p>thereupon [1] - 254:15</p> <p>they've [1] - 54:12</p> <p>thick [2] - 101:2, 197:17</p> <p>thicker [2] - 26:3, 191:8</p> <p>third [3] - 36:3, 74:10, 233:9</p> <p>thirds [1] - 74:6</p> <p>THOMAS [5] - 1:15, 1:16, 2:4, 2:14, 2:15</p> <p>thoughts [1] - 82:1</p> <p>three [16] - 9:15, 34:12, 73:13, 135:15, 148:8, 151:22, 207:23, 232:22, 233:4, 233:5, 234:5, 241:22, 241:24, 242:4, 242:9, 242:21</p> <p>Three [2] - 4:14, 4:18</p> <p>three-judge [1] - 73:13</p> <p>three-way [5] - 241:22, 241:24, 242:4, 242:9, 242:21</p> <p>throughout [1] - 10:5</p> <p>Thursday [1] - 22:22</p> <p>THYSSEN [1] - 1:8</p> <p>TIMOTHY [2] - 1:16, 2:15</p> <p>title [2] - 56:20, 106:22</p> <p>titled [1] - 104:23</p> <p>today [15] - 11:2, 12:11, 12:23, 14:2, 14:17, 16:22, 31:23, 89:2, 90:5, 90:13, 157:1, 187:1, 203:3,</p>	<p>203:4, 238:2</p> <p>today's [1] - 53:14</p> <p>Todd [1] - 7:22</p> <p>together [14] - 44:12, 47:12, 51:20, 51:23, 73:18, 122:11, 135:5, 136:8, 143:6, 143:7, 151:22, 151:25, 160:15, 164:8</p> <p>took [1] - 31:17</p> <p>top [12] - 13:13, 21:10, 24:4, 26:5, 68:9, 68:11, 70:5, 71:11, 73:16, 162:1, 162:3, 234:21</p> <p>topic [12] - 31:3, 69:14, 99:19, 101:23, 102:11, 126:16, 126:21, 127:24, 128:5, 205:16, 205:21, 246:13</p> <p>topics [1] - 95:18</p> <p>total [4] - 19:5, 167:24, 168:15, 218:18</p> <p>totality [1] - 72:23</p> <p>Totals [1] - 3:16</p> <p>touch [1] - 14:23</p> <p>touching [1] - 254:13</p> <p>toward [1] - 73:5</p> <p>town [9] - 55:22, 56:1, 56:3, 75:23, 76:10, 76:16, 161:22, 163:5, 238:17</p> <p>tracking [1] - 222:24</p> <p>trained [1] - 24:23</p> <p>training [2] - 24:24, 166:11</p> <p>Transcript [2] - 4:22, 197:18</p> <p>transcript [11] - 5:7, 5:24, 12:3, 12:6, 202:13, 202:19, 202:21, 203:3, 203:5, 207:17, 209:5</p> <p>transcription [1] - 254:17</p> <p>transcripts [1] - 207:11</p> <p>translate [1] - 85:19</p> <p>transmitted [1] - 88:4</p> <p>travel [1] - 231:17</p> <p>TRAVIS [1] - 1:8</p> <p>treat [1] - 236:15</p> <p>trial [16] - 65:24, 66:4, 90:20, 92:13, 95:17, 98:6, 99:5, 99:19, 101:22, 102:11, 102:20,</p>	<p>103:11, 103:19, 103:21, 103:22</p> <p>trick [2] - 104:3, 104:4</p> <p>tried [1] - 212:6</p> <p>triggered [1] - 75:10</p> <p>Troupis [43] - 42:3, 42:16, 46:8, 61:20, 132:8, 132:11, 132:16, 132:17, 133:9, 133:10, 133:17, 133:22, 134:22, 149:19, 149:21, 149:22, 149:24, 150:4, 150:20, 174:19, 174:20, 175:1, 175:2, 175:16, 175:19, 175:24, 176:4, 176:8, 176:11, 176:18, 177:6, 177:10, 177:17, 177:24, 178:1, 178:4, 179:4, 179:10, 227:4, 228:15, 228:25, 231:10</p> <p>Troupis's [3] - 175:5, 175:9, 178:9</p> <p>true [2] - 169:3, 254:18</p> <p>truth [2] - 254:12, 254:13</p> <p>try [4] - 155:15, 173:10, 173:25, 233:4</p> <p>trying [3] - 17:1, 27:24, 112:12</p> <p>turn [18] - 13:12, 36:2, 36:9, 38:1, 68:10, 69:4, 70:4, 74:4, 79:4, 91:8, 91:15, 92:8, 94:9, 96:6, 98:23, 106:20, 108:15, 156:8</p> <p>turning [4] - 15:21, 71:11, 97:6, 100:2</p> <p>TV [3] - 203:8, 203:10, 203:16</p> <p>two [46] - 18:1, 19:1, 22:4, 22:24, 23:1, 23:6, 23:8, 23:21, 27:24, 29:3, 34:8, 36:10, 38:19, 39:1, 39:15, 74:6, 93:15, 135:15, 153:3, 185:21, 188:11, 194:13, 201:1, 209:14, 211:1, 219:14, 220:24, 223:4, 225:9, 225:11, 232:23, 235:20,</p>	<p>235:22, 236:1, 238:9, 240:20, 243:2, 243:9, 243:15, 243:20, 243:22, 246:4, 246:7, 246:10, 247:1</p> <p>two-thirds [1] - 74:6</p> <p>type [4] - 118:20, 136:2, 145:22, 210:3</p> <p>types [1] - 167:18</p> <p>typewriting [1] - 254:16</p> <p>typically [1] - 166:16</p> <p>typing [1] - 45:12</p> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <p>ultimately [4] - 71:13, 71:16, 225:18, 229:8</p> <p>unable [1] - 246:19</p> <p>Unassigned [1] - 170:7</p> <p>unassigned [6] - 170:8, 170:9, 170:11, 170:14, 170:16, 246:18</p> <p>unconstitutional [2] - 101:18, 130:7</p> <p>under [12] - 36:10, 59:12, 130:8, 179:23, 187:24, 212:17, 224:10, 234:13, 234:25, 235:3, 243:23, 245:3</p> <p>Under [1] - 211:25</p> <p>undergraduate [1] - 54:2</p> <p>underlying [1] - 129:22</p> <p>understood [2] - 16:2, 224:11</p> <p>unit [2] - 170:22, 170:23</p> <p>United [3] - 6:6, 58:10, 235:21</p> <p>UNITED [1] - 1:1</p> <p>units [2] - 171:6, 230:7</p> <p>University [4] - 53:18, 63:13, 64:22, 65:7</p> <p>unless [2] - 112:7, 223:15</p> <p>unnecessarily [2] - 10:12, 98:25</p> <p>unnecessary [1] - 101:17</p> <p>unplug [1] - 223:2</p> <p>unveiled [1] - 123:8</p>
---	---	--	---	--

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF JOSEPH W. HANDRICK 12/20/2011

<p>up [32] - 15:5, 22:21, 26:5, 56:10, 59:4, 64:5, 72:9, 72:11, 73:22, 83:12, 87:24, 108:18, 110:18, 118:1, 121:7, 143:1, 143:25, 147:18, 155:16, 162:1, 164:3, 170:8, 170:11, 170:14, 185:21, 212:12, 223:15, 236:16, 241:10, 244:1, 244:9, 246:2</p> <p>upholding [1] - 208:23</p> <p>useable [1] - 72:21</p> <p>utilized [1] - 159:17</p> <p>UW [1] - 63:11</p> <p>UW-Madison [1] - 63:11</p>	<p>videotape [1] - 185:23</p> <p>village [2] - 161:22, 238:17</p> <p>vitae [1] - 40:5</p> <p>Voces [1] - 6:25</p> <p>VOCES [1] - 2:8</p> <p>VOCKE [2] - 1:16, 2:15</p> <p>voicemail [1] - 250:8</p> <p>voicemails [4] - 250:22, 250:24, 251:11, 251:15</p> <p>Vos [18] - 42:16, 132:22, 132:24, 135:4, 135:7, 135:9, 135:21, 136:1, 136:5, 136:19, 137:4, 137:25, 144:5, 144:11, 144:16, 146:1, 153:17, 154:3</p> <p>Vos's [1] - 135:3</p> <p>vote [1] - 99:2</p> <p>voter [2] - 101:18, 215:2</p> <p>voters [9] - 98:24, 101:19, 212:14, 213:2, 213:9, 213:14, 214:12, 214:19, 215:12</p> <p>voting [11] - 118:3, 143:17, 169:1, 217:16, 217:21, 218:15, 222:1, 222:6, 229:13, 229:20, 230:1</p> <p>Voting [8] - 150:23, 151:3, 151:6, 208:23, 209:16, 209:17, 209:18, 210:25</p>	<p>203:15, 203:18, 203:20, 203:23, 204:1</p> <p>Water [1] - 7:10</p> <p>Waukesha [7] - 190:19, 194:6, 194:18, 195:3, 195:21, 196:3, 196:22</p> <p>ways [1] - 245:3</p> <p>web [1] - 53:10</p> <p>website [3] - 3:23, 27:8, 52:22</p> <p>week [5] - 13:4, 187:10, 187:17, 187:18, 187:21</p> <p>weekly [2] - 184:17, 184:19</p> <p>welcome [1] - 211:13</p> <p>West [1] - 7:7</p> <p>wherein [1] - 6:3</p> <p>whereof [1] - 255:2</p> <p>whole [2] - 95:9, 98:1</p> <p>WI [1] - 7:23</p> <p>wife [3] - 126:17, 126:18, 130:21</p> <p>winter [1] - 135:19</p> <p>Wirsch [2] - 123:23, 123:24</p> <p>Wis [2] - 4:14, 4:19</p> <p>WISCONSIN [3] - 1:1, 7:7, 254:1</p> <p>Wisconsin [61] - 1:13, 1:20, 2:1, 2:12, 2:16, 4:24, 6:4, 6:7, 6:10, 6:12, 6:20, 6:24, 7:4, 7:7, 7:11, 7:14, 7:14, 7:18, 7:18, 7:19, 25:3, 25:17, 35:7, 35:9, 35:24, 53:18, 54:17, 56:13, 56:18, 57:3, 57:12, 57:22, 58:9, 58:11, 63:14, 65:9, 65:12, 72:5, 84:20, 84:21, 109:10, 123:20, 161:24, 175:3, 188:16, 190:18, 191:6, 205:15, 205:23, 212:16, 222:13, 222:15, 222:20, 222:24, 233:7, 235:3, 242:10, 254:5, 254:10, 255:6</p> <p>Wisconsin-Madison [2] - 53:18, 63:14</p> <p>WisconsinEye [1] - 203:18</p> <p>wit [1] - 254:11</p> <p>withdraw [1] - 126:9</p> <p>Witness [3] - 3:2,</p>	<p>18:14, 104:21</p> <p>WITNESS [1] - 128:9</p> <p>witness [51] - 6:2, 8:2, 14:18, 33:7, 77:18, 77:23, 79:13, 89:19, 90:1, 90:20, 90:25, 92:18, 93:12, 93:20, 94:17, 95:21, 98:9, 99:8, 99:22, 102:2, 102:15, 103:15, 103:22, 106:9, 107:12, 109:3, 110:24, 112:7, 120:9, 121:1, 122:18, 129:1, 131:5, 134:2, 137:13, 177:1, 182:13, 183:19, 185:7, 187:5, 191:22, 192:18, 195:8, 196:17, 199:2, 199:14, 202:3, 213:24, 250:20, 254:18, 255:2</p> <p>witnesses [3] - 92:24, 198:2, 220:25</p> <p>wondering [1] - 153:24</p> <p>word [2] - 49:2, 74:21</p> <p>words [1] - 81:7</p> <p>works [4] - 43:1, 43:2, 132:6, 132:19</p> <p>write [1] - 204:13</p> <p>writes [1] - 88:20</p> <p>writing [1] - 38:9</p> <p>written [6] - 75:1, 160:21, 160:23, 160:24, 163:6, 165:9</p> <p>wrote [2] - 204:12, 205:6</p>	<p>210:22</p> <p>zeros [1] - 163:24</p> <p>Zeus [7] - 227:21, 227:24, 228:2, 228:19, 228:22, 231:13, 231:21</p> <p>Zipperer [9] - 42:16, 133:1, 133:11, 144:21, 145:1, 145:3, 146:2, 153:19, 154:3</p> <p>Zipperer's [1] - 146:7</p>
V				
<p>vague [16] - 33:16, 43:9, 43:22, 44:19, 49:21, 60:23, 84:5, 96:14, 97:19, 109:12, 111:10, 112:16, 113:8, 113:23, 116:1, 154:13</p> <p>vagueness [1] - 41:3</p> <p>Van [1] - 14:6</p> <p>VAN [1] - 7:10</p> <p>VARA [1] - 2:9</p> <p>variance [1] - 49:7</p> <p>varied [1] - 148:4</p> <p>variety [2] - 228:9, 237:14</p> <p>various [8] - 50:7, 91:22, 93:1, 94:1, 95:5, 155:2, 159:16, 174:22</p> <p>Venue [2] - 4:12, 188:21</p> <p>VERA [1] - 1:4</p> <p>verbal [1] - 160:22</p> <p>version [8] - 69:1, 173:2, 219:10, 220:4, 220:10, 220:14, 220:17, 247:2</p> <p>versions [2] - 241:9, 241:16</p> <p>versus [1] - 78:24</p> <p>via [1] - 3:14</p> <p>Video [1] - 7:22</p> <p>videographer [1] - 12:4</p> <p>VIDEOTAPE [2] - 1:18, 6:1</p>				
	W			Y
	<p>waived [1] - 254:19</p> <p>Wal [2] - 56:9, 57:16</p> <p>Wal-Mart [2] - 56:9, 57:16</p> <p>WARA [1] - 2:9</p> <p>ward [7] - 170:17, 170:21, 215:20, 219:5, 230:7, 230:16, 230:20</p> <p>wards [3] - 95:8, 97:25, 170:17</p> <p>Washington [6] - 29:2, 29:3, 75:25, 76:4, 76:12, 222:11</p> <p>watch [1] - 203:8</p> <p>watched [1] - 203:11</p> <p>watching [5] -</p>			<p>Yahoo [2] - 117:6, 138:18</p> <p>year [7] - 23:16, 39:25, 83:22, 174:15, 187:21, 189:12, 189:17</p> <p>years [3] - 65:2, 72:8, 168:24</p> <p>yourself [1] - 167:9</p>
			Z	
			<p>zero [10] - 162:11, 162:15, 162:18, 163:4, 163:10, 163:12, 163:13, 163:17, 210:17,</p>	